# LABORATORY AND FIELD EFFICACY OF SOME NATURAL AND CHEMICAL FORMULATION ON *Aphis crassivora* (KOCH) (HOMOPTERA : APHIDIDAE) AND ITS NATURAL ENEMIES

Ragab, M. E.<sup>1</sup>; N. F. Abdel-Baky<sup>1</sup>; S. Abd-Rabou<sup>2</sup> and Dina F. Elashram <sup>2</sup>

1- Dept. Economic Entomology, Fac. Agric., Mansoura Unvi.

2- Plant Protection Research Institute, ARC, Dokki, Giza

#### ABSTRACT

The cowpea aphid, Aphis crassivora (Koch) (Homoptera : Aphididae) one of the most important pests in Egypt. This work dealt with the laboratory and field tests of the effect of Biofly, Neemex and Sumithion compounds on the cowpea aphid and its parasitoids and predators. The laboratory tests indicated that the potency of Biofly, Neemex and Sumithion was varied tremendously due to compounds and also, data proved that at any of the compounds the higher the concentration, the higher was the rate of mortality and vice versa. The obtained results indicated that, when A. crassivora, the parasitoid Aphidus ervi Haliday (Hymenoptera:Aphididea), the predator, Chrysoperla carnea (Steph.) (Neuroptera: Chrysopidae) and Coccinella undecimpunctata L. (Coleoptera : Coccinellidae) were treated with Biofly, Neemex and Sumithion, the mortality percentages ranged from61.4-92.5, 59.7-89.7, and 89.5-100%.; 62.8-93.6, 61.2-91.9 and 91.8-100%; 61.5-91.3 ,59.6-88.6 and 89.7-100% and 59.8-92.7, 58.2-80.5 and 87.1-100%, respectively. In the filed tests the results observed, the two compounds Biofly and Neemex gave moderate effect against adults, nymphs of A. crassivora, C. undecimpunctata, C. carnea and A.ervi with percent reduction 68.1 & 74.3% and 64.43 & 71.87% ; 68.2 & 78.66% and 68.70 & 75.8% ;59.1 & 56.1% and 60.3 & 58.2%; 57.2 & 63.8% and 58.3 & 68.4% and 63.60 & 65.1% and 66.8 and 60.4 % after 15 days, in the first and second years, respectively. On the other hand, Sumithion gave high efficacy against all targets, adult, nymphs of A. craccivora, C. undecimpunctata, C. carnea and A.ervi with percent reduction 97.5 and 97.3; 96.8 and 96.6%; 94.6 and 95.8; 96.7% and 95.6% and 97.6% and 98.7% after 15 days from application in the first and second years, respectively.

## INTRODUCTION

The cowpea aphid, *Aphis crassivora* (Koch) (Homoptera : Aphididae) are small insects which live in dense colonies on growing plants. They are on of the most widespread groups of pests in agricultural systems in Egypt. Plants in these production system may be affected directly or indirectly as a result of the cowpea aphid population. Direct effects come about through suction of feeding aphids, injection of active substances into the plant tissue, interference with the physiological performance of crops and the removal of amino-nitrogen from the plants. Indirect effects are caused by virus transmission, honeydew excretion and changes in the micro flora communities on plants surfaces which may influence crop physiology. Predators and parasitoids can be used for the biological control of aphid pests including, *A. crassivora* (Alavo and Accodji,2004). Parasitoids of *A. crassivora* found in Egypt play an important role in control of this pest that mainly exert a lot of damage to economic plant . The effect of *Beauveria* 

bassiana on A. craccivora was toxic (El-Hamady,1998). Dimetry and Schmidit (1992) and Abdel-Rahman *et al.* (2005) recorded toxic effect of Neemazal on larvae of A. craccivora and Aphis fabae Scopoli (Homoptera : Aphididae), respectively. Predators efficacy of Coccinella undecimpunctata L. (Coleoptera : Coccinellidae) and Chrysoperla carnea (Steph.) (Neuroptera: Chrysopidae) on the immature stages of the main insect pests of different economic host plants was studied by Salem (2002). The effect of *B. bassiana* and Nemazal on the natural enemies of aphid pests, including A. craccivora was also studied by James, *et al* (1995), Haseeb and Murad (1997), Hermann *et al.* (1997), Sewify (1997), Vogt *et al.* (1997 and1998), Murphy *et al.*(1999) , Mesquita and Lacey (2001) , Biradar *et al.* (2002), Sharma and Kashyap (2002), Hamd *et al.* (2005), Kim *et al.* (2005), Naveen and Brar (2006), El-Wakeil *et al.* (2006) and Bidhan *et al.* (2008).

The aim of this work is to test the efficacy of some natural compound compared with a chemical compound on the cowpea aphid, *A. crassivora* and its natural enemies under both laboratory and field conditions.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

# 1. Laboratory experiments:

# 1.1. Mass rearing of *Aphis crassivora* and its natural enemies:

# 1.1.1. Aphis crassivora:

The broad bean, *Vicia faba* seeds were planted in plastic trays (25X 40X15 cm) or foam trays (60X25X20 cm with 109 wholes) contained peat muss. The seeds were planted at 1-2 cm deep and followed with irrigation and fertilizers as required. When the first leaflet appeared after about one week from cultivation. Bean leaves were infested with *A. craccivora* which distributed over the new foliage of cultivated trays. Culturing of faba bean plants and artificial aphid infestation was a continuous process carried out at weekly intervals. The infested trays were followed until the population of *A. craccivora* increased . *A. craccivora* colonies were cultured under laboratory conditions ( $23\pm2^{\circ}C$  and  $60\pm5\%$  R.H.).

# 1.1. 2. Aphidus ervi:

Culture of *A. ervi* was started that emerged from the collected specimens from the field and the colony was reared on *A. craccivora* feeding potted broad bean, *V. faba*. The laboratory conditions were 25-27 C<sup>o</sup> and 65-70 R.H.

## 1.1. 3. Chrysoperla carnea:

*C. carnea* adults were collected from the field by insect sweeping net and brought to the laboratory. The collected adults feeding on yeast and honey and the colony was reared when larvae fed on frozen eggs of *Sitotroga cerellela* (Olivier). The laboratory conditions were 25-27 C<sup>o</sup> and 65-70 R.H.

# 1.1. 4. Coccinella undecimpunctata:

Mass rearing of *C. undecimpunctata* was started that emerged from the collected specimens from the field and the colony was reared on *A. craccivora* feeding potted broad bean, *V. faba*. The laboratory conditions were 25-27 C<sup>o</sup> and 65-70 R.H.

### 1. 2.Tested commercial formulation :

**Biofly,** an entomopathogenic fungi (3X 10<sup>7</sup> c. fu 1ml), containing the fungus *Beauveria bassiana,* used at a rate of 2 ml/liter of water.

**Neemex,** a botanical extract containing 1% Azadirachtin a (10 g/liter) from the neem tree, *Azadirachta indica* (Meliaceae), applied at a rate of 2 ml/liter of water.

**Sumithion 50% EC** a chemical pesticide was applied at a rate of 2 ml/ liter of water.

#### 1. 3. Tested methods

The laboratory experiments were carried out in the Laboratory of Plant Protection Research Institute, ARC, Dokki, Giza. The method of indirect exposure was used to evaluate the effect of the three compounds on the parasitoid (*A. ervi*), predators (*C. carnea* & *C. undecimpunctata*) and prey throughout the present investigation. Five concentrations of Biofly, Neemex and Sumithion were used; twenty infested leaves of broad bean plants were dipped in each concentration for five seconds. Leaves were divided into five replicates. Five leaves were dipped in clean water as untreated check (control). The leaves were transferred to clean wide plastic dishes, which were then covered with muslin cloth held in position by rubber bands. The culture was maintained at room temperature about  $25\pm1^{\circ}$ C and  $65\pm1^{\circ}$  relative humidity. After 24 hours the alive predators, parasitoid and *A. craccivora* were counted.

#### 1. 4. Statistical analysis

In laboratory tests, the mortality percentages were calculated and corrected for natural mortalities by Abbott's formula (1925). The corrected percent mortalities were statistically computed according to Finney (1971) and plotted on probit analysis paper. The tested compounds were compared for their efficiency on the predators and parasitoid and prey according to their  $LC_{50}$ ,  $LC_{90}$  and slopes of the toxicity lines.

#### 2. Field experiments:

The experiments were carried out to evaluate of the three compounds (Biofly, Neemex and Sumithion) on *A. craccivora* and its associated parasitoid and predators on broad beans were carried out at Qalubiya Governorate. When the numbers of *A. craccivora* and its predators and parasitoid were high during the two seasons, 2007 and 2008.

### 2.1. The experiments comprised 3 compounds:

**Biofly,** an entomopathogenic fungi (3X 10<sup>7</sup> c.f.u./1ml), containing the fungus *Beauveria bassiana,* used at a rate of 2 ml/liter of water.

**Neemex,** a botanical extract containing 1% Azadirachtin A (10 g/liter) from the neem tree, *Azadirachta indica* (Meliaceae), applied at a rate of 2 ml/liter of water.

**Sumithion 50% EC** a chemical pesticide was applied at a rate of 2 ml/ liter of water.

Each treatment conducted in 1/4 Fadden. One quarter of Fadden was also used as an untreated check (control). Spraying was applied at the rate of per plant which was accomplished by the use of a Knapsack sprayer Cp-20 of 20 liter capacity. Pre-spraying counts were made just before spraying. The post spraying counts were made after 3, 7 and 15 days from

application. Random samples of 20 leaves were picked up from each replicate. A total number of 60 infested leaves for each treatment thus examined. By means of a stereoscopic microscope insect was inspected.

#### 2.2. Statistical analysis

The percent reduction of infestation was statistically calculated according to the equation of (Henderson and Tilton 1955).

Where:

Ta = Post treatment insect counts

Cb = Untreated insect count before treatment

Tb = Pretreatment counts

Ca = Untreated insect count after treatment.

# RESULTS

#### 1. Laboratory Experiments:

#### 1.1. On nymphs of *Aphis crassivora*:

Data presented in Table (1) and graphically illustrated as toxicity lines in Fig. (1) showed the potency of Biofly, Neemex and Sumithion on nymphs of the cowpea aphid, A. crassivora under laboratory conditions. Tabulated data indicate that the potency of Biofly, Neemex and Sumithion was varied tremendously due to compounds. As a general trend, data proved that at any of the compounds the higher the concentration, the higher was the rate of mortality and vice versa. According to the obtained data (Table 1), different mortality percentages were recorded when A. crassivora were treated with Biofly, Neemex and Sumithion, the mortality percentages of A. crassivora nymphs ranged from 61.4-92.5, 59.7-89.7, and 89.5-100%, respectively. When compare between the effects of Biofly, Neemex and Sumithion, it was found LC<sub>50</sub> were (0.277, 0.377 and 0.085), respectively (Fig. 1). On base of the LC<sub>90</sub> values, it was found Biofly, Neemex and Sumithion, were (4.651, 8.925 and 0.54), respectively (Fig. 1). The slope of line is useful to known the homogeneity of stages of A. crassivora population, which reared under laboratory conditions to effect of different compounds. When the population of aphid insect is similar in homogeneity or the degree of resistant meaning the slope is big or increase in regression. Data in Fig. (1) showed that the slope of aphid population in Biofly, Neemex, and Sumithion, they gave (1.047, 0.931 and 1.596), respectively.

Table (1): Mortality percentages of Biofly, Neemex and Sumithion on nymphs of the cowpea aphid, *Aphis crassivora* under laboratory conditions.

Compound		C	onc. (ml/L.W.	)	
Compound	0.5	1	2	3	4
Biofly	61.4	72.6	79.6	82.8	92.5
Neemex	59.7	61.6	68.5	78.4	89.7
Sumithion	89.5	94.8	98.9	100	100




Fig. (1): LC values of Biofly, Neemex and Sumithion on nymphs of the cowpea aphid, *Aphis crassivora* (Koch) under laboratory conditions.

# 1.2. On emergency of aphid parasitoid, *Aphidus ervi* when *Aphis crassivora* treated by different compounds:

Data presented in Table (2) and graphically illustrated as toxicity lines in Fig. (2) showed the potency of Biofly, Neemex and Sumithion on emergence of A. ervi after treated A. crassivora with different compounds under laboratory conditions. Tabulated data indicate that the potency of Biofly. Neemex and Sumithion was varied tremendously due to compounds. As a general trend, data proved that at any of the compounds the higher the concentration, the higher was the rate of mortality and vice versa. According to the obtained data (Table 2), different mortality percentages on emergence of A. ervi were recorded when A. crassivora were treated with Biofly, Neemex and Sumithion, the mortality percentages ranged from 62.8-93.6, 61.2-91.9 and 91.8-100%, respectively. When compare between the effects of Biofly, Neemex and Sumithion, it was found LC<sub>50</sub> were (0.264, 0.325 and 0.11), respectively (Fig. 2). On base of the LC<sub>90</sub> values, it was found Biofly, Neemex and Sumithion, were (3.936, 7.007 and 0.455), respectively (Table, 4 & Fig. 2). Data in Fig. (2) show that the slope of aphid population in Biofly, Neemex and Sumithion, they gave (1.092, 0.961 and 2.077), respectively.

Table (2): Mortality percentages of Biofly, Neemex and Sumithion on
emergence of Aphidus ervi when treated the nymphs of the
cowpea aphid, Aphis crassivora under laboratory conditions.

Compound		Co	nc. (ml/L.W	1.)	
Compound	0.5	1	2	3	4
Biofly	62.8	73.9	81.6	84.5	93.6
Neemex	61.2	65.8	71.8	79.6	91.9
Sumithion	91.8	97.1	99.8	100	100




Fig.(2): LC values of Biofly, Neemex and Sumithion on emergence of *Aphidus ervi* when treated the nymphs of the cowpea aphid, *Aphis crassivora* (Koch) under laboratory conditions.

#### 1. 3.On larvae of Chrysoperla carnea:

Data presented in Table (3) and graphically illustrated as toxicity lines in Fig. (3) showed the potency of Biofly, Neemex and Sumithion on larvae of *C. carnea* with different compounds under laboratory conditions. Tabulated data indicate that the potency of Biofly, Neemex and Sumithion was varied tremendously due to compounds. As a general trend, data proved that at any of the compounds the higher the concentration, the higher was the rate of mortality and vice versa. According to the obtained data (Table 3), different mortality percentages on larvae of *C. carnea* treated with Biofly, Neemex and Sumithion, the mortality percentages ranged from 61.5-91.3 ,59.6-88.6 and 89.7-100%, respectively. When compare between the effects of Biofly, Neemex and Sumithion, it was found  $LC_{50}$  were (0.279, 0.32 and 0.048), respectively (Fig. 3). On base of the  $LC_{90}$  values, it was found Biofly, Neemex and Sumithion, were (5.975, 12.132 and 0.546), respectively (Fig. 3). Data in Figs (3) show that the slope of aphid population in Biofly, Neemex and Sumithion, they gave (0.963, 0.812) and 1.21), respectively.

Compound		Conc. (ml/L.W.)												
Compound	0.5	1	2	3	4									
Biofly	61.5	70.4	75.4	81.6	91.3									
Neemex	59.6	63.9	69.9	73.7	88.6									
Sumithion	89.7	95.9	96.2	99.8	100									

 
 Table (3): Mortality percentages of Biofly, Neemex and Sumithion on larvae of Chrysoperla carnea under laboratory conditions.




Fig.(3): LC values of Biofly, Neemex and Sumithion on larvae of *Chrysoperla carnea* under laboratory conditions

#### 1.1.4.On larvae of Coccinella undecimpunctata:

Data presented in Table (4) and graphically illustrated as toxicity lines in Fig. (4) showed the potency of Neemex, Biofly and Sumithion on larvae of *C. undecimpunctata* with different compounds under laboratory conditions. Tabulated data indicate that the potency of Biofly, Neemex and Sumithion was varied tremendously due to compounds. As a general trend, data proved that at any of the compounds the higher the concentration, the higher was the rate of mortality and vice versa. According to the obtained data , different mortality percentages on larvae of *C. undecimpunctata* treated with Biofly, Neemex and Sumithion, the mortality percentages ranged from 59.8-92.7, 58.2-80.5 and 87.1-100%, respectively. When compare between the effects of Biofly, Neemex and Sumithion, it was found  $LC_{50}$  were (0.355, 0.356 and 0.0005), respectively (Fig. 4). On base of the  $LC_{90}$  values, it was found Biofly, Neemex and Sumithion, were (6.261, 36.482 and 1.371), respectively (Fig. 4). Data in Fig. (4) show that the slope of aphid population in Biofly, Neemex and Sumithion, they gave (1.029, 0.637 and 0.372), respectively.

#### 2. Field experiments:

The experiments were carried out to evaluate of the three compounds (Biofly, Neemex, and Sumithion) on *A. craccivora* and associated parasitoid and predators on broad bean plants at Qalubiya Governorate. When the numbers of *A. craccivora* and its predators and parasitoid were high during the two successive seasons, 2007 and 2008.

Table (4): Mortality percentages of Biofly, Neemex and Sumithion on larvae of *Coccinella undecimpunctata* under laboratory conditions.

		Conc. (ml/L.W.)													
Compound	0.5		2	) 3	4										
Biofly	59.8	65.8	72.8	79.6	92.7										
Neemex	58.2	58.2	61.7	71.9	80.5										
Sumithion	87.1	91.5	93.3	96.9	100										

2161




Fig. (4): LC values of Biofly, Neemex and Sumithion on larvae of *Coccinella undecimpunctata* under laboratory conditions

## 2.1. The first season (2007):

In the first season (2007), the average pre-spraying counts of adults and nymphs of A. craccivora were 40.1-45.7 and 79.6-84.3/leaf, respectively and the average numbers of C. undecimpunctata and C. carnea stages were 1.1-1.5 and 1.4-2.0/leaf, respectively and also the average number of the parasitoid, A. ervi was 4.8-5.9 (Table, 5). Results in Table (6) indicate that in first year (2007), the two compounds Biofly and Neemex gave moderate effect against adults and nymphs of A. crassivora, 74.3 and 68.1% reduction, respectively against adults after 15 days. Also, gave moderate effect against nymphs of A. crassivora, were 78.66 and 68.2% reduction, respectively after 15 days. They also showed moderate toxic effect against C. undecimpunctata stages (56.1 and 59.1% reduction) also on C. carnea stages (63.8 and 57.2% reduction), respectively. While Biofly and Neemex were killed the parasitoid by 65.1 and 63.60 %, respectively. On the other hand, Sumithion gave high efficacy against all targets. Adult females and nymphs of A. craccivora were reduced by 97.5, 96.8%, respectively. Reduction in C. undecimpunctata and C. carnea stages of predators were 94.6 and 96.7% and on the parasitoid, A. ervi (97.6%) after 15 days from application.

## 1.2.2. The second season (2008):

In the second season (2008), the average pre-spraying counts of adults and nymphs of *A. craccivora* were 35.9-40.5 and 77.4-79.2/leaf, respectively and the average numbers of *C. undecimpunctata* and *C. carnea* stages were 1.2-1.7 and 1.5-1.9/leaf,respectively and also the average number of the parasitoid, *A. ervi* was 5.3-6.2 (Table, 7). Results in Table (8) indicate that in second year (2008), the two compounds Biofly and Neemex gave moderate effect against adults and nymphs of *A. crassivora*, 75.8 and 68.6% reduction, respectively against adults after 15 days. Also, gave moderate effect against nymphs of *A. crassivora*, were 79.6 and 70.2% reduction, respectively after 15 days. They also showed moderate toxic effect against *C. undecimpunctata* stages (58.2 and 60.3 % reduction) also on *C. carnea* stages (68.4 and 58.3% reduction), respectively. While Biofly and Neemex were killed 66.8 and 60.4 % of parasitoid, respectively.

5+6

Ragab,M. E. et al.

7+8

On the other hand, Sumithion gave high efficacy against all targets. Adult females and nymphs of *A. craccivora* were reduced by 97.3 and 96.6%, respectively. Reduction in *C. undecimpunctata* and *C. carnea* stages were 95.8 and 95.6% and on parasitoid (98.7%).

# DISCUSSION

The present work indicated that Biofly and Neemex gave moderate effect against adults and nymphs of A. crassivora. El-Hamady (1998) observed the effect of B. bassiana on A. craccivora was toxic, while Abdel-Rahman et al. (2005) and Dimetry and Schmidit (1992) recorded toxic effect of Neemazal on larvae of A. craccivora and A.faba, respectively. Azadirachtin indica was found to be the most effective compound against A. craccivora ranged, 80.47-89.6% mortality (Bidhan et al., 2008). The effect of the entomogenous fungus B. bassiana on C. septempunctata was highly susceptible (Haseeb and Murad, 1997). El-Hamady (1998) said B. bassiana (Ld 50: 8.7X108conidia/ Kg b. w . equivalent to 29 ml of the liquid preparation/kg. b. w.) was not toxic on C. undecimpunctata. But our results the Biofly (3X 107 c.f.u./1ml) has a moderate effect. Sewify (1997) stated that B. bassiana appeared a relative pathogenicity to the predators, C. undecimpunctat and C. carnea. Hermann et al. (1997) studied the effects of different NeemAzalformulations on larvae of the green lacewing C. carnea on 1st- and 2nd-instar larvae under laboratory conditions. They stated that, in the laboratory tests, larvae were exposed to a dried pesticide film on glass plates. High mortalities in laboratory experiments after a test period of 3-4 weeks led to the classification "harmful" for all formulations. NeemAzal-T/S at 0.3% was harmless to larvae of C. carnea in the field (Vogt et al. 1997). The same authors (1998) tested two formulations of azadirachtin, applied via residual contact in the laboratory at the highest recommended field concentrations (0.3% NeemAzal-T/S and 0.15% Align) and the results indicated that, were highly toxic to first-instar larvae of the predator C. carnea. The dose of Neemark, 50ml/liter did not significantly affect on the population of C. undecimpunctata (Biradar et al., 2002). Sharma and Kashyap (2002) stated that Neemark (Azadirachta indica) at 0.3%, was quite safe to c. septempunctata. In the present work also observed the percent reduction was 59.1 and 58.3% in the first and second years, respectively after 15 days from application. The mortality of the first instars of C. carnea was not affected by any of the azadirachtin enriched formulations (Naveen and Brar ,2006) . The results of tested Neem concentrations: 2, 1, 0.5 and 0.25% C. carnea indicated that there were no serious side effects on efficiency of this predator (El-Wakeil et al., 2006). Results here recorded Neemex, a botanical extract containing 1% Azadirachtin gave moderate effect on C. carnea with percent reduction 57.2 and 58.3% during the two seasons under consideration, respectively. The parasitoid Aphidius sp. developed normally (approximately 90% adult emergence) when its aphid host was treated with fungi conidia 5 or 7 days after parasitization (Kim-Jeongjun et al. ,2005). Our results here observed the percent reduction of Biofly on A. ervi was 63.73 and 66.8% in the first and second years, respectively after 15 days from application. .

## REFERENCES

- Abbot, W.S. (1925): A method of computing the effectiveness of an insecticide. J. Econ. Entomol.,18: 265-267.
- Abdel-Rahman, G.A.; Belal,M.H.; Ibrahim, N.M. and Ali, E.A. (2005): Observations on toxic effects of some desert plant extracts on the cowpea aphid *Aphis craccivora* Koch. Egypt. J. Agric. Res., 83 (2): 609 – 621.
- Alavo, T. B. and Accodji, M. (2004): The entomopathogenic fungus Verticillium lecanii (Deuteromycetes, Moniliaceae). The proteins hydrophobins and the biological control of aphids (Homoptera, Aphididae): Literature review. Archives of Phytopathology and Plant Protection, 37 (3): 201- 204.
- Bidhan C. D., Pankoj, K. S. and Md Matiur, R. (2008) : Aphidicidal activity of some indigenous plant extracts against bean aphid *Aphis craccivora* Koch (Homoptera: Aphididae) . Journal of Pest Science , 81(3): 153-159
- Biradar, V.K. ; Shivpuje, P.R; Rawale, B.N. and Bansod, R.S. (2002): Effect of certain biopesticides on the population of lady bird beetle. Journal of Soils and Crops, 12 (1): 151-152.
- Dimetry, N. Z. and Schmidt, G.H. (1992): Efficacy of Neem-Azal S and Margson-O against the bean aphid, *Aphis faba* Scop. Anz. Schadlingskunde, Pflanzenschutz, Umweltschutz, 65 (5): 75-79.
- El-Hamady, S.E. (1998): Aphicidal efficiency of certain biorational with respect to their toxicity to some beneficial insects and mammals. Annals of Agricultural Science, Vol. 3: 1013- 1027.
- El-Wakeil, N.E; Gaafar, N.M and Vidal, S (2006): Effects of the insecticide NeemAzal-T/S on the feeding, mortality and fecundity of the Hylobius abietis (L.) weevils. Revista Padurilor,121(4): 3-17.
- Finney, D. J. (1971): Probit analysis, Cambridge University Press. 3<sup>rd</sup> Edn., pp.333.
- Hamd, E.E.A; EI-Shafie, H.A.F and Basedow, T. (2005): The different effects of two preparations of neem (*Azadirachta indica*) and of Sumicidin Reg. on the aphid predator *Hippodamia variegata* (Goeze) (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae). Zeitschrift fur Pflanzenkrankheiten und Pflanzenschutz, 112 (6): 580-585.
- Haseeb, M. and Murad, H. (1997): Pathogenicity of the entomogenous fungus *Beauvaria bassiana* (Bals.) vuill. to insect predators. International. Pest Control, 40 (2):50-51.
- Henderson, C. F. and Tilton, E.W. (1955): Tests with acaricides against the brown wheat mite. J. Econ. Entomol., 48(2): 157-161.
- Hermann, P.; Zebitz, C. P. W. and Kienzle, J. (1997): Effects of different NeemAzal-formulations on larvae of the green lacewing *Chrysoperla carnea* Steph. (Neuroptera, Chrysopidae) in laboratory and semi-field. Practice oriented results on use and production of neem ingredients and pheromones. Proceedings 5<sup>th</sup> Workshop Wetzlar, Germany, 22-25Jan-1996. 183-188.

- Jams, R.R.; Shaffer,B.T.; Croft, B. and Lighthart,B. (1995): Field evaluation of *Beauveria bassiana* its persistence and effects on the pea aphid and non-target coccinellid in alfalfa. Biocontrol science and technology, 5 (4):425-437.
- Kim, J. J.; Kim K. C. and Roberts, D.w. (2005): Impact of the entomopathogenic fungus *Verticillum lecanii* on development of an aphid parasitoid, *Aphidius colemani*. Journal of Invertebrate Pathology, 88(3): 254-256.
- Mesquita, A. L.M. and Lacey, L.A. (2001): Studied the intractions among the entomopathogenic fungus, *Paecilomyces fumosoroseus* (Deuteromycotina : Hyphomycetes), the parasitoid, *Aphelinus asychis* (Hymenotera : Aphelinidae) and their aphid host. Biological Control, 22: 51-59.
- Murphy, B.; Damm-Kattari, D.; Von, D.; Parrella, M. (1999): Interaction between fungal pathogens and natural enemies: implication for combined biocontrol of greenhouse pests. Bulletin OILB/ SROP. 22(1):181-184.
- Naveen A. and Brar, D.S. (2006): Effects of different neem preparations in comparison to synthetic insecticides on the whitefly parasitoid *Encarsia sophia* (Hymenoptera: Aphelinidae) and the predator *Chrysoperla carnea* (Neuroptera: Chrysopidae) on cotton under laboratory conditions. Journal-of-Pest-Science, 9(4): 201-207.
- Salem, F.A. (2002): Studies on some natural enemies of some aphid species at Kafr El-Sheikh region. Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. of Agric. Kafr El-Sheikh, Tanta Univ., 130pp.
- Sewify, G.H. (1997): Occurrence and pathogenicity of entomopathogenic fungi in Egypt. 7<sup>th</sup> Nat. Conf. of Pest & Dis. Of Vegetables 7 Fruits in Egypt, pp: 380-392.
- Sharma, D.C and Kashyap,N.P (2002). Impact of pesticidal spray on seasonal availability of natural predators and parasitoids in the tea ecosystem. Journal of Biological control, 16(1):31-35.
- Vogt, H., Gonzalez, M., Adan, A., Smagghe, G. and Vinuela, E. (1998): Sideeffects of azadirachtin, via residual contact, on young larvae of the predator *Chrysoperla carnea* (Stephens) (Neuroptera, Chrysopidae). Boletin de Sanidad Vegetal, Plagas, 24(1): 67-78.
- Vogt, H. ; Handel, U. and Vinuela, E. (1997): Field investigations on the efficacy of NeemAzal-T/S against *Dysaphis plantaginea* (Passerini) (Homoptera: Aphididae) and its effects on larvae of *Chrysoperla carnea* Stephens (Neuroptera: Chrysopidae). Practice oriented results on use and production of neem ingredients and pheromones. Proceedings-5th-Workshop-Wetzlar,-Germany,-22-25-Jan-1996. 105-114.

التـاثيرالمعملى والحقلى لـبعض المستحضرات التجاريـة الطبيعيـة و الكيماويـةعلى من البقوليات وأعدائه الطبيعية محمد السيد رجب٬ ، نجدى فاروق عبد الباقى٬ ، شعبان عبدربه٬ و دينا فايز الأشرم٬ ١- قسم الحشرات الأقتصادية- كلية الزراعة- جامعة المنصورة- مصر ٢- معهد بحوث وقاية النباتات- مركز البحوث الزراعية- الدقى-جيزة

يعتبر من البقوليات من أهم الأفات في مصر . هذا العمل تضمن در اسات معملية وحقلية على . تأثير مركبات البيوفلاي و نيمكس و ثومثيون على من البقوليات وأعدائه الحيوية. وقد اشارت نتائج الدراسات المعملية على أن التركيز العالى للمركب يصحبه نسبة ابادة عالية والعكس صحيح. وأنَّه عندما تم معاملة من البقوليات و مفترسات أبوالعيد و أسد المن و الطفيل أفيدس أرفى بالمركبات البيوفلاي و نيمكس و ثومثيون قد تراوحت نسب الموت كالأتي ٢١,٤-٥٩,٧ % ، ٥٩,٧-٩,٧ و ۵٫۸۰۰–۸۹٫۷ – ۱٫۰۳–۹۱٫۳ % ۵۸٫۲–۸۹٫۷ و ۱۰۰–۸۹٫۷ و ۵٫٫۹۰–۲٫۷ ، ۵٫٫۷–۵۸٫۲ ٨٠,٥ و ٨٧,١٠٠-٨١% على الترتيب. وقد اشارت أيضا نتائج الدراسات الحقلية على أن المركبين البيوفلاى و نيمكس لهما تأثير متوسط على الحشرة الكاملة و حوريات من البقوليات و مفترسات أبوالعيد و أسد المن والطفيل أفيدس أرفى قد تراوحت نسب الموت كالآتي ٦٨,١-٧٤,٣ % و ۷۱٫۸۲-۲۱٫٤۳ و ۷۸٫۲۲-۲۸٫۲ و ۷۵٫۸۲-۸٫۷%، ۹٫۱۱-۵۹٫۱ و ۵٫۰۲-۲۰٫۳%، ٥٧,٢- ٦٣,٨ و٦٨,٤- ٨,٣٥% و ٦٥,١- ٦٣,٦٠ و ٦٠,٤- ٦٠,٤ % بعد ١٥ يوم من الرش في العام الأول والثاني على الترتيب. على الجانب الآخر عند المعاملة بمركب الثومثيون فقد كان تأثير الأبادة عاليا على من البقوليات و أعدائه الحيوية. وقد اشارت النتائج على الحشرة الكاملة و حوريات من البقوليات و مفترسات أبوالعيد و أسد المن و الطفيل أفيدس أرفى قد تراوحت نسب الموت کالأتی ٥،٥٥ و ٩٧.٣ ، ٩٦.٨ و ٩٦.٦ ، ٩٤.٦ و ٩٥.٨ ، ٩٦.٧ و ٩٥.٦ و ٩٥.٦% بعد١٥ يوم من الرش في العام الأول والثاني على الترتيب.

Table (5): Average numbers of *Aphis crassivora* and its parasitoid, *Aphidus ervi* and predators, *Chrysoperla carnea* and *Conccinella undecimpunctata* /leaf on broad bean plants when treated with three different compounds during 2007 season.

		Б	ro opro	wing	oount							Av	verage I	numb	er aft	er:						
	Rate	F	re spra	iying i	count		3 days						7 da	iys				15 days				
Treatment		A. cras	ssivora	Р	r.	Ар	A. cras	s <i>ivora</i> Pr		Ар		4. sivora	F	Pr	Ap.	A. crassivora		Pr		Ap.		
		Α	Ν	С	Ch	-	Α	Ν	С	Ch	-	Α	Ν	С	Ch	_	Α	Ν	С	Ch		
Biofly	1.5 ml	45.6	84.3	1.2	1.6	4.8	22.8	25.7	0.6	1.0	2.2	19.7	22.8	0.6	0.8	2.0	20.8	22.8	0.7	1.1	2.3	
Neemex	1.5 ml	42.8	81.9	1.1	1.9	5.2	25.9	31.8	0.5	1.2	2.5	22.1	29.4	0.5	1.0	2.3	24.3	32.9	0.6	1.3	2.6	
Sumithion	1.5 ml	40.1	79.6	1.4	1.4	6.1	3.4	5.5	0.2	0.2	0.4	2.1	4.3	0.1	0.1	0.2	1.8	3.2	0.1	0.1	0.2	
Control	-	45.7	80.6	1.5	2.0	5.9	61.8	93.1	1.6	2.6	6.5	73.8	97.9	1.8	2.9	7.6	81.2	101.8	2.0	3.2	8.1	
A = Adult	females	: Cor	nccinella undecimpunctata						h = C	hryse	operl	a car	nea	Ap =	o = Aphidus ervi							

Table (6): Effect of three different compounds on the average numbers of *Aphis crassivora* and its and its parasitoid, *Aphidus ervi* and predators, *Chrysoperla carnea* and *Conccinella undecimpunctata* /leaf on broad bean plants during 2007 season.

							Per	cent red	uction aft	er:						
				3 days					7 days				15	days		
Treatment Rate /L.W		I. A. crassivora		Pr		Ар	A. crassivora		Pr		Ар	A. crassivora		Pr		Ap
		Α	N	С	Ch		Α	Ν	С	Ch		Α	Ν	С	Ch	
Biofly	1.5 ml	63.0	73.6	53.1	51.9	58.4	73.2	77.7	58.3	71.0	67.7	74.3	78.6	56.1	63.8	65.1
Neemex	1.5 ml	55.3	66.4	57.4	51.4	56.4	68.0	70.5	62.1	63.7	65.7	68.1	68.2	59.1	57.2	63.6
Sumithion	1.5 ml	93.7	94.0	86.6	89.0	92.4	96.8	95.6	94.0	96.4	97.5	97.5	96.8	94.6	96.7	97.6

A = Adult females N = Nymphs C. = Conccinella undecimpunctata Ch = Chrysoperla carnea Ap = Aphytis ervi

Table (7): Average numbers of *Aphis crassivora* and its parasitoid, *Aphidus ervi* and predators, *Chrysoperla carnea* and *Conccinella undecimpunctata*/leaf on broad bean plants when treated with three different compounds during.2008 season.

		Dr	0 0.0ro	vina	00110							Ave	erage r	numk	oer af	ter:					
	Rate	Pre spraying count					3 days						7 da	ys				15 da	iys		
Treatment /L.W.		A. crassivora		Pr			P	'n	Ар	A. crassivora		Pr		Ар	A. crassivora		Pr		Ар		
		Α	Ν	С	Ch		Α	Ν	С	Ch		Α	Ν	С	Ch		Α	Ν	С	Ch	
Biofly	1.5 ml	39.1	79.2	1.3	1.9	5.7	15.1	20.7	0.7	1.0	2.9	11.2	19.4	0.7	0.9	2.4	11.6	18.4	0.8	0.9	2.7
Neemex	1.5 ml	38.7	77.4	1.2	1.6	6.2	18.9	28.1	0.6	0.9	3.7	13.6	25.4	0.6	0.8	3.1	14.9	26.3	0.7	1.0	3.5
Sumithion	1.5 ml	35.9	75.1	1.6	1.5	5.3	2.8	4.2	0.2	0.2	0.5	1.6	3.1	0.1	0.1	0.3	1.2	2.9	0.1	0.1	0.1
Control	-	40.5	78.5	1.7	1.8	6.1	43.9	83.1	1.9	2.1	7.3	47.1	86.5	2.2	2.4	8.1	49.7	89.6	2.5	4.7	8.7
A = Adult fem	ales l	N = Ny	mphs	C.	= Co	oncci	nella ι	Indeci	impu	Incta	ata	Ch =	- Chry	sope	rla c	arnea	a Ap	) = Apl	hidus	s ervi	

Table (8): Effect of three different compounds on the average numbers of *Aphis crassivora* and its and its parasitoid, *Aphidus ervi* and predators, *Chrysoperla carnea* and *Conccinella undecimpunctata*/leaf on broad bean plants during.2008 season.

			Percent reduction after:															
	Rate			3 days	;				7 days				15 days					
Treatment /L.W.		A. crassivora		Ρ	r	Ар		l. Sivora	Pr		ra Pr <sub>Ap</sub> <i>A. crassivora</i> Pr		A. crassivora		vora Pr		Ар	
		Α	Ν	С	Ch		Α	Ν	С	Ch		Α	Ν	С	Ch			
Biofly	1.5 ml	64.4	75.3	51.8	54.9	57.5	75.4	77.8	58.4	64.5	68.3	75.8	79.6	58.2	68.4	66.8		
Neemex	1.5 ml	54.9	65.7	55.3	51.8	50.1	69.8	70.2	61.4	62.5	62.3	68.6	70.2	60.3	58.3	60.4		
Sumithion	1.5 ml	92.8	94.7	88.8	88.6	92.1	96.2	96.3	95.2	95.0	95.7	97.3	96.6	95.8	95.6	98.7		

A = Adult females N = Nymphs C. = Conccinella undecimpunctata Ch = Chrysoperla carnea Ap = Aphidus ervi

J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 34 (3), March, 2009