Journal of Plant Protection and Pathology

Journal homepage: <u>www.jppp.mans.edu.eg</u> Available online at: <u>www.jppp.journals.ekb.eg</u>

Efficacy of some *Trichoderma* Species on Management of Sunflower Head-Rot

Inas A. El-Ibrahime^{1*}and K. A. Mourad²

¹ Plant pathology Res. Inst., ARC, Giza, Egypt.

² Oil crops Res. Inst, ARC, Giza, Egypt.



ABSTRACT



The efficacy of different *Trichoderma* species were evaluated *in vitro* and under field conditions against five pathogenic of sunflower head-rot fungi (HRF). Bioagents were applied by three application methods during 2015and2016 growing seasons. *In vitro*, six species of *Trichoderma harzinum*, *T.virid T.hamatum*, *T.koningii*, *T.reesei* and *T.polysporum* were selected for field studies.Both of *T. harzianum* and *T. viride* recordid the highest antagonistic potential against almost of the pathogenic fungi followed by *T.reesei*. In field experiments, the tested bioagents were studied in series of experiments using different three application methods, *i.e.* foliar spray [F.S.], soil drench[S.D.] and foliar spray with soil drench[F.S.+ S.D.].These bioagents were applied at three stages *i.e.* 30, 45 and 60 days after sowing. Head diameter, stem diameter, leaf area, seed number per head, 1000 seed weight , seed yield per plant, yield per plot and yield per feddan were determined as crop parameters. Results indicated that the three application methods gave the lowest values of disease incidence and increased crop parameters compared with untreated plants. The highest antagonistic activity was achieved with *T.harzinum* when applied as foliar spray which gave the highest percentage of disease reduction and increased crop parameters during both seasons, followed by strain of *T.viride* when applied as soil drench and foliar spray), respectively.

Keywords: Head-rot sunflower- Trichoderma spp. - Biological control- Disease management- Crop parameters -Induce systemic resistance.

INTRODUCTION

Sunflower (Helianthus annuus L.) is the third most important oil seed crop belonging to Asteraceae and is a rich source of edible oil (40-52%) having anticholesterol properties due to the presence of polyunsaturated fatty acids (55-65% linolecic acid and 20-30% oleic acid) (Joksimovic et al .,2006). Area under sunflower cultivation in the world accounts for (20) million hectares. Presently in Egypt, sunflower is cultivated over an area of 38550 feddan with aproductivity of 970kg - 1200kg / feddan (Mini. of Agric.and Land Recl., 2016). More than 30 diseases have been identified on sunflower (Gulya et al ., 1994), head rot disease as effective by destructive pathogenic fungi which are often called the head rot fungi [HRF] on sunflower and among them i.e. Fusarium Head- rot [FHR], Botrytis Head rot [BHR] and Rhizopus Head rot [RHR](Rodriguez et al., (2004), were aworldwide distributed necrotrophic pathogen, attacking more than 400 plant species including sunflower (Boland and Hall 1994). The pathogenic of head rot fungus can attack sunflower plants causing diverse symptoms in leaves, stalks and flowers and causing significant losses in seed yield, damage to oil and has a wide host range causing average yield reductions of 10 to 20%. On the other hand, head rot are considered a major disease in Europe, Argentina, USA and Egypt causing average yield reduction reached to 20% (Al-Taweil et al., 2009), also when it occurs it can cause significant damage to oilseed crops, where can been cultivated for many years, caused an increase in the incidence and severity in production areas (Steadman, 1983).

Disease control of head rot infection is difficult, since the pathogens persists in the soil for long periods and at high inoculum levels (Rodriguez *et al.*, 2004). The diseases can be controlled by appropriate application of fungicides, while the most economic method can be controlled these diseases by use biological control, where increased the effectiveness of the disease management.

Trichoderma spp. are considered as most efficient biocontrol agents (Perchepied et al., 2010). Major mechanisms involved in the biocontrol activity of Trichoderma spp. are competition for space and nutrients, production of diffusible and/or volatile antibiotics, hydrolytic enzymes like chitinase and B- 1.3. glucanase. These hydrolytic enzymes partially degrade the pathogen cell wall and lead to its parasitization (Lorito et al., 2010). Also, many recent findings suggest that plant development and biochemistry were strongly affected by Trichoderma strains. Trichoderma colonize were gave penetrate plant head tissues and initiate a series of morphological and biochemical changes in the plant, considered to be part of the plant defense response, which in the end leads to induced systemic resistance in the entire plant. Trichoderma species have the ability to interact with head of diverse plant species leading to induce systemic resistance responses to a wide spectrum of pathogens and adverse environmental conditions (Shoresh, et al., 2010). Other ecological success of these strains are their capability to synthesize antagonistic compounds [proteins, enzymes and antibiotics] and growth promoting substances [vitamins, hormones and minerals] enhance their biocontrol activity (Al-Taweil et al., 2009).

^{*} Corresponding author. E-mail address: inasibrahime@yahoo.com DOI: 10.21608/jppp.2020.131796

Inas A. El-Ibrahime and K. A. Mourad

There are several studies dealing with the use of biological control agents, mainly Trichoderma spp. to control head-rot diseases, caused by the genera Botrytis, Rhizopus, Rhizoctonia, Aspergillus and Fusarium (Elad and Stewart 2007). There are some studies conducted with Trichoderma bioagents for the control of head-rot fungi [HRF] of sunflower and promising results have been obtained by many researchers. (Raoof et al., 2003, Chagas, 2009, and Demant et al., 2010).. In addition, (Raj et al., 2004) reported that Trichoderma species, i.e. T. harziamum, T. viride, T. hamatum and T. koningii used as spray and soil drench, induced head rot diseases resistance and enhanced promotes plant growth and fruit yield (Sudisha et al., 2006).

The objective of this study was to evaluate the effectivness of Trichoderma spp. isoleats with different three application methods to readuce sunflower head-rot, as well as the economic importance of Trichoderma spp. in increased yield quantity under field conditions at two seasons (2015, 2016)

MATERIALS AND METHODS

1-Antagonistic effect of Trichoderma isolates on linear growth of sunflower head- rot fungi:

All Trichoderma spp. were kindly obtained from the Dept. of Mycol. Res., Pl. Pathol. Res. Inst., ARC, Giza, Egypt., were subjected under labe. conditions to evaluated their antagonistic effect on the majority of phytopathogenic fungi causing head rot of sunflower i.e. B. cinerea, R. solani, R. oryzae, A. flavus and F. moniliforme were collected from (onion and garlic) and oil crops diseases Res. Dept. Institute (ARC), Giza, Egypt. In such experiment, plates were inculated with 7 days old pure culture discs (5 mm in diameter) of the pathogenic fungal isolates at the preferal of the plat surface. The antagonistic organism (5 mm diam. disc) was inoculated on the opposite side of the plate, then incubated at 27°C and periodically examined every 24 hrs. Four replicates were used for each particular treatment. After the complete growth of the control treatment, reduction in mycelial growth was estimated according to the method adopted by Ferreira et al., (1991) in which:

R=A-B/AX100.

Where: R= Percentage of growth reduction.

A= Average growth diameter of control.

B=Average growth diameter of pathogen.

Degree of antagonistic effect was determined according to the scale adopted by Bell et al., (1982).

2-Field Experiments:-

-Prepartion of bioagents inculum:-

Trichoderma isolates were grown on broth medium (Allens et al., 1983) by inoculation of 5 ml of conidial suspension from sporulating cultures on PDA slants to 250ml of medium in 500 ml Erlenmeyer flasks, the potato dextrose broth was employed for multiplication of Trichoderma isolates. The Trichoderma suspension was adjusted to be contain 5x10¹⁰ cfu/ml by using a mechanical shaker, at 180 rpm at 28C and centrifuged at 18.0000 rpm for 15 min in sharples on line centrifuge. These conidial suspensions were used for further experiments.

- Disease assessment:

Suspension concentrates of bioagents 5×10^{10} condia/ml was used for different application methods as i.e foliar spray (F.S.), soil drench(S.D.) and both application methods(F.S.+ S.D.) to determine the most effective application method against head Rot fungi (HRF) of sunflower. On the other hand, the broth liquid of Trichoderma isolates namely, T.harzianum, T. viride, T. Hamatum, T. Koningii, T.Reesei and T. Polysporum were used in doses at (5ml/L) at three stages, the first dose was given 30 days after sowing followed by second dose after 45 days followed by the third dose after 60 days after sowing.Disease assessment was measured as persentages of standing plant with head rot symptoms 90 days after planting, and disease incidence was determined according to

Percentage of infection = $\frac{Number of infected plants}{matching} \times 100$ Total numberof plants

The experiment was repeated through tow season to determine the accurate application on head rot sunflower.

- yield components and growth characters:

Afield experiments were conducted during seasons 2015 and 2016 at Etai EL-Baroud Experi Resr stat, the location chosen was fields naturally infested with head rot diseases that had been planted of sunflower. Each experiment was carried out in split plot design and the sunflower (c.v.sakha 53) were sown in the exprements under field condition, resulted and area 574 m² were divided to four plots , Each plot consisted of 7 ridges, 50 within each ridge included treatments i.e T. harzinum, T. virid. T. hamatum, T. koningii, T.reesei, T.polysporum and the untreated control plants, each ridges of treatments divided to three rows, the row was 3m in length and 50cm width, seeds were sown on the thired week of May at 20cm spacing within each row and, three replications were maintained for each treatment. Trichoderma bioagents were applid at 30,45,60 days after sowing. At the end of experiment, the following data were recorded during two seasons 2015/2016 i.e. head diameter [cm], stem diameter [cm],leaf area [cm²],seed number per head,1000 seed weight [g], seed yield per plant [g], yield per plot [kg], total yield per feddan [ton].Leaf area per plant in sqare decimeters of three samples were measured according to the method described by (Shaneiter, 1978) using the formula

 $(La = (l \times w \times 0.6683) - 2.45)$ La: leaf area L : lengh W: wide -Statistical analysis:

Data obtained from all experiments were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA), the studied tretments means according to Gomez and Gomez (1984). The treatment mean was compared using the least significant differences (L.S.D.) test at 5% level.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This investigation aimed to study the effect of six bioagents with three application method on the incidence on the occurrence of sunflower head rot disease. The results were evaluated in vitro and determined during 2015, 2016 growing seasons under field conditions.

1-Effect of different isolates of Trichoderma spp. against the growth of pathogenic fungi:

Data presented in Table (1 and 2) revealed the effect of six isolates of Trichoderma spp.on sunflower head rot pathogens in terms of growth diam., for the pathogen and reduction in colony diameter (%) due to the application. These data indicate that a significant difference was observed between each of the tested isolates and the control treatment. This might be due to the effective agents against wide range of sunflower athogenic fungi (Hegde 2002). The highest reduction (%) was recorded with T. harzianum followed by

T. Viride and *T.reesei*. On the other hand, the least reduction in radial growth of test sunflower head rot fungi was recorded with *T.hamatum* followed by *T. polysporum*. This effect might be due to *Trichoderma* spp. isolates proved to exhibit high growth level of indurance to the causal agents of head rot fungi of sunflower (Chandra *et al.*, 2009). Althought, *T. harzianum* and *T. Viride* given a large number of antagonism and the isolates are capable of producing antibiotics in laboratory cultures. If these, or similar compounds are involved at least in part or in the biocontrol activity of the organism. (Adams *et al.*, 2007).

Table 1. Effect of *Trichoderma* spp. on linear growth of *B.cinearea* and *R.solani* causal organisms of sunflower head rot disease.

Diagont	B. cinea	rea	R. solani				
Bioagent	G.D (mm)	R%	G.D (mm)	R%			
T. harzianum	40.7	54.7	30.9	65.6			
T.viride	40.1	55.3	40.3	55.2			
T. hamatum	50.8	43.5	60.0	33.3			
T. koningii	50.3	44.0	50.7	43.6			
T. reesei	40.9	54.4	40.1	55.4			
T. polysporum	50.7	43.6	50.7	43.6			
Control	90.0	00.0	90.0	00.0			
Mean	51.9	42.2	51.8	42.38			
L.S.D at 5%	0.83		0.50				
[G.D]: Growth Di	ameter		[R]: % Reduction				

 Table 2. Effect of Trichoderma spp on linear growth of R.oryzae, A. flavus and F.moniliforum causal organisms of sunflower head rot disease.

Diagont	R.oryza	ae	A.flavu	s	F.moniliforum				
Bioagent	G.D(mm)	R%	G.D(mm)	R%	G.D(mm)	R%			
T. harzianum	30.6	65.9	20.3	77.3	20.4	77.2			
T.viride	40.2	55.3	30.4	66.2	20.4	77.2			
T. koningii	40.5	54.9	30.3	66.2	30.1	66.4			
T reesei	40.2	55.3	20.1	77.5	20.7	76.9			
T. polysporum	40.9	54.4	30.1	66.5	30.2	66.4			
Control	90.0	0.00	90.0	0.00	90.0	00.0			
Mean	46.1	48.6	35.9	59.9	34.6	61.4			
L.S.D at 5%	0.33		0.50		0.44				
[G.D]: Growth	Diameter		[R]: % Reduction						

2. Field experiments:

Disease incidence:

Three application methods. i.e. foliar spray [F.S.], foliar spray with soil drench [F.S.+S.D.] and soil drench [S.D.] of sunflower plants were applied in dose at three stages at 30,45,60 days after sowing were carried under field conditions during the two seasons 2015 and 2016. Data in Table (3) show that sunflower head rot incidence in treatments with Trichoderma isolates led to significant increase in disease reduction of head rot when used as foliar spray of sunflower plants in comparison with the other two application methods. This data might be due to application with foliar spray which induces systemic resistance as the main mechanism of the activity to the plant (Azarmi et al., 2011 and Al- Ani et al., 2011). On the other hand, among all the different application methods as foliar spray, foliar with soil drench and soil drench by Trichoderma isolates as solution spraying were found to be the most effective against sunflower head rot fungi which recorded the lowest disease incidence compared with untreated control. This effect might be due to Trichoderma bioagents which produce different types of antibiotics (Adames et al., 2007).

Table 3. Effect of three application methods, with different bioagents on disease incidence (%) of head rot sunflower disease, during growing seasons 2015 and 2016.

	2	015 seaso	n	2016 season						
		Foliar			Foliar	liar				
Bioagent	Foliar	spray+	Soil	Foliar		Soil				
	spray	Soil	drench	spray	Soil	drench				
		drench			drench					
T.harzianum	1.6a	6.6a	6.6b	0.0a	5.0a	3.3b				
T.viride	5.0b	8.3b	3.3a	3.3a	6.6b	1.6a				
T.hamatum	13.3e	15.0e	13.3f	10.0a	11.6d	10.0e				
T.koningii	10.0d	11.6d	11.6e	8.3a	13.3e	11.6f				
T.reesei	8.3c	6.6a	10.0d	6.6a	6.6b	8.3d				
T.polysporum	10.0d	10.0c	8.3c	8.3a	10.0c	6.6c				
Control	45.0f	45.0f	45.0g	43.3b	43.3f	43.3g				
Mean	13.3	14.7	14.0	11.3	13.7	12.1				
L.S.D. at 5%	0.56	0.55	0.54	0.35	0.i8	0.14				

The efficacy of Trichoderma bioagents against sunflower head rot fungi was tested during two growing seasons field trials, T. harzianum and T. viride were as equal effect against head rot with maximum disease reduction over other Trichoderma species isolates. The tested Tricoderma bioagents showed different little disease incedence ranged from 1.67-15.0% comparing with the untreated plants 43.3-45%, during 2015 /2016 growing season. The lowest values of disease incidence was recorded with T. harezianum when applied as foliar spray. Results in the same Table indicate that, all the individual bioagent treatment were effective with high disease reduction compared with the untreated control. This might be due to Trichoderma bioagents which have several methods to control the disease such as production of antifungal compounds and induce of systemic resistance in the intire plant (Chandra et al., 2009).

Effect of *Trichoderma* species on the yield quantity of sunflower under field conditions:

Data presented in (Table 4) reveale that all the different application methods with Trichoderma bioagents significantly increased head diameter over control in the two seasons. Both of T.viride and T.harzianum at foliar spray gave the highest number of head diameter, which recorded 31 and 30 cm, respectively in the first season. Mean while the treatments of T.reesei as foliar spray and soil drench recorded 29.3cm. On the other hand, the lowest head diameter number resulted from the treatments of *T.koningii* as foliar spray which gave 22.6 in second season viz. 16 and 19.3 cm for untreated control during the seasons 2015and2016, respectively. This increase in the number of head diameter might be due to either three different application methods or Trichoderma bioagents isolates which showed important ecological increase the growth of head sunflower diameter (Begum et al., 2010).

As shown in (Table 4) the data reveale that the different three application methods with all *Trichoderma* treatments significantly increased the stem diameter over control in both seasons. The highest number of stem diameter resulted from the treatment with *T.harzianum* and *T.viride* as foliar spray, which gave 4.51 and 4.11 cm., respectively in the first season. While the same treatments showed 4.45 and 4.28 cm at the same application method in the second season viz. 2.60 and 2.71 cm for untreated control plants in both seasons. This increase of stem diameter might be due to that

Trichoderma bioagents production hydrolytic enzymes like chitinase and B-1.3. glucanase. These hydrolytic enzymes led to an increase in growth stem of sunflower plants (Begum *et al.*, 2010).

It is obvious from data in (Table 4) also that all treatments at the three application methods gave the wide leaves than control in both seasons. The widest leave area resulted from plants sprayed with *T. viride* as soil drench followed by *T. harzianum* as foliar spray, which gave 972 and

960.6 cm², respectively in the first season. However in the second season, the *T. harzianum* treatment recorded 941.3, 906.6 and 863.6 cm² respectively at three application method viz. 526.6 and 546.3 cm² for the control in the two seasons respectively. This result showed that treatments with *Trichoderma* bioagents as foliage spray on leaves of sunflower with the different application methods induced significant protection and led to an increase in growth of the leaves of sunflower plants (Chander *et al.*, (2010).

 Table 4. Effect of Trichoderma species with different application methods on yield of sunflower plants under field condition, during growing season (2015-2016)

	Foliar spray							Foliar spay + soildrench							Soil drench					
Bioagents	HE. DI. (cm.)	~	ST. DI. (cm.)		LE. Ar. (cm.2)		HE. DI.(cm.)		ST. DI. (cm.)		LE. Ar. (cm.2)		. DI. m.)	ST. DI. (cm.)		LE. Ar. (cm.2)				
	2015 2016	2015	2016	2015	2016	2015	2016	2015	2016	2015	2016	2015	2016	2015	2016	2015	2016			
T. harzianum	30.0a 29.0a	4.51a	4.45a	960.0a	941.3a	27.3a	27.3a	3.50a	3.61a	866.6ab	906.6a	26.6a	27.0ab	3.30b	3.20c	898.6ab	863.6ab			
T, viride	31.0a 27.3a	o 4.11b	4.28a	915.6a	899.3ab	27.0a	29.0a	3.49a	3.52b	903.0a	813.0a	25.6a	28.0a	3.40a	3.30b	972.0a	900.6a			
T. hamatum	28.3a 27.0a	3.50c	3.79b	706.0b	775.3b	26.0a	27.6a	3.32b	3.24cd	677.3c	765.6b	29.3a	27.3ab	3.30b	3.20d	763.3bc	678.6bc			
T. koningii	26.0a 22.6b	c 3.58c	3.00c	649.0b	673.3c	26.0a	27.6a	3.30b	3.20d	731.0bc	717.6b	30.3a	29.0a	3.20c	3.20cd	687.3c	737.6abc			
T. reesei	26.6a 28.6a	3.62c	3.58b	938.0a	852.3ab	27.6a	29.3a	3.41ab	3.46b	866.6ab	811.0ab	28.0a	26.3ab	3.30b	3.40a	848.6ab	739.0abc			
T.polysporum	26.3a 26.6a	o 3.50c	3.38b	853.0a	811.0b	27.6a	26.6a	3.17c	3.30c	805.0abc	720.6b	27.3a	27.0ab	3.30ab	3.20cd	833.6ab	822.0ab			
contorol	16.0b 19.3c	2.60d	2.71c	526.6c	546.3d	16.0.b	19.3b	2.60d	2.71e	526.6d	546.3c	16.0b	19.3b	2.60d	2.71e	526.6d	546.3c			
Mean	26.33 25.70	3.63	3.59	792.60	785.54	25.38	26.71	3.25	3.27	768.0	762.9	26.19	26.28	3.25	3.21	790.04	755.3			
L.S.D at 5%	6.31 3.86	0.12	0.34	79.83	95.06	5.99	4.45	0.10	0.06	117.22	81.6	5.94	5.59	0.05	0.04	105.03	146.9			
HE– DI: Head diameter S							Γ- DI: stem diameter							LE- AR: leaf area						

Mean with the same letters within each column are not significant differences at 0.05 level of probability.

Yield components:

Data in (Tables 5) show the relationship between the tested *Trichoderma* spp. bioagents and yield components *i.e*, seed number per head, 1000 seed weight and seed yield per plant compared with untreated plants with the different application method on sunflower plants during the two seasons 2015/2016. Data also showed significant differences between treatments, applying *T. harzianum* with foliar spray led to the highest number of seed per head in the first season, as gave 1711 seed per head followed by *T.viride* with the soil drench method in the second season, which gave 1636.3 seed per head compared with untreated control 707.6 and 730.3 seed per head in both season 2015/16. On the other hand,

weight of 1000 seed and seed yield per plant were recorded, the highest values of both weight character found with the two bioagents *T. harzianum* and *T.viride* followed by *T. reseei* in both seasons 2015and 2016. This increase of weight might be due to increases of plants oxygen uptake capacity with an associated increase in chlorophy II production an increase in the permeability of plant membranes and improving the uptake of nutrient. Although, data indicated that specific strains of fungi in the genus *Trichoderma* colonize and penetrate plant head tissues and initiate a series of morphological and biochemical changes in the plant. (Janisiewicz and Korsten (2002).

 Table 5. Effect of Trichoderma species with different application methods on yield of sunflower plants under field conditions, during growing season (2015-2016)

	Foliar spray							Foliar spray+ soil drench							Soil drench					
Bioagents	(No.) of seeds		Weight of		Seed yield/		(No.) of seeds/		Weight of		Seed yield/		(No.) of seeds/		Weight of		Seed yield/			
	/ head		1000 seed		plants		head		1000 seed		plant		head		1000 seed		plant			
	2015	2016	2015	2016	2015	2016	2015	2016	2015	2016	2015	2016	2015	2016	2015	2016	2015	2016		
T. harzianum	1711.0a	1595.3a	90.5a	90.6a	139.6a	139.0a	1103.3b	1122.3bc	90.2a	83.9ab	104.3a	130.0a	1665.0a	1204.6b	77.3b	83.0ab	134.3a	121.3ab		
T. viride	1370.0ab	1570.0a	90.1a	87.0a	131.3a	127.0ab	1418.6a	1308.6b	77.3ab	87.1ab	112.6a	129.3a	1458.3ab	1636.3a	90.3a	90.6a	136.6a	132.0a		
T. hamatum	1296.6ab	1252.6ab	76.8b	77.1a	110.6b	101.6c	1079.3b	1003.0c	80.3ab	77.1ab	105.3a	109.6a	1053.3c	1040.6b	73.93b	77.1b	100.3c	103.0b		
T. Koningii	953.3bc	1043.0ab	73.5b	80.3a	93.3b	104.3c	1182.0ab	1107.3bc	73.8b	77.0ab	109.6a	113.6a	1245.6bc	:1150.0b	73.7b	73.8b	114.0bc	109.3ab		
T. reesei	1190.oab	1243.3ab	87.16a	87.0a	109.6b	102.3c	1414.3a	1599.3a	90.5a	90.53a	126.0a	131.3a	1393.3b	1223.0b	90.1a	83.9ab	124.6ab	116.0ab		
T Polysporum	1496.0ab	1180.0ab	73.7b	76.9a	105b	111.3bc	1181.3ab	1171.3bc	77.1ab	74.1b	109.6a	118.0a	1316.0bc	:1186.3b	77.2b	80.5ab	119.3ab	121.0ab		
Control	707.6c	730.3b	60.1c	57.0b	67.0c	69.0d	707.6c	730.3d	60.1c	57.1c	67.0b	69.0b	707.6d	730.3c	60.1c	57.1c	67.0d	69.0c		
Mean	1246.38	1230.6	78.86	79.44	109.64	107.8	1155.2	1148.90	78.45	78.1	104.95	114.42	1262.8	1167.3	77.54	78.12	113.7	110.23		
L.S.Dat 5%	392.14	475.6	8.18	10.60	16.79	15.67	192.02	174.8	9.23	9.27	17.31	19.76	216.2	204.48	6.90	8.35	13.90	15.73		
Means with th	ne same le	etters witl	hin each	ı colum	n are n	ot signi	ficant di	ifference	s at 0:0	5 level	of prob	ability.								

Data in Table (6) indicate that *T.harzianum showed the highest values of yield per plot* and total yield per feddan with the foliar spray method which gave [8.38 k.g- 8.34 k.g] and [1.952 (ton)- 1.958 (ton)] respectively in both seasons 2015 and 2016 compared with the other treatments.

Meanwhile the lowest values of seed yield per plot was observed with *T.hamatun* which gave the lowest values in yield per plot with the different application methods being (6.10, 6.58 and 6.18 k.gm) per plot in the second season, compared with untreated control, being (4.14 k.gm) in the same season. On the other hand, the total yield per feddan gave the same trend with the highest values of yield per feddan with all *Trichoderma* isolates compared with untreated control plants (Dange *et al.*, (2005)). Although, data in Tables (6) represent the effect of applying bioagents on yiled component, the applying of *Trichoderma* bioagents *i.e T.harzianum* as foliar spray method followed by *T.viride* and *T. reesei* resulted in the highest weight of seed per plot and also the highest yield per feddan in both seasons 2015/2016 compared with untreated control plants. This increase of weight might be due to the major mechanisms involoved in the biocontrol activity of *Trichoderma* spp. *i.e.* competition for space and nutrients, production of diffusible or volatile antibiotics and hydrolytic enzymes like chitinase and B- 1,3glucanase. These hydrolytic enzymes partially degrade the pathogen cell wall and lead to its parasitization (Kubicek *et al.*, 2001).Many recent findings suggest that plant development and biochemistry are strongly affected by *Trichoderma* strains (Lorito *et al.*, 2010).

 Table 6. Effect of *Trichoderma* species with different application methods on total yield, under field conditions during growing season (2015 and 2016)

		Folia	ar spay		Fo	liar spra	y +soil dr	ench	Soil drench					
Bioagents	Yie	ld /	Yield	Yield (ton)/		Yield /		l (ton)	Yiel	l/plot	Yield(ton)/ feddan			
	plot	(kg)	feddan		plot(kg)		Fee	ldan	(F	Kg)				
	2015	2016	2015	2016	2015	2016	2015	2016	2015	2016	2015	2016		
T.harzianum	8.38a	8.34a	1.952a	1.958a	6.46a	7.80a	1.502a	1.871a	8.06a	7.28ab	1.943a	1.746ab		
T. viride	7.88ab	7.62ab	1.891a	1.828ab	6.76a	7.76a	1.622a	1.862a	8.20a	7.92a	1.967a	1.900a		
T hamatum	6.64bc	6.10c	1.593ab	1.463c	6.32a	6.58a	1.516a	1.578a	6.02c	6.18b	1.444c	1.483b		
T. koningii	5.60c	6.26c	1.343b	1.505c	6.58a	6.82a	1.579a	1.636a	6.84bc	6.56ab	1.641bc	1.573ab		
T. reesei	6.58bc	6.14c	1.579ab	1.473c	7.56a	7.88a	1.814a	1.891a	7.48ab	6.96ab	1.794ab	1.670ab		
T. polysporum	6.30bc	6.68bc	1.511ab	1.602bc	6.58a	7.08a	1.597a	1.699a	7.16ab	7.26ab	1.718ab	1.742ab		
Control	4.02d	4.14d	964.6c	993.3d	4.02b	4.14b	964.6b	993.3b	4.02d	4.14c	964.6d	993.3c		
Mean	6.48	6.46	1.547	1.546	6.32	6.86	1511	1647.42	6.82	6.61	1637.80	1587		
L.S.D at 5%	1.30	0.98	321.5	235.9	1.07	1.21	249.34	284.71	0.83	0.94	200.02	226.76		
Moone with the cor	no lottore wi	thin oach	ohumn ara	not cignifier	nt diffor	need of 0 (5 lovel of p	robobility						

Means with the same letters within each column are not significant differences at 0.05 level of probability

Recently, using biological control agents led to the reduction in the disease components and increased the weight of yield per plot as well as weight yield per feddan.

REFERENCES

- Adams, P.; De–Leij F.A. and Lynch, J.M. 2007. *Trichoderma harzianum* Rifai 1295-22 mediates growth promotion of crack willow (salix fragilis) saplings in both clean and metal contaminated soil. Microbial EcoI., 54:366-313.
- AI-Ani, R.A.; Adhab, M.A.; El-Muadhidi, M.A. and Alfahad, M.A. 2011. Induced systemic resistance and promotion of wheat and barley plants growth by biotic and non-biotic agents against barley yellow dwarf virus. Afri. J. Biotech., 10:12079-12084.
- Al- Taweil, A.I.; Osman, M.B.; Aidil, A.H. and Wan Yussof ,W.M. 2009. Optimizing of *Trichoderma viride* cultivation in submerged state fermentation, Amer. J. Applsci., 6:1277-1281.
- Allen, S.J.; Brown, J.F. and Kochman, J.K. 1983. Production of inoculum and field assessment of *Alternaria hlianthi* of sunflower, Plant Diseases., 67:665-668.
- Azarmi, R.; Hajieghrari, B. and Gigloul, A. 2011. Effect of *Trichoderma* isolates on tomato seedling growth response and nutrient uptake. Afr. J. Biotech., 10:5850-5855.
- Begum, M.F.; Rahman, M.A. and Alam, M.F. 2010. Biological control of *Alternaria* Fruit rot of chili by *Trichoderma* species under field conditions. Mycobiol., 38:113-117.
- Bell, D.; Wells H.D. and Markhan C.R. 1982 . *In vitro* antagonism of *Trichoderma* species against six fungal plant pathology., 72: 379-382.
- Boland, G.J. and Hall, R. 1994. Index of plant hosts of sclerotinia sclerotiorum. Canadian Journal of Plant Pathology.,16: 93-108.

- Chagas, H.A. 2009. Control demofo-cinzento damamomerira Pormetodos quimico, biologico ecom oleosessenciais. Msc Dissertation, (Agronomy), Universidade Estadual (Paulista) "Julio de Mesquitafilho", Botucatu, Brazil.
- Chander Rao, S.; Prasad, R.D. and Navaneetha, T. 2010. Evaluation of *Trichoderma* spp. against Botryotinia grey rot of castor. Journal of Oil Seeds Research., 27:238-239.
- Chandra, M.S.; Prasad, R.D.and Navaneetha, T. 2009. Assessing potential of *Trichoderma* spp. and bacterial bioagents in solubilizing inorganic phosphate and production of mycolytic enzymes. Journal of Oil Seeds Research., 26:523-524.
- Dange, S.R.S; Desal, A.G.and Patel, S.L. 2005. Diseases of castor In : Diseases of oilseed crops, Saharan GS,Mehta N, Sangwan MS(Eds)., 211-234.
- Demant, C.A.R. ; Furtado, E.I. Zanotto M.and Chagas A.A . 2010. Control do mofocinzento com usede *Trichoderma*, Proceedings of 2nd Congress Brasileiro de Mamona.
- Elad, y. and Stewart, A. 2007. Microbial control of *Botryotinia* spp. In: Botryotinia: Biology, Pathology and Control, Y. Elad; B.Williamson; P.Tudzynski, N .Delen V (EDS)., 223-236.
- Ferreira, J.H.S.; Matlhee F.N and Tomas A.C.1991. Biological control of Eutypalta on grapevine by an antagonistic strain of *Bacillus Subtilis*. Phytopathology., 81:283-287.
- Gomez , K.A. and Gomez A.A.1984. Statistical procedures for agricultural research. John Wiley and Sons. Inc., New York.
- Gulya, T.; Berlin, N. and Lamery, A. 1994. Sunflower diseases. In: Berjland DR(ed) Sunflower Production Ext. Bulletin. North Dakota Agnic. Experiment Station and North Dakota State Univ .,98: 44-62.

Inas A. El-Ibrahime and K. A. Mourad

- Hegde, S.V. 2002. Liquid Biofertilizers In Indian Agriculture. Biofertilizer Newsletter., 12:17-22.
- Janisiewicz, W.J.I and Korsten, L. 2002. Biological control of postharvest disease of fruits See Comment in Pubmed Commons Below. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol., 40:411-441.
- Joksimovic, J.; Atlagic, J. Marinkovic, R. and Jovanovi, D. 2006. Genetic control of oleic linoleic acid contents in sunflower. Helia., 29:33-40.
- Kubicek, C.P.; Mach, R.L.; Peterbauer, C.K. and Lorito, M, 2001. *Trichoderma* from genes to biocontrol. J. Plant Path., 83: 11-23.
- Lorito, M.; Woo, S.L.; Harman, G.E. and Monte, E. 2010. Translation on *Trichoderma* from Omics to the field. Ann. Rev. Phytopathol., 48:394-417.
- Perchepied,L.; Balague, C.;Riou, C.; Claudel–Renard, C. and Riviere, N. 2010. Nitric oxide participates in the complex interplay of defense related signaling pathways contoroling disease resistance to *sclerotinia sclerotiorum* in Arabidopsis thaliana. Mol. Plant Microbe. Interact.;23:846-860.
- Raj ,N.S. ;Shelty, N.P. and Shelty, H.S. 2004. Synergistic effects of Trichoshield on enhancement of growth and resistance to downy mildew in pearl millet. Biocont., 50:493-509.

- Raoof, M.A.; Yasmeen, M. and Kausar, R. 2003. Potential of biocontrol agents for the management of castor grey mold, Botryotinia ricingodfrey. Indian Journal of Plant Protection., 31:124-126.
- Roadriguez, M.A.; Venedikian, N.; Bazzalo, M.E. and Godeas, A. 2004. Histopathology of *sclerotinia sclerotiorum* attack on flower parts of *Helianthus annuus* heads in tolerant and susceptible varieties. Mycopathologia., 157: 29-302.
- Schaneiter, A.A 1978. Non-destructive leaf area estimation in Sunflower Agron.j 70:141-142.
- Shoresh,M.; Harmam, G.E. and Mastouri F. 2010. Induced systemic resistance and plant responses to fungal biocontrol agents. Anna. Rev. Phytopathol., 48:21-43.
- Steadman, J.R. 1983. White mould a serious yield limiting disease of bean . Plant Disease., 67: 346-350
- Sudisha, J.; Niranjana, S.R.; Umesha, S. Prakash, H.S. and Shelty, H.S. 2006. Transmission of seed – borne infection of muskmelon by *Didymella bryoniae* and effect of seed treatment on disease incidence and fruit yield .Biol Cont., 37:146-205.

فاعلية بعض أنواع لجنس التريكوديرما على مكافحة عفن القرص في عباد الشمس ايناس عبدالعال إبراهيم^{1*} و خميس ابوالجيد مراد² 1 معهد بحوث امراض النباتات- الجيزة- مصر 2 معهد بحوث المحاصيل الزيتية- الجيزة- مصر

يهدف هذا البحث الي:- در اسة تاثير التضاد الحيوى لأنواع مختلفة من التريكودير ما من خلال اضافاتها بطرق تطبيقية متتوعه على التقليل من عفن القرص لنبات عباد الشمس وذلك لأهم الفطريات المسببة للاصابة وهى بوتر ايتس سينيريا وفطر الريز وكتونيا سولاني وكذلك فطريات اسبر اجلس فلافس وفيوز اريوم مونيليفور موريز وبس استولينيفر .وذلك تحت الظروف التطبيقية للحقل. فتحت ظروف المعمل أختبرت القدرة التضادية لجميع أنواع التريكودير ما المستخدمة على الفطريات المسببة لعفن القرض في عباد الشمس. بينما تحت ظروف الحقل فقد تم عمل انتخاب للأنواع المختلفة المستخدمة وهي تريكودير ما المستخدمة على الفطريات المسببة لعفن القرض في عباد الشمس. بينما تحت ظروف الحقل فقد تم عمل انتخاب للأنواع المختلفة المستخدمة وهي تريكودير ما هاريزيانم وفير دي و هاماتم وكوننجيي وريسي وتر ايكودير ما بولي سبور وم خلال موسمي 2015، 2016م في محطة ايتاي البار ود بمحافظة البحيرة. حيث تم الرش بالمعاملات الحيويه بثلاث طرق تطبيقية مختلفة وهي اما رشا للنباتات او اضافة المحلول للتربة او المعاملة المزدوجة وكذلك على مكان ثلاث فتر ات زمنية مختلفة هي 30، 45، 60 يوما بعد الزر اعة. وقد تم تقدير تأثير المعاملات وطرق الرش المختلفة على نسبة الإصابة وكذلك على مكونات المحصول وكميته الكلية مقارنة بالكنترول (النباتات الغير معاملة). - وقد اوضحت النتائج: أن كل المعاملات المستخدمة مع وسال وكذلك على مكونات المحصول وكميته الكلية مقارنة بالكنترول (النباتات الغير معاملة). - وقد اوضحت النتائج: أن كل المعاملات المستخدمة مع وسال وكذلك على مكونات المحصول وكميته الكلية مقارنة بالكنتزول (النباتجية الجميع الصفات المحصولية للمحصول جنبا الى جنب مع كمية البزور وكذلك على مكونات المحصول من حيث الكم ونو عية المحصول وجودته وذلك مقارنة بالنباتات الغير معاملة (الكونترول). كما وصحت النتائج الى الاضافة المختلفة كانت وماية الكل من شدة الإصابة وزيادة الانتاجية لجميع الصفات المحصولية المحاملات الم الموربات المتحصل عليها من المحصول من حيث الكم ون وعية المارين عنما طبقت في صورة رشا للنباتات العير معاملة البزور المتحصول عليها من المحصول من حيث الكم ونوعية المحصول وجودته وذلك مقارنة بالنباتات الغير معاملة (الكونترول). كما اوضحت النتائج ان أعلى المرضاني تضادي تحت ظروف الحق كان مع الفطر تر ايكونترو ل خلال الموسمين 2015 – 2010 وت