Journal of Plant Protection and Pathology

Journal homepage: <u>www.jppp.mans.edu.eg</u> Available online at: <u>www.jppp.journals.ekb.eg</u>

The Insect Pests, the Associated Predatory Insects and Prevailing Spiders in Rice Fields

Fatma El-Zahraa H. Hegazy^{1*}; Eman A. S. Hendawy²; I. I. Mesbah¹ and Fathea A. Salem²

¹Plant Protection Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Tanta University ²Biological Control Research Department, Plant Protection Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center, Giza

ABSTRACT



Rice, *Oryza sativa* L. is a very important food crop in Egypt as well as allover the world. Rice plants are attacked by several insect pest species. The current study was undertaken at the experimental farm of Sakha Agricultural Research Station during 2017 and 2018 rice seasons. Twenty-one insect pest species, belonging to Diptera, Hemiptera, Lepidoptera, Orthoptera and Thysanoptera were collected from rice nurseries and paddy fields using sweep net and pitfall traps. Orders: Hemiptera, Orthoptera and Diptera represented the majority of species number, with 33.33, 28.57 and 23.81%, respectively. Larvae of *Chilo agamennon* Bles. Were rare during July, moderate during August, and high during September. *Hydrellia prosternalis* Deem. was more dominant just after transplanting, on rice that sown after mid-May. Population density of *Chironomus* spp. was moderate during May, high in July, greatly declined in September. As for insect predators, 34 species were captured, belonging to eight orders and 16 families. Order Coleoptera was represented by 15 species (44.12% out of total), followed by Hymenoptera (20.59%), and Odonata (14.71%). Sixteen spider species, belonging to nine families, were surveyed. They were f belonging to Lycosidae, Tetragnathidae and Salticidae. All spiders and insect predators appeared during the period from nurseries up to harvest. This way indicates the richness of rice fields, in Egypt, with bio control agents that should be conserved to minimize application of insecticides and regain the natural balance in rice fields.

Keywords: Predators, spiders, insect pests, rice fields.

INTRODUCTION

Rice is a very important food crop in Egypt, as well as allover the world. It occupies about 22% of the cultivated area of summer crops in Egypt, and the national average of rice is 9.2 tons/hectare (Anonymous, 2020).

Quantity and quality losses occur in rice plants as a result of several insect pests attacks. More than 100 insect pest species attack rice worldwide, but fortunately few of which cause economic losses in Egypt. Depending on the crop stages, the early rice is subject to attacks by bloodworms, *Chironomus* spp. and rice leaf miner *Hydrellia prosternalis* Deem. During tillering, the plants suffer from the rice stem borer, *Chilo Agamemnon* Bles., in addition to leafhoppers and planthoppers (Awadalla *et al* 2016, Yuan *et al.* 2019). However, the early stages of panicle information, the developing kernels are vulnerable to damage by the stink bugs (Mita *et al.* 2015).

Because the growers are worried about the damage caused by the insects, regardless of its economic importance, they tend to use insecticides. This chemical control has negative impacts on the environment and human being health. Thus, the approach of the integrated pest management should be seriously considered (Ardestania 2020).

The predatory insects are effective in regulating the pest population and accordingly, they should be conserved (Mesbah and Sherief 1999, Hendawy *et al.* 2005, El-Sheikh *et al* 2018). surveyed aquatic insect predators and terrestrials insect predators as effective bio control agents in paddy

fields. In addition, spiders, as generalist predators, proved to be efficient in different agricultural ecosystems, particularly rice, because the hot and humid weather encourage these spiders to flourish (Sherif *et al.* (2001) recorded eleven spider species, belonging to six families, from rice fields. Hendawy (2004) surveyed thirteen species of orb- weaver spiders from rice fields and identified 35 insect pest species captured in webs of spiders.

Cross Mark

The current study was undertaken throughout 2017 and 2018 rice seasons, at the experimental farm of Sakha Agricultural Research Station to investigate the major insect pests and their associated predatory insects and spiders that inhibiting rice field and their population fluctuations.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Survey and population studies

This study was carried out at the experimental farm of Sakha Agricultural Research Station during two successive rice seasons: 2017 and 2018. The experimental rice field (about half feddan) was sown with Egyptian Hybrid 1 and Sakha 101 on two dates; May 5th and June 20th and transplanted one month later. This area was included in the Rice Research Program, Field Crops Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center. The experimental plots received normal cultural practices, but without any insecticides except herbicides.

The insects and their predators were collected, and their population fluctuations were monitored using sweep net, pitfall traps, visual records, as well as dissection of rice hills. The occurring arthropods in rice plots were recorded. In the nursery, sampling began seven days after broadcasting, and continued for the whole period of nursery about (one month) at 3-day intervals. In the permanent field, sampling began seven days after transplanting, and continued till harvest at 7-day intervals.

Sampling Methods

Sweep net

The Sweep net (as described by Hassan et al. 2016) was used for collecting the arthropods that occurring on rice plants in the nursery and permanent fields at the abovementioned intervals for identifying and counting. Each sample consisted of fifty double strokes. After each collection, the captured arthropods were introduced into a glass jar and moved to the laboratory.

Pitfall traps

Cylinder plastic containers (15 cm diameter \times 20 cm height) were embedded into the dikes. Each container had water to the half of its height and provided with few drops of liquid soap as a detergent and five ml of formaldehyde for preserving the arthropods from decomposition. The experimental area was about half feddan, in which ten traps were embedded. Sampling was practiced every three days. To obtain the captured arthropods, the contents of each trap

was sieved through a fine plastic net. After each collection, the captured arthropods were introduced into a glass jar and moved to the laboratory for identifying and counting. Specimen preserving

The catches, collected by the abovementioned techniques, were cleaned from plant debris and other foreign particles. Then, the cleaned catch was emptied into another glass jar with 70% ethylene alcohol provided with few drops of glycerin to keep the arthropod tissues soft. The arthropods were identified by Systematic Research Department at Plant Protection Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center, Egypt, as well as Dr. A.S. Hendawy.

Statistical analysis:

Data were subjected to Standard Error (S.E.) and average.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

1. Survey of insect pests

Twenty-one insect pest species belonging to five orders: Diptera, Hemiptera, Lepidoptera, Orthoptera and Thysanoptera were surveyed from rice nurseries and permanent fields during 2017 and 2018 rice seasons (Table 1).

Table 1. Survey of insect pest species that inhibiting nursery and permanent fields, during 2017 and 2018 season	S
(where, $A =$ adult, $L =$ larvae, $P =$ pupae and $N =$ nymph)	

Order	Family	Common name	Insect species	Stage	Period of occurrence
	Chironomidae	Bloodworm	Chironomus spp.	А	May-Sep.
Diptera (23. 81 %)	Ephydridae	Rice leaf miner	Hydrellia prosternalis Deem.	A+L	May-Oct.
	Tabanidae	Tabanid fly	Atylotus agrestis Wied	А	May-Jul.
(23. 81 %)	Muscidae	Shoot-fly	Atherigona sp.	А	June- Sept.
	Syrphidae	Hoverflies	Eristalis sp.	А	AugSept.
	Pentatomidae	Green stink bugs	Nezara viridula L.	A+N	May-Oct.
	I entatonnuae	White- spotted stink bugs	Eysarcoris ventralis (Westwood)	A+N	May-Oct.
Unintara	Cicadellidae	Leafhoppers	Balclutha hortensis Lindb.	A+N	May-Oct.
Hemiptera (33.33 %)		Green leafhopper	Nephotettix modulatus (Melichar)	A+N	Jul -Oct.
	Delphacidae	Planthoppers	Sogatella furcifera (Horvath.)	A+N	May-Oct.
		Flailuloppers	Sogatella vibix (Haupt)	A+N	May-Oct.
	Aphidiidae	Aphid	Rhopalosiphum sp.	A+N	May-Oct.
Lepidoptera	Crambidae	Rice stem borer	Chilo agamemnon Bles.	A+L	May-Oct.
(9.52 %)	Hesperiidae	Rice Skipper	Pelopidas thrax Hübner	A+L+P	May-Oct.
			Acrotylus insubricus (Scop.)	А	May-Aug.
	Acrididae	Grasshopper	Aiolopus strepenes (Latr.)	А	May-Sep.
Orthoptera			Eyprepocnemis plorans (Charp.)	Α	May-Sep.
(28.57 %)	Gryllidae	Mole crickets	Gryllus domesticus L.	А	May-Oct.
	Orymuae	Whole chekets	Gryllus bimaculatus De Geer	А	May-Oct.
	Gryllotalpidae	Mole crickets	Gryllotalpa gryllotalpa L.	A+N	May-June
Thysanoptera (4.76 %)	Thripidae	Thrips	Florithrips traeghardi Trybom.	A+N	May-Aug.

Order Diptera is represented by four insect species (23. 81 % out of total species) and four families; Chironomidae, Ephydridae, Tabanidae, Muscidae and Syrphidae. Chirnonmids (bloodworms) are a big threat to rice plants in saline soils, specially in nurseries and broadcast rice (Sherif et al. 1999). The larvae feed upon rootlets which may need rice resowing in bare batches. Hydrellia prosternalis (Robineau-Desvoidy) (rice leaf miner) usually causes severe damage in late-sown rice (Sherif et al. 1997).

Order Hemiptera is represented by seven species (33.33 % out of total species), two species are belonging to Pentatomidae. Stink bugs feed upon florets during milky stage and cause two types of damage; direct and indirect. Direct damage occurs when stink bugs (adults and nymphs) suck saps of panicles, resulting in incomplete filled grains, which reflects reduced rice yield. Indirect damage occurs when the insects insert their stylets into milky grain, and transmit fungus infection, that appears after rice milling as black or brown dots (pecky rice). The pecky rice is usually sold in the markets in lowered prices because of low quality.

Five insect species were found belonging to families: Cicadellidae, Delphacidae and Aphididae. Leafhoppers and planthoppers result in direct damage by sucking the plant saps causing hopper burns in case of dense insect populations and severe damage. The indirect damage occurs when the insects transmit virus diseases (kaushik, 2012; Berry et al. 2020).

Order Lepidoptera is represented by two species (9.52 % out of total); Chilo agamemnon has been considered for several decades until now as the most destructive pest of rice. Many species of the genus *Chilo* have been reported from rice fields. Out of these, *C. agamemnon* is known as a pest of rice, maize and sugar-cane crops in Egypt. Others species are serious pests of rice and have been recorded attacking some Poaceae species (Mohyuddin 1990). The larvae invade rice stems and result in dead tillers, during vegetative stage, which are called dead hearts. In reproductive stage, the larvae enter the base of panicles which become completely or partially unfilled, causing the symptom of white heads (Sherif *et al.* 2008).

(28.57% out of total) belonging to three families; Acrididae,

Order Orthoptera is represented by six insect species

Gryllidae and Gryllotalpidae. From the economic point of view, only the mole cricket, *Gryllotalpa gryllotalpa* L. is considered an important insect pset, as the nymphs and adults feed upon rice roots, particularly in areas of drained water.

Order Thysanoptera is only represented by one Family; Thripidae that contains also one *Florithrips traegardhi* Trybom. (Sherif, and Hendawy (2004).

2. Survey of insect predators

The surveyed insect predators accounted for 34 species belonging to eight orders and 16 families (Table 2).

Table 2. Survey of insect predator species that collected from rice nursery and permanent field, during 2017 and 2018
seasons (where, A = adult, L = larvae, P = pupae and N = nymph)

Order	Family	Common Name	Species	Stage	Period of occurrence
	Stanhylinidaa	Rove beetles	Paederus alfierii Koch	A	May-Oct.
	Staphylinidae	Rove beenes	Philonthus spp.	А	May-Aug.
			Scymnus interruptus Goeze.	А	May-Aug.
	Coccinellidae	Lady beetles	Coccinella undcimpunctata L.	А	JulAug
	Coccinentuae		Stethorus sp.	А	JulAug
			Rhyzobius litura F.	А	JunAug
alcontern			Bembidion spp.	А	JunAug
1	Carabidae	Ground beetles	Harpalus sp.	А	JulAug
(44.12 %)	Carabidae	Giouna beenes	Pterostichus spp.	А	JunAug
			Tachys sp.	А	JunAug
			Cybister sp.	А	JulAug
	Dytiscidae	Aquatic Beetles	Bidessus major Sharp	А	JulAug
	Dyuseidae		Hydrovatus sordidus Sharp	А	JulAug
			Bidessus confuses Klug	А	JulAug
Coleoptera (44.12 %) Hymenoptera (20.59%) Hemiptera (2.94 %) Odonata (14.71%) Neuroptera (2.94%)	Anthicidae	Flower beetles	Anthicus spp.	А	AugSept.
	Vespidae	Yellow wasps	Polistes gallicus L.	А	JulAug
	Crabronidae*	Spider wasps	Trypoxylon sp.*		May-Sept.
· 1			Camponotus sp.*		
		Ants	Messor sp.*		
	Formicidae		Monomorium sp.	А	May- Sept.
			Phiedole sp. *		
T			Solenopsis sp.		
	Veliidae	Ripple Bug	Microvelia spp.	A+N	May-Aug.
2.94 %)		Common bluetail	**		
	Coenagrionidae	damselfly	Ischnura senegalensis (Rambur)	А	May- Sept.
		Vagrant emperor			
		dragonfly	Anax ephippiger (Burmeister)	А	May- Sept.
	Aeschnidae	Lesser emperor			
14.71%)		dragonfly	Anax parthenope Selys*	А	May- Sept.
		Scarlet dragonfly	Crocothemis erythraea (Brulle)	А	May-Sept.
	Libellulidae	Northern banded		11	5 1
	Lioonandae	groundling dragonfly	Brachythemis impartita (Karsch)*	А	May- Sept.
Neuroptera	<i>a</i>				T 1 G
	Chrysopidae	Lacewing	Chrysoperla carnea Steph.	А	JulSept.
			Eupeodes corollae (F.)		
Diptera	Syrphidae	Hoverflies	Sphaerophoria scripta (L.)	А	Jun Sept.
11.76%)			Scaeva albmaculata(Macquart)*		-
	Chloropidae	Chloropid flies	Anatrichus pygmaeus Lamb	А	May- Sept.
Orthoptera	Tettigoniidae	Long-horned	Conocephalus conocephalus L.	A+N	Jun Sept.
2.94%)	retugoinidae	grasshopper	Conoceptiums conoceptiums L.	ATIN	Jun-Sept.

* One family and eight species are recorded for the first time in rice fields in Egypt.

Order Coleoptera had the highest number of predatory species (15 species representing 44.12 % out of total). Family Staphylinidae was represented by two species; while Coccinellidae was represented by three species, Predators of both families appeared in rice nurseries and permanent fields throughout the seasons; from May up to October. However, *Cybister* beetles were detected during July and August. Hymenoptera was represented by seven species; two wasp species and five ant species, they all constituted 20.59 % out of surveyed species. Both families;

Vespidae, Crabronidae and Formicidae occurred in the experimental fields from May up to September, and thus covered the whole experimental period. Three formicid species and crabronid species, *Trypoxylon* sp. are recorded for the first time in rice fields in Egypt; *Camponotus* sp., *Messor* sp. and *Phiedole* sp.

Each of Hemiptera, Neuroptera and Orthoptera was represented by one species (2.94 % out of total for each) and one family. The species were captured in different traps from May up to August or October. Order Odonata had five species (14.71 % out of total) belonging to three families. All insect predatory species were trapped from May up to September. Two odonatous species are recorded for the first time in rice fields in Egypt; *Anax parthenope* Selys and *Brachythemis impartita* (Karsch).

Order Diptera was represented by two families and four species. Order Orthoptera had only one species, *Conocephalus* spp. (Fam.: Tittigoniidae)

El-Sherif *et al.* (1979), in Egypt, indicated that *Ischnura sengalensis* (Rambur) and *Hemianax ephippiger* are the most dominant species of Odonata at nursery and paddy field. William *et al.* (1996) concluded that the high populations of generalist predators in rice fields occurred by mid-season. Hendawy *et al.* (2005) observed that both damsel flies and dragon flies are feeding mainly on

dipterous, stem and leaf borer larvae and leaf and plant hoppers. *Ischnura senegalensis* was the most dominant, while *Crucothemis erythraea* was the least one. Ghahari *et al.* (2009) surveyed a total of 35 staphylinid species belonging to 23 genera and 10 subfamilies from rice fields. Girish *et al.* (2015) found that the population dynamics of insect predators were highest under different rice ecosystems.

3. Insect- predator association

Nine predatory species were collected as associated with *C. agamemnon*, *H. prosternalis*, leafhoppers and *Chironomus* spp. (Table 3); six of these predators were insects, while three of which were spiders. The rove beetle, *Paederus alfierii* (Fabricius) preyed upon eggs of each of *C. agamemnon* and *H. prosternalis*.

Table 3. Common predators and their associated prey in rice fields at 2017 and 2018 seasons

Predator			Р	rey	
Scientific name	Common name	RSB	RLM	L&P-H	BLW
Paederus alfierii Koch	Rove beetle	√(E)	√(E)	Х	Х
Ischenura senegalensis (Rambur)	common bluetail damselfly	√(A)	√(A)	$\sqrt{(N+A)}$	√(A)
Crocothemis erythreae (Brulle)	Scarlet dragonfly	√(A)	√(A)	√(A)	√(A)
Conocephalus conocephalusI (L.)	African meadow katydid	√(E)	Х	Х	Х
Anatrichus pygmaeus Lamb	Grassland chloroped fly	√(L)	Х	Х	Х
Microvella sp.	Ripple bug	Х	Х	√(N+A)	Х
Pardosa spp.	Wolf spiders	√(A)	Х	X	Х
Argiope trifasciata (Forsskål)	Banded garden spider	$\sqrt{(A)}$	√(A)	√(N+A)	√(A)
Tetragnatha spp.	Long-jawed spiders	$\sqrt{(A)}$	$\sqrt{(A)}$	$\sqrt{(N+A)}$	$\sqrt{(A)}$
			· · · ·		`

RSB: Chilo Agamemnon, RLM: Hydrellia prosternalis, L&P-H: leafhoppers and planthoppers, BLW: Blood worms Chironomus spp. E: Eggs, L: Larvae, N: Nymphs, A: Adults, X: Not found

The damselfly, *Ischnura senegalensis* fed upon adults of each of *C. agamemnon*, *H. prosternalis* and *Chironomus* spp., and nymphs and adults of leafhoppers and planthopper. The dragonfly, *Crocothemis erythreae* fed upon adults of *C. agamemnon*, H. prosternalis, nymphs and adults of leafhoppers and planthopper, as well as adults of *Chironomus* spp. However, *Conocephalus* only attacked the eggs of rice stem borer, *C. agamemnon*. The dipterous, *Anatrichus pygmaeus* fed upon larvae of *C. agamemnon*. *Microvelia* sp. attacked and fed upon nymphs and adults of leafhoppers and planthoppers. The spiders, *Argiope* spp and *Tetragnatha* spp. preyed upon adults of each of *C. agamemnon*, *H. prosternalis*, *Chironomus* spp. as well as nymphs and adults of leafhoppers and planthopper. The spider, *Pardosa* spp. fed only upon *C. agamemnon* adults.

4. Population fluctuation of insect predators in rice fields Population fluctuation of some predatory insects associated with major rice insects prevailing in rice fields are presented in Table (4).

 Table 4. Population fluctuations of Paederus alfierii, Conocephalus conocephalus and Ischnura senegalensis in the Egyptian rice fields at Kafr El-Sheikh region

Species	Pa	ederus alfierii		Conocepha	lus conoceph	Ischnura senegalensis			
Date of Inspection	2017	2018	Av.	2017	2018	Av.	2017	2018	Av.
May 21	2	3	2.50	3	1	2.00	2	1	1.50
27	6	4	5.00	5	5	5.00	8	0	4.00
Jun 3	6	5	5.50	10	8	9.00	22	8	15.00
10	8	9	8.50	3	11	7.00	18	12	15.00
18	6	2	3.00	4	2	3.00	13	18	15.50
26	17	1	9.00	1	3	2.00	7	24	15.50
Jul 2	17	20	18.50	0	9	4.50	3	31	17.00
8	18	24	21.00	13	12	12.50	26	27	26.50
16	5	12	8.50	17	3	10.00	1	17	8.50
30	21	38	29.50	4	8	6.00	4	18	11.00
Aug 6	10	24	17.00	5	2	3.50	11	15	13.00
14	3	24	13,50	3	12	7.50	19	10	14.50
20	12	51	31.50	11	15	13.00	10	30	20.00
27	34	23	28.50	10	19	14.50	11	3	7.00
Sept 3	22	14	18.00	6	11	8.50	26	21	28.50
10	29	35	32.00	8	2	5.00	16	3	9.50
18	16	19	17.00	14	3	8.50	5	18	11.50
25	25	12	18.00	5	9	7.00	12	7	9.50
Total	257	320		122	135		214	263	
Annual Avarege±S.E.	19.28±2.21	17.78±3.24		6.78±1.12	7.50±1.22		11.89±1.86	14.61±2.31	

The average numbers of *P. alfierii* had five peaks annually of the predatory adults. These peaks averaged 8.50,

21.00, 29.00, 31.50 and 32.00 predatory adults/fifty double strokes +10 pitfall traps. These peaks occurred on June 10th,

July 8th, July30th, August 20th and September 10th, respectively.

The average numbers *C. conocephalus* nymphs and adults had three peaks were detected on June 3rd, July 8th and August 27th. The averages of these peaks were 9.00, 12.50 and 14.5 nymphs and adults/50 double strokes +10 pitfall traps, respectively.

Also, three peaks were found for *I. senegalensis* adults; 2.50 occurred on July 8th, 20.00 on August 10th, and appeared 28.50 adults on September 3rd.

Data in Table (5) show the population fluctuations of three insect predators associated with some rice insect pests. Average of 2017 and 2018 seasons, show that *Crocothemis erythraea* density had three peaks on June 10^{th} , July 8th and August 14th, with 9.50, 9.50 and 8.50 individuals/50 double strokes +10 pitfall traps.

 Table 5. Population fluctuations of Crocothemis erythraea, Anatrichus pygmaeus and Microveila sp. in the Egyptian rice fields at Kafr El-Sheikh region

Species	Croco	othemis erythro	aea	Anat	trichus pygmae	eus	<i>Microveila</i> sp.		
Date of Inspection	2017	2018	Av.	2017	2018	Av.	2017	2018	Av.
May 21	1	2	1.50	1	1	1.00	0	1	0.50
27	2	5	3.50	3	5	4.00	1	3	2.00
Jun. 3	5	11	8.00	1	2	1.50	3	3	3.00
10	7	12	9.50	4	8	6.00	2	4	3.00
18	8	3	5.50	5	6	5.50	1	4	2.50
26	12	0	6.00	3	8	5.50	1	3	2.00
Jul. 2	1	0	0.50	11	15	13.00	6	5	5.50
8	12	7	9.50	10	17	13.50	9	10	9.50
16	4	1	2.50	8	12	10.00	1	3	2.00
30	5	5	5.00	3	4	3.50	3	4	3.50
Aug. 6	6	8	7.00	9	18	13.50	8	1	4.50
14	6	11	8.50	4	12	8.00	2	0	1.00
20	5	2	3.50	6	10	8.00	12	13	12.50
27	4	3	3.50	8	14	11.00	15	17	16.00
Sept. 3	3	9	6.00	14	22	18.00	19	25	22.00
10	3	12	7.50	5	11	8.00	24	32	28.00
18	10	5	7.50	9	24	16.50	11	21	16.00
25	5	2	3.50	5	19	12.00	7	15	11.00
Total	99	98		109	208		125	164	
Annual Avarege±S.E.	5.50±0.78	5.44 <u>±</u> 0.98		6.06 ± 0.85	11.56±1.59		6.94±1.64	9.11±2.21	

The peaks of *Anatrichus pygmaeus* occurred on July 8th, August 6th, and September 3rd with average s of both years with13.50, 133.50 and 16.50 predatory insect, respectively. The peaks of *Micovelia* sp. activity were only two, small one was detected on July 8th with 9.50 individuals, while the big one was detected on September 10^{th} with 28.00 individuals/50 double strokes +10 pitfall traps.

5. Survey and population fluctuations of spiders in rice fields

Sixteen spider species, belonging to nine families, were surveyed from the experimental rice fields during 2017 and 2018 seasons (Table 6). Three wolf spider species (Family: Lycosidae) were collected, as well as two long-jawed spiders (Family: Tetragnathidae). However, each of Thomisidae, Philodromidae, Araneidae, Pisauridae and Salticidae families was represented by only one spider species.

Table 6. Survey of spider species collected by sweep net and pitfall traps from rice nursery and permanent field, during 2017 and 2018 seasons.

Family	Common Name	Spider species	Stage	Period of occurrence
Thomicidae	Crab spider	Thomisus sp.	A+S*	Sept.
		<i>Lycosa</i> sp	А	May-Oct.
Lycosidae Tetragnothridae	Walfanidan	Pardosa spp	А	May- Oct.
	Wolf spider	Wadicosa fidelis (O. PCambridge)	А	May- Oct.
		Hogna ferox (Lucas)	А	May- Oct.
Totragnothridaa	Long invedender	Tetragnatha javana (Thorell)	A+S	Jun- Oct.
Tetragnothridae	Long –jawed spider	Tetragnatha nitens (Audouin)	A+S	Jun- Oct.
Dhiladaamidaa	Running crab spiders	Thanatus Albini (Audouin)	A+S	May-Oct.
Philodromidae	Crab spiders	Philodromus sp.	А	Sept.
Araneidae	Typical-orb weavers	Argiope trifasciata (Forsskål)	A+S	May-Oct.
Araneidae	Orb weavers	Hypsosinga albovittata (Westring)	A+S	Jun- Oct.
Pisauridae	Nursery web spiders	Dolomedes sp	A+S	May-Oct.
Salticidae	Jamping spiders	Ballus sp.	А	Sept.
	-	Thyene imperialis (Rossi)	А	Sept.
Eutichuridae.	Sac spider	Cheiracanthium sp.	А	Sept.
Linyphiidae	sheetweb spider	Erigone dentipalpis (Wider)	А	May-Oct.
A: Adult, S: Sniderlings				

A: Adult, S: Spiderlings

Sherif *et al.* (2001) recorded eleven spider species, belonging to six families, from rice fields. Hendawy (2004) identified 35 insect pest species captured in webs of spiders.

The pests were found belonging to eight orders (Coleoptera, Diptera, Ephemeroptera, Homoptera, Hemiptera, Lepidoptera, Orthoptera and Hymenoptera) and 31 families.

Fatma El-Zahraa H. Hegazy et al.

Fortunately, the most occurring insect pests in spider webs were adults of rice leaf miner, *Hydrellia prostenalis*, bloodworms, *Chironomus* spp, and leafhoppers, planthoppers, and rice stem borer, *Chilo agamemnon*. In Inda Venkateshalu *et al.* (2009) indicated that lycosids comprised 36.45 % of surveyed spiders from rice fields. Ghavami (2010) recorded 27 spider species belonging to 14 families, from rice fields. *Tetragnathidae* and *Araneidae* were the most dominant families; *Tetragnatha extensa* and

Neoscona adianta were the most dominant species. Muddasir *et al.* (2016) indicated that Lycosid, *Pardosa pseudoanulata* was the predominant spider in rice fields, and were found predating on all types of pests in rice fields.

Three spider species were recorde, from rice nursery and permanent field, during 2017 and 2018 seasons. These species were *Pardosa* spp., *Tetragnatha* spp. and *Argiope trifasciata*. Peaks of spider activity, as predators of rice insect pests are presented in Table (7)

Table 7. Population fluctuations of the most dominant s	piders in the Egyptian rice fields at Kafr El-Sheikh region
---	---

Species	P	ardosa spp		- Tet	ragnatha spp.		Argiop	oe trifasciata	ı
Date of Inspection	2017	2018	Av.	2017	2018	Av.	2017	2018	Av.
May 21	3	2	2.50	2	3	2.50	0	0	0.00
27	4	16	10.00	1	5	3.00	0	1	0.50
Jun. 3	5	6	5.50	3	11	7.00	2	2	2.00
10	9	8	8.50	4	6	5.00	2	3	2.50
18	2	6	4.00	2	3	2.50	1	4	2.50
26	1	7	4.00	5	16	10.50	3	5	4.00
Jul. 2	10	17	13.50	11	14	12.50	6	8	7.00
8	4	18	11.00	20	27	23.50	4	9	6.50
16	2	5	3.50	13	39	26.00	1	7	4.00
30	18	11	14.50	21	46	33.50	3	3	3.00
Aug. 6	14	20	17.00	14	35	24.50	8	8	8.00
14	24	23	23.50	22	44	33.00	2	12	7.00
20	27	41	34.00	39	51	24.50	10	16	13.00
27	23	14	18.50	46	62	33.00	15	25	20.00
Sept. 3	14	22	18.00	35	43	54.00	19	23	26.00
10	15	39	27.00	44	34	39.00	14	19	16.50
18	19	26	22.50	51	62	56.50	11	18	14.50
25	22	35	28.50	62	73	67.50	17	21	19.00
Total	216	316		395	574		118	184	
Annual Average±S.E.	12.00 ± 2.05	17.56±2.78		21.94±4.59	31.89±5.27		6.56±1.48	10.22±1.91	1

The wolf spiders, *Pardosa* spp. exhibited five peaks of activity, recorded on May 27^{th} , June 10^{th} , July 2^{nd} , August 20^{th} and September 10^{th} . The population densities of this spider at the abovementioned dates were 10.00, 8.50, 13.50, 34.00 and 27.00 spiders /50 double strokes +10 pitfall traps, respectively.

The long-jawed spider *Tetragnatha* spp. appeared in four peaks of activity, during the first week of June (7.00 individuals), last week of July (33.50 individuals), late August (54 individuals) and late September (67.00 spiders /50 double strokes +10 pitfall traps).

The banded-garden spider, *Argiope trifasciata* was detected with only two peaks; on July 2^{nd} , and on September 3^{rd} , with population densities of 7.00 and 26.00 spiders /50 double strokes +10 pitfall traps, respectively.

REERENCES

- Anonymous (2020), Rice Research and Training Center, Agricultural Reearch Center, Ministry of Agriculture and Land Reclamation, Egypt Annual Rice Technical.
- Ardestania M. M. (2020) Brief Overview of the Rice Pests and Their Natural Enemies in Some Rice-Growing Asian Countries Russian Agricultural Sciences, 46, (4). 359–364.
- Awadalla, S. S.; A. S. Hendawy and M. M. Ismael (2016). Population density of chironomid species and evaluation of some insecticides against larvae at Kafr El-Sheikh Governorate rice fields. J. Plant Prot. Path., Mansoura Univ., 7 (1): 69 - 72.

- Berry G., K. Tanruean, T. Napiroon, W. Saetang, M. Penpo, S. Duangrod and P. Poolprasert (2020), The community structure of beneficial and harmful arthropod fauna in rice field ecosysterms in lower Northern Thailand. The Journal of Applied Science, 19 (1): 1-12.
- El- Sherif. S. I., A. F. Isa and A. F. Lutfallah (1979). Survey of aquatic insects in rice nurseries and fields. Agric. Res. Rev, 54 (1): 93-98.
- El-Sheikh, M. F.; F. H. Hegazy and A. S. Hendawy (2018), Impact of biocide, insecticides, compost and mineral fertilizers treatments on the abundance of parasitoid and predator insects in rice fields. J. Plant Prot. Path., Mansoura Univ., 9 (3): 225 – 230.
- Ghahari, H., S. Anlaş, H. Sakenin, H. Ostovan and M. Tabari (2009). A contribution to the rove beetles (Coleoptera: Staphylinoidea: Staphylinidae) of Iranian rice fields and surrounding grasslands. Lin. Biol. Beitr. 41(2): 1959-1968.
- Ghavami, S. (2010). Investigation density of population of spiders in the rice fields in the northern part of Iran. Asian Journal of Experimental Sciences; 24(1).
- Girish V. P., M. G. Hegde and R. A. Balikai (2015). Population dynamics of insect predators under different planting methods of paddy ecosystem. J. Exp. Zool. India, 18 (1): 249-251
- Hendawy, A. S. (2004). Survey and abundance of orbweaver spiders in the Egyptian rice fields and captured prey. Egyptian J. Agric. Res.: 82(1): 283-293.

- Hendawy, A. S.; M. R. Sherif. A. E. Abada and M. M. El-Habashy (2005). Aquatic and semi aquatic insects occurring in the Egyptian rice fields and hazardous effect of insecticides. Egypt .J. Agric. Res., 83(5): 493-502.
- Kaushik, C. (2012). Assessment on the major insect pest constrains of paddy and its natural enemies in the atria zone of west Bengal during kharif (winter) season. Pantnagar Journal of Research, 10(1): 119-121.
- Mesbah, I. I. and M. R. Sherif (1999). Rice stem borer damage and rice grain yield relationship, and impact of insecticides on predators of rice fields. 2nd Int. Conf. of Pest Control, Mansoura, Egypt, pp. 272-283.
- Mita, T.; H. Nishimoto; N. Shimizu and N. Mizutani (2015). Occurrence of Trissolcus basalis (Hymenoptera, Platygastridae), an egg parasitoid of Nezara viridula (Hemiptera, Pentatomidae), in Japan., J. Appl. Entomol. and Zool., 50: 27–31.
- Mohyuddin, A. I. (1990). Biological control of Chilo spp. in maize, sorghum and millet. International Journal of Tropical Insect Science, 11(4-5): 719-732.
- Muddasir, M., Z. Ahmad, M. J. Fardusi, and A. Rehman. (2016). Effects of biological insecticides on predatory spider's population in rice field. Int. J. Innovation Appl. Stud. 11, 114–117.
- Sherif, M. R. and A. S. Hendawy (2004). Florithrips traegardhi Trybom. (Thripidae: Thysanoptera) a new record of rice nurseries in Egypt and associated natural enemies. Egypt. J. Agric. Res., 82 (1): 273-281.

- Sherif, M. R. and A.O.Bastawisi (1997). Comparative observations on infestation of Japonica, Indica and Indica x Japonica rices by rice stem borer and rice leafminer and their population fluctuations. J.Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 22 (3): 907-915.
- Sherif, M. R.; A. S. Hendawy and M. M. El-Habashy (2008). Utilization of Trichogramma evanescens for controlling rice stem borer, Chilo agamemnon in rice fields in Egypt. Egyptian J. Biological Pest Control, 18(1): 11-16.
- Sherif, M. R.; A. S. Hendawy and M.M. EL-Habashy (2001). Spiders occurring in the Egyption rice fields and adverse effect of insecticides. J. Agric. Res. Tanta Univ., 27(3): 463-470.
- Sherif, M. R.; F. E. Abdallah and A. M. Soliman (1999). Major insects of rice plants in Egypt and their management. Adv. Agric. Res. In Egypt, 2(3): 188-219.
- Venkateshalu; L. Hanumanthraya and G. Maraddi (2009). Impact of different rice agro-ecosystem on spider population dynamics. Environment and Ecology; 27(3A): 1231-1236.
- William, H. S., H. Ariawan, E. T. astuti, W.A. Cahyana, A. L. Hakim, D. Hindayana, A. S. Lestari, and pajarningsih (1996). Managing tropical rice pests through conservation of generalist natural enemies and alternative prey. The Ecological society of America. Ecology, 77(7): 1975-1988.
- X., Zhou, Y. Jiang, H. Yu, S. Wu, Y. Gao, J. Yuan Cheng, Z. Zhu (2019), Organic regime promotes evenness of natural enemies and planthopper control in paddy fields. Environ. Entomol., 48(2): 318-325.

الأفات الحشرية والمفترسات الحشرية والعناكب السائدة المرتبطة بها في حقول الأرز فاطمة الزهراء حسين حجازي¹، إيمان أحمد سمير هنداوي²، إبراهيم إبراهيم مصباح¹ و فتحية عبد الخالق سالم² ¹قسم وقاية النبات - كلية الزراعة - جامعة طنطا ²قسم بحوث المكافحة الحيوية ، معهد بحوث وقاية النباتات ، مركز البحوث الزراعية, الجيزة

يعتبر الأرز من المحاصيل الغذائية الهامة للغاية في مصر وكذلك في جميع أنحاء العالم. تتعرض نباتات الأرز للإصابة بأنواع عديدة من الأفات الحشرية ، أجريت الدراسة الحالية في المزرعة التجريبية بمحطة البحوث الزراعية بسخًا خلَّل موسمي 2017 و 2018 لمحصول الأرز. تم حصر 21 نوعًا من الأفات الحشرية ، والتي تنتمي إلى رتب Diptera و Hemiptera و Crthoptera و Orthoptera و Thysanoptera وذلك في مشاتل وحقول الأرز باستخدام شبكة جمع الحشرات والمصائد الأرضية. اشتملت رتب Hemiptera و Orthoptera على غالبية أنواع الأفات الحشرية ،بنسب 33.33 و 28.57 و 23.81 بيني التوالي ، كانت يرقات ثقبة الأرز Chilo agamemnon و Chilo يوليو ، ومتوسطة خلال اغسطس ، وأعلى خلال سبتمبر . صانعة أنفاق أوراق الأرز // على التوالي ، كانت يرقات ثقبة الأرز Chilo agamemnon . نادرة خلال يوليو ، ومتوسطة خلال أغسطس ، وأعلى خلال سبتمبر . صانعة أنفاق أوراق الأرز Chironomus spp كانت أكثر شيوعًا بعد الزراعة مباشرة على الأرز المنزرع بعد منتصف مايو. الكثافة العدية للديدان الدموية Chironomus spp كانت معتدا في يوليو ، وانخفضت بشكل كبير في سبتمبر . أما المفترسات الحشرية التي تم حصر ها كانت 34 نوعاً تنامي الثمانية رتب و 16 عائلة. تم تمثيل رتبة غمدية الأجنحة بـ 15 نوعًا (44.12٪ من الإجمالي) ، تليها غشائية الأجنحة (20.59٪) ، ثم الرعاشات (//14.71)). تم حصّر ستة عشر نوعًا من العناكب تنتمي إلى تسع عائلات. وغالبية ما تم العثور عليها نتتمي إلى عائلات Tetragnathidae ،Lycosidae و Salticidae. تواجدت جميع العناكب والحشرات المفترسة في الفترة من المشّاتل و حتى الحصّاد. و يَشبر هذا إلى ثراء حقول الأرز فيّ مصر بالأعداء الحيوية و التي يجب الحفاظ عليها بتقليل استخدام المبيدات الحشرية ، واستعادة التوازن الطبيعي في حقول الأرز.