# COMPARATIVE STUDY ON SOME ACTIVITIES AND PRODUCTS BETWEEN HYBRIDS OF CARNIOLAN AND ITALIAN HONEYBEE

Mansour, H. A. M.; F.Sh. Serag El-Dien and A.M. Khater Plant Protection Research Institute, Agriculture Research Center, Egypt

## **ABSTRACT**

The present study was carried out at the apiary of Beekeeping Research Section at Sakha Agricultural Research Station, Kafr El-Sheikh Governorate, during 2005 and 2006 seasons. Sixty colonies were divided into three groups, twenty colonies each to compare among F1-F2 Italian and F1-F2 carniolan honey bees on brood rearing activity, propolis and pollen gathering, royal jelly secretion and honey yield production. The results indicated that the F<sub>1</sub> Italian hybrid performed larger areas of sealed workers brood than did F1 Carniolan, F2 Italian and F2 Carniolan hybrid with averages of 1079.93, 792.76, 847.40 and 771.72 inch<sup>2</sup>/colony/month in the first year, and 929.08, 738.96, 794.95 and 756.79 inch<sup>2</sup>/colony/month, in the second one, respectively. In case of drone brood, F1 Carniolan hybrid (11.00 & 9.90 inch<sup>2</sup>/colony/month) was higher than F<sub>2</sub> Carniolan (7.96 & 7.14), F<sub>2</sub> Italian (7.05 & 6.17) and F<sub>1</sub> Italian hybrid (6.86 & 5.11 inch<sup>2</sup>/colony/month) in the first and second year, respectively. Also, F<sub>2</sub> Italian hybrid collected the largest amount of propolis (8.40 & 6.95 g/colony) compared with F2 Carniolan (7.77 & 5.99), F1 Italian (7.56 & 6.63) and F<sub>1</sub> Carniolan (6.98 & 4.56 g/colony) in the first and second years. The largest amount of pollen was gathered during clover nectar flow followed by maize and broad bean for the four honeybee hybrids (F1-F2 Italian and F1-F2 Carniolan) in both seasons. Analysis of variance revealed highly significant difference in amount of gathering pollen between F1 Italian and other hybrids on broad bean, Egyptian clover and maize plants during the first and second seasons. F1 Carniolan hybrid secreted more natural royal jelly in the first and second seasons (17.40 & 18.50 g/colony) than F<sub>1</sub> Italian (14.51 & 15.40), F<sub>2</sub> Carniolan (13.00 & 14.20) and F<sub>2</sub> Italian (12.60 & 13.60 g/colony), respectively. Also, F1 Carniolan honey bees gathered the largest amount of artificial royal jelly (106.00 & 115.60 g/colony) during the period from 15 April until 15 June compared with other honey bee hybrids; F<sub>1</sub> Italian (97.25 & 100.40), F<sub>2</sub> carniolan (93.40 & 100.00) and  $F_2$  Italian (92.40 & 99.40 g/colony) in two tested seasons, respectively. Data revealed that F1 Carniolan produced the highest honey yield (6.55 & 6.95 kg/colony) followed by F2 carniolan (5.75 & 7.85), F1 Italian (5.51 & 5.70) and F<sub>2</sub> Italian (4.55 & 4.95 kg/colony) at the end of clover blooming season, respectively. Also, at the end of cotton blooming season, the honey yield of F1 Carniolan hybrid (8.50 & 8.53 kg/colony) was more than other honey bee hybrids during 2005 and 2006 seasons. From the current results, it could be concluded that the F<sub>1</sub> Italian honeybee performed larger areas of worker brood and greater amounts of propolis and pollen than F<sub>1</sub> Carniolan, F<sub>2</sub> Italian and F<sub>2</sub> Carniolan honeybee. By contrast, the F<sub>1</sub> Carniolan achieved larger areas of drone brood and produced greater amounts of royal jelly and clover and cotton honey yield than other honey bees hybrids.

# INTRODUCTION

Beekepers are always interested in the behaviour of honeybee (*Apis mellifera* L.) and its Italian and Carniolan hybrids under Egyptian ecological conditions to achieve more successes in their management of apiaries. Honeybees have been used in honey, royal jelly, pollen and propolis production, besides being very important as pollinator agents (Haydak, 1970).

Orchards and fields have grown larger, at the same time wild pollinators have dwindled. In several areas of the world, the pollination shortage is compensated by migratory beekeeping with beekeepers supplying the hives during the crop bloom and moving them after bloom is complete (Javovek et al., 2002). Bees collect pollen in the pollen basket and carry it back to the hive. In the hive, pollen is used as a protein source necessary during brood rearing. Honey is the complex substance made when the nectar and sweet deposits from plants and trees are gathered, modified and stored in the honeycomb by honeybees. Honey is a complex biological mixture that contains mostly inverted sugars, primarily glucose and fructose. Royal jelly is a nutritional food product provided to larval bees, particularly those intended to become queens. It is also harvested and consumed by humans as a dietary supplement, as it contains various vitamins and amino acids. Propolis (or bee glue) is created from resins, balsams and tree saps. Honey bee use propolis to seal crack in the hive. Propolis is also sold for its reported health benefits (Taber and Barker, 1974 and Root, 1975). Several investigators evaluated the races and hybrids of honeybee (A. mellifera L.) from some aspects about pollen gathering activity, brood rearing, secretion of royal jelly, propolis collection activity and honey bee production such as Khattab (1976), El-Sarrag (1977), Mizis (1978), Marietto and Olivero (1981), El-Shaarawy (1989), Pearson and Braiden (1990), Villa et al. (1991), Kassem (2000), Serag El-Dienn (2004) and Matilla and Otis (2006). Therefore, the researchers and beekeepers are requested to find out the best honeybee hybrids for increasing the yield. From this stand point, the present work was designed and performed to compare among the honeybee (A. mellifera L.) hybrids: F<sub>1</sub>-F<sub>2</sub> Italian and F<sub>1</sub>-F<sub>2</sub> Carniolan regarding with the following points: brood rearing activity, propolis gathering activity, pollen gathering, royal jelly secretion activity and honey production from Egyptian clover yield and cotton yield.

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

This experiment was carried out at the apiary of Beekeeping Research Section at Sakha Agricultural Research Station, Kafr El-Sheikh Governorate, Arab Republic of Egypt, beginning from January, 2006 to December, 2007.

In each season, sixty colonies were assigned and divided into three groups, twenty colonies each. All the honeybee colonies were approximately equal in strength and in number of combs covered with bees and had sufficient food. Colonies were headed with newly and naturally mated queens; F<sub>1</sub> Italian hybrid (Italian queen x Local drones), F<sub>1</sub> Carniolan hybrid (Carniolan queen x Local drones), F<sub>2</sub> Italian hybrid (F<sub>1</sub> Italian queen x Local drones).

The following aspects were investigated:

## 1. Brood rearing activity:

Twenty colonies were assigned for this test; five honeybee colonies for each hybrid ( $F_1$  Italian,  $F_1$  Carniolan,  $F_2$  Italian and  $F_2$  Carniolan bees). For estimating the brood rearing activity, sealed brood areas (workers and

drones) were measured in square inches at 12-day intervals (according to Fresnay, 1962) starting from January, 2006 till December, 2007.

#### 2. Propolis gathering activity:

Propolis was gathered at 15-day intervals for all hybrids. The propolis was scrapped from the top of combs, inner sides of hive boxes, bottom and entrance of the hives. Also, the propolis was collected from jute located on tops of combs inside the brood chamber. The collected propolis was weighed in grams.

# 3. Pollen gathering activity:

Twenty colonies were used for this test; five colonies for each hybrid. The pollen traps were placed at the entrance of colonies. The traps were similar to the types used by Sung (1974), Taber (1984) and Dimou and Thrasyvoulou (2007). Trapping the pollen started in broad bean, *Vicia faba* L. from 15<sup>th</sup> January until 15<sup>th</sup> March, clover, *Trifolium alexandrinum* L. from 15<sup>th</sup> April until 15<sup>th</sup> June and maize, *Zea mays* L. from 15<sup>th</sup> June until 15<sup>th</sup> September during the two tested years. The colonies were compensated by pollen substitutes. The traps were emptied every two days and the contents were weighed and recorded.

#### 4. Royal jelly secretion activity:

During this test, 20 honeybee colonies were used; (5 colonies for each hybrid). Each colony contained 9 combs, 5 brood combs, 4 combs of honey and pollen since all combs were covered with young bees. Each colony was headed with a laying recently mated queen. Naturally produced royal jelly was collected every 4 days while that produced artificially was collected every 3 days (Doolittle method, 1909).

## 5. Honey production:

Honey yield was evaluated by weighting the combs before and after extraction of honey collected from Egyptian clover and cotton fields (Shawer, 1987).

## Statistical analysis:

Data were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) and significantly different means were compared according to Duncan's multiple range test (Duncan, 1955).

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

## 1. Brood rearing activity:

 $F_1$  Italian hybrid performed larger areas of sealed worker brood than did  $F_1$  Carniolan hybrid,  $F_2$  Italian hybrid and  $F_2$  Carniolan hybrid with averages of 1079.93, 792.76, 847.40 and 771.72 inch²/colony/month in the first year, respectively (Table 1). The corresponding values in 2006 were 929.08, 738.96, 794.95 and 756.79, respectively (Table 2).

As indicated in Tables (1 & 2), the opposite was recorded in case of drones brood;  $F_1$  Carniolan honeybee hybrid was higher than  $F_2$  Carniolan hybrid,  $F_2$  Italian hybrid and  $F_1$  Italian hybrid with averages of 11.00, 7.69, 7.05 and 6.86 inch²/colony/month in the first year, while they were 9.90, 7.14, 6.17 and 5.11 inch²/colony/month in the second one, respectively. Significant differences were found between Italian and Carniolan hybrids in both sealed worker brood and sealed drone brood in both years.

Table (1): Monthly areas of sealed brood (inch²/colony) in F<sub>1</sub>-F<sub>2</sub> Italian and Carniolan hybrids of honeybees, 2005 season.

|       |                | Wor       | Drones   |                |         |                |         |                |  |
|-------|----------------|-----------|----------|----------------|---------|----------------|---------|----------------|--|
| Month | F <sub>1</sub> |           |          | F <sub>2</sub> |         | F <sub>1</sub> |         | F <sub>2</sub> |  |
|       | Italian        | Carniolan | Italian  | Carniolan      | Italian | Carniolan      | Italian | Carniolan      |  |
| Jan.  | 386.20         | 330.00    | 390.50   | 335.50         | 1.00    | 2.60           | 1.50    | 1.90           |  |
| Feb.  | 895.50         | 850.50    | 810.30   | 810.35         | 4.00    | 6.00           | 3.90    | 4.30           |  |
| Mar.  | 1750.30        | 1050.30   | 1150.35  | 1100.50        | 11.50   | 21.00          | 11.00   | 13.00          |  |
| Apr.  | 1145.25        | 880.50    | 900.25   | 870.50         | 15.30   | 26.50          | 14.25   | 16.50          |  |
| May   | 2450.30        | 2100.60   | 2150.30  | 2000.00        | 19.50   | 28.50          | 17.50   | 20.00          |  |
| Jun.  | 2010.00        | 910.30    | 1200.00  | 1000.50        | 10.30   | 19.30          | 9.90    | 11.50          |  |
| Jul.  | 1780.50        | 1395.50   | 1300.50  | 1201.30        | 8.50    | 11.30          | 8.60    | 10.50          |  |
| Aug.  | 1710.35        | 1170.50   | 1200.00  | 1090.50        | 5.50    | 7.60           | 5.10    | 6.50           |  |
| Sept. | 800.00         | 500.50    | 600.50   | 510.50         | 4.00    | 5.50           | 4.30    | 4.30           |  |
| Oct.  | 290.20         | 210.30    | 205.30   | 210.50         | 2.50    | 2.50           | 1.90    | 2.25           |  |
| Nov.  | 90.25          | 20.50     | 70.25    | 30.00          | 0.25    | 1.00           | 0.00    | 1.00           |  |
| Dec.  | 150.30         | 93.60     | 190.50   | 100.50         | 0.00    | 0.30           | 0.00    | 0.50           |  |
| Total | 12959.15       | 9513.10   | 10168.75 | 9260.65        | 82.35   | 132.10         | 77.35   | 92.25          |  |
| Mean  | 1079.93d       | 792.76b   | 847.40c  | 771.72a        | 6.86a   | 11.00c         | 7.05a   | 7.69b          |  |

In a row, means having the same letter are not significantly different at 5% level.

Table (2):Monthly areas of sealed brood (inch $^2$ /colony) in F $_1$ -F $_2$  Italian and Carniolan hybrids of honeybees, 2006 season.

|       |                | Worl      | Drones  |                |         |           |         |                |  |
|-------|----------------|-----------|---------|----------------|---------|-----------|---------|----------------|--|
| Month | F <sub>1</sub> |           |         | F <sub>2</sub> |         | F₁        |         | F <sub>2</sub> |  |
|       | Italian        | Carniolan | Italian | Carniolan      | Italian | Carniolan | Italian | Carniolan      |  |
| Jan.  | 190.50         | 200.50    | 195.50  | 190.50         | 1.30    | 1.80      | 1.20    | 1.50           |  |
| Feb.  | 393.50         | 460.50    | 390.40  | 410.40         | 2.80    | 5.90      | 2.40    | 3.00           |  |
| Mar.  | 1167.40        | 1140.20   | 1161.40 | 1001.40        | 8.50    | 20.50     | 10.40   | 12.80          |  |
| Apr.  | 960.30         | 870.40    | 1005.40 | 1000.40        | 8.00    | 15.60     | 10.50   | 12.80          |  |
| May   | 2399.50        | 2000.40   | 2113.50 | 2100.50        | 12.40   | 30.60     | 15.50   | 18.80          |  |
| Jun.  | 1495.20        | 809.20    | 915.60  | 900.40         | 9.20    | 16.40     | 11.50   | 13.40          |  |
| Jul.  | 1860.40        | 1360.20   | 1550.40 | 1500.50        | 10.40   | 13.40     | 12.50   | 12.50          |  |
| Aug.  | 1480.30        | 1200.50   | 1310.50 | 1199.50        | 3.50    | 6.30      | 4.00    | 4.90           |  |
| Sept. | 870.50         | 600.50    | 690.40  | 580.40         | 3.50    | 5.00      | 4.00    | 4.00           |  |
| Oct.  | 175.50         | 108.40    | 115.40  | 101.50         | 1.00    | 2.00      | 1.00    | 1.00           |  |
| Nov.  | 10.50          | 16.20     | 15.50   | 15.40          | 0.25    | 0.35      | 0.00    | 0.00           |  |
| Dec.  | 85.30          | 100.50    | 75.40   | 80.50          | 0.50    | 1.00      | 1.00    | 1.00           |  |
| Total | 11088.90       | 8867.50   | 9539.40 | 9081.50        | 61.35   | 118.85    | 74.00   | 85.70          |  |
| Mean  | 924.08d        | 738.96a   | 794.95a | 756.79a        | 5.11a   | 9.90c     | 6.17a   | 7.14b          |  |

In a row, means having the same letter are not significantly different at 5% level.

These results are in agreement with the findings of El-Shaarawy (1989), Kassem (2000) and Mansour (2002) who indicated that  $F_1$  Italian gave higher amounts of worker brood than  $F_1$  hybrid at Kalubia, Menoufiya and Dakahlia Governorates, respectively. Similar results indicated that the  $F_2$  Italian gave less areas of worker brood than did  $F_1$  Italian hybrid, (Huang and Otis, 1991 and Soszka, 1996). Also, as indicated in Tables (1 & 2), the highest average of sealed worker brood was found during May (2450.30, 2100.60, 2150.30 and 2000.00 inch²/colony) and July (1780.50, 1395.50,

1300.50 and 1201.30 inch<sup>2</sup>/colony in the first year, for  $F_1$  Italian,  $F_1$  Carniolan,  $F_2$  Italian and  $F_2$  Carniolan, respectively.

The corresponding values were 2399.50, 2000.40, 2113.50 and 2100.50 inch $^2$ /colony and 1860.40, 1360.20, 1550.40 and 1500.50 inch $^2$ /colony in the second year.

## 2. Propolis gathering activity:

Results in Table (3) indicated that the amount of propolis gathered by  $F_2$  Italian honeybees (8.40 g/colony) was greater than those of  $F_2$  Carniolan,  $F_1$  Italian and  $F_1$  Carniolan (7.77, 7.56 and 6.98) in the first year, respectively. Also, in the second year, the  $F_2$  Italian honeybees gathered larger amounts of propolis (6.95 g/colony) than those of  $F_1$  Italian,  $F_2$  Carniolan and  $F_1$  Carniolan bees (6.63, 5.99 and 4.56 g/colony), respectively.

Table (3):Monthly amount (g/colony) of propolis collected by  $F_1$ - $F_2$  Italian and Carniolan hybrids of honeybees.

|       |                | 20        | 05             | 2006      |         |           |                |           |  |
|-------|----------------|-----------|----------------|-----------|---------|-----------|----------------|-----------|--|
|       |                |           | _              |           |         |           |                |           |  |
| Month | F <sub>1</sub> |           | F <sub>2</sub> |           | F₁      |           | F <sub>2</sub> |           |  |
|       | Italian        | Carniolan | Italian        | Carniolan | Italian | Carniolan | Italian        | Carniolan |  |
| Jan.  | 1.00           | 1.20      | 1.50           | 1.20      | 2.40    | 2.10      | 2.90           | 2.50      |  |
| Feb.  | 3.50           | 3.20      | 4.00           | 3.50      | 2.70    | 2.50      | 3.20           | 3.00      |  |
| Mar.  | 3.70           | 3.00      | 4.50           | 4.20      | 4.60    | 4.00      | 5.50           | 4.50      |  |
| Apr.  | 7.50           | 7.00      | 8.00           | 7.00      | 10.00   | 6.40      | 10.00          | 7.00      |  |
| May   | 8.00           | 7.90      | 9.40           | 8.00      | 7.30    | 5.00      | 8.40           | 6.50      |  |
| Jun.  | 9.20           | 8.50      | 11.50          | 9.40      | 8.50    | 6.50      | 9.00           | 7.00      |  |
| Jul.  | 16.30          | 15.50     | 16.20          | 16.50     | 11.50   | 8.25      | 12.40          | 9.40      |  |
| Aug.  | 20.50          | 17.50     | 21.40          | 20.50     | 18.40   | 9.40      | 18.50          | 18.60     |  |
| Sept. | 8.50           | 7.90      | 9.60           | 9.00      | 5.20    | 3.40      | 3.50           | 4.00      |  |
| Oct.  | 8.00           | 8.00      | 9.20           | 9.00      | 4.50    | 3.40      | 5.00           | 4.90      |  |
| Nov.  | 2.50           | 2.00      | 3.00           | 2.90      | 3.00    | 2.50      | 3.50           | 3.00      |  |
| Dec.  | 2.00           | 2.00      | 2.50           | 2.00      | 1.50    | 1.25      | 1.50           | 1.50      |  |
| Total | 90.70          | 83.70     | 100.80         | 93.20     | 79.60   | 54.70     | 83.40          | 71.90     |  |
| Mean  | 7.56b          | 6.98a     | 8.40c          | 7.77b     | 6.63c   | 4.56a     | 6.95c          | 5.99b     |  |

In a row, means having the same letter are not significantly different at 5% level.

Highly significant differences were found between the amounts of propolis of the four hybrids in the first and second years. The highest amount of propolis collected by  $F_1$  Italian bees,  $F_1$  Carniolan,  $F_2$  Italian and  $F_2$  Carniolan were found during July (16.30 & 11.50), (15.50 & 8.25), (16.20 & 12.40) and (16.50 & 9.40 g/colony) and August (20.50 & 18.40), (17.50 & 9.40), (21.40 & 18.50) and (20.50 & 18.60 g/colony) in the first and second seasons, respectively. The lowest amounts of propolis gathered by either hybrid were during November, December and January, ranging between 1.00 – 3.50 g/colony/month in both seasons. The amount of propolis harvested per colony depends on many factors such as the race of bees, strength of the hive, plant sources, weather conditions and needs of the hive (El-Shaarawy, 1989; Bonvehi, 2000 and Al-Shaher *et al.*, 2004). Also, these results are in harmony with the results of Kassem (2000), Marcucci *et al.* (2000) and Bankova *et al.* (2007).

## 3. Pollen gathering activity by the honeybee hybrids:

As indicated in Table (4), the largest amount of pollen was gathered during clover nectar flow followed by maize and broad bean for the four hybrids in both seasons. F<sub>1</sub> Italian bees gathered more pollen during broad bean season (800.60 & 793.50 g/colony) than F<sub>1</sub> Carniolan (610.40 & 700.40 g/colony), F<sub>2</sub> Italian (600.50 & 751.50 g/colony) and F<sub>2</sub> Carniolan (595.00 & 741.40 g/colony) in the first and second seasons, respectively. Also, F<sub>1</sub> Italian bees collected more pollen during maize season (925.40 & 975.50 g/colony) than F<sub>1</sub> Carniolan (810.50 & 861.50 g/colony), F<sub>2</sub> Italian 9803.40 & 951..50 g/colony) and F<sub>2</sub> Carniolan (795.40 & 911.60 g/colony) in the first and second seasons, respectively.

Table (4): Weight of pollen (g/colony) collected by F<sub>1</sub> and F<sub>2</sub> honey bee hybrids from different plant sources.

|              | Duration   | 2005     |           |           |          |           |           |  |  |  |  |
|--------------|------------|----------|-----------|-----------|----------|-----------|-----------|--|--|--|--|
| Source       | of         | F        | 1         | %         | F        | 2         | %         |  |  |  |  |
|              | collection | Italian  | Carniolan | Reduction | Italian  | Carniolan | Reduction |  |  |  |  |
| Broad bean   | 15/1-15/3  | 800.60b  | 610.40a   | 23.76     | 600.50a  | 595.00a   | 0.92      |  |  |  |  |
| Egyptian     | 15/4-15/6  | 1100.50b | 950.40a   | 13.64     | 908.30a  | 880.50a   | 3.06      |  |  |  |  |
| clover Maize | 15/6-15/8  | 925.40c  | 810.50b   | 12.42     | 803.40b  | 795.40a   | 1.00      |  |  |  |  |
| Total        |            | 2826.50  | 2371.30   | 49.82     | 2312.20  | 2270.90   | 4.98      |  |  |  |  |
| Mear         | Mean       |          | 790.43    | 16.11     | 770.73   | 756.97    | 1.79      |  |  |  |  |
|              |            | 2006     |           |           |          |           |           |  |  |  |  |
| Broad bean   | 15/1-15/3  | 793.50d  | 700.40a   | 11.73     | 751.50c  | 741.40b   | 1.34      |  |  |  |  |
| Egyptian     | 15/4-15/6  | 1143.30b | 993.60a   | 13.10     | 1001.40a | 991.60a   | 0.98      |  |  |  |  |
| clover Maize | 15/6-15/8  | 975.50d  | 861.60a   | 11.68     | 951.50c  | 911.60b   | 4.19      |  |  |  |  |
| Tota         | I          | 2912.30  | 2555.60   | 36.51     | 2704.40  | 2644.60   | 6.51      |  |  |  |  |
| Mear         | า          | 970.77   | 851.87    | 12.25     | 901.47   | 881.53    | 2.21      |  |  |  |  |

In a row, means having the same letter are not significantly different at 5% level.

Data in Tables (3 & 4) revealed highly significant differences in the amount of gathered pollen between F<sub>1</sub> Italian and other hybrids on broad bean, Egyptian clover and maize plants during the first and second seasons. These results are in agreement with the findings of Attalah *et al.* (1989) who found that the total amount of pollen was greatest from Egyptian clover followed by maize and broad bean. Pearson and Braiden (1990), Kassam (2000) and Baum *et al.* (2004) found that F<sub>1</sub> Italian hybrid gathered more amount of pollen than F<sub>1</sub> Carniolan hybrid at New Zealand, Menoufia – Egypt, Texas – USA, respectively.

# 4. Royal jelly secretion activity:

## 4.1. Natural secretion:

Data in Table (5) showed that the largest amount of naturally produced royal jelly was gathered during the period from 15 February until 15 April for all hybrids in the two tested seasons.

 $F_1$  Carniolan hybrid performed more natural royal jelly in the first and second seasons (17.40 & 18.50/colony) compared with  $F_1$  Italian (14.5 & 15.40 g/colony),  $F_2$  Carniolan (13.00 & 14.20 g/colony) and  $F_2$  Italian (12.60 & 13.60 g/colony), respectively. Also, during the periods from 15 April until 15 June,  $F_1$  Carniolan hybrid performed largest amount of royal jelly in both years (14.60 & 15.60 g/colony) compared with  $F_1$  Italian (12.50 & 13.00),  $F_2$  Carniolan (11.40 & 12.60) and  $F_2$  Italian (10.00 & 11.00 g/colony),

respectively. While this hybrid performed lowest amount of royal jelly during the periods from 15 June until 15 August in the first and second seasons. Krol (1985) and Serag El-Dien (2004) indicated that the Carniolan bees was the best in royal jelly secretion. The current results disagree with those of Kassem (2000) who reported that  $F_1$  Carniolan hybrid gave the highest secretion of royal jelly at Menoufiya governorate.

Table (5): The amounts of royal jelly (g/colony) naturally produced by the  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  hybrids of honeybee.

| Duration   |                   | 20     | 05             |           | 2006           |           |                |           |  |
|------------|-------------------|--------|----------------|-----------|----------------|-----------|----------------|-----------|--|
| of         | F <sub>1</sub>    |        | F <sub>2</sub> |           | F <sub>1</sub> |           | F <sub>2</sub> |           |  |
| collection | Italian Carniolan |        | Italian        | Carniolan | Italian        | Carniolan | Italian        | Carniolan |  |
| 15/2-15/4  | 14.50b            | 17.40c | 12.60a         | 13.00a    | 15.40b         | 18.50c    | 13.60a         | 14.20a    |  |
| 15/4-15/6  | 12.50c            | 14.60d | 10.00a         | 11.40b    | 13.00b         | 15.60c    | 11.00a         | 12.60b    |  |
| 15/6-15/8  | 10.40c            | 12.20d | 8.60a          | 9.40b     | 11.50b         | 13.40c    | 9.00a          | 10.80b    |  |
| Total      | 37.40             | 44.20  | 31.2           | 33.80     | 39.90          | 48.50     | 33.60          | 36.60     |  |
| Mean       | 12.47             | 14.73  | 10.40          | 11.27     | 13.30          | 16.17     | 11.20          | 12.20     |  |

In the same row, means having the same letter are not significantly different at 5% level.

#### 4.2. Artificial secretion:

As indicated in Table (6), F<sub>1</sub> Carniolan honeybees gathered the largest amount of artificial royal jelly (106.0 & 115.60) during the period from 15 April until 15 June compared to other hybrids, F<sub>1</sub> Italian (97.25 & 100.40), F<sub>2</sub> Carniolan (93.40 & 100.00) and F<sub>2</sub> Italian (92.400 & 99.40 g/colony) in the two tested seasons, respectively. Also, during the same period, the analysis of variance recorded significant differences in amount of artificial royal ielly production between F<sub>1</sub> Carniolan and other hybrids. The results of both years revealed that F<sub>1</sub> Carniolan honeybees gathered the lowest amount of artificial royal jelly (93.40 & 96.60) during the period from 15 June until 15 August compared with F<sub>1</sub> Italian (86.4 & 93.60), F<sub>2</sub> Carniolan (83.40 & 93.90) and F<sub>2</sub> Italian (81.60 & 92.60 g/colony), respectively. In 2005, statistical analysis revealed significant differences in the amount of royal jelly during the period from 15 June until 15 August between F<sub>1</sub> Carniolan and other hybrids, F<sub>1</sub> Italian, F2 Carniolan and F2 Italian honeybees, while in 2006, there was a significant difference between F1 Carniolan and F2 Italian, only in the same period.

Table (6): The amounts of royal jelly (g/colony) artificially produced by the F₁ and F₂ hybrids of honey/bee.

| the repaired of hency/beer |                   |         |                |           |                |           |                |           |  |  |  |
|----------------------------|-------------------|---------|----------------|-----------|----------------|-----------|----------------|-----------|--|--|--|
| Duration                   |                   | 20      | 05             |           | 2006           |           |                |           |  |  |  |
| of                         | F <sub>1</sub>    |         | F <sub>2</sub> |           | F <sub>1</sub> |           | F <sub>2</sub> |           |  |  |  |
| collection                 | Italian Carniolan |         | Italian        | Carniolan | Italian        | Carniolan | Italian        | Carniolan |  |  |  |
| 15/2-15/4                  | 78.20a            | 79.00a  | 75.40a         | 76.40a    | 73.60ab        | 75.00b    | 72.50a         | 72.50a    |  |  |  |
| 15/4-15/6                  | 97.25a            | 106.00b | 92.40a         | 93.40a    | 100.40a        | 115.60b   | 99.40a         | 100.00a   |  |  |  |
| 15/6-15/8                  | 86.40b            | 93.40c  | 81.60a         | 83.40ab   | 93.60ab        | 96.60b    | 92.60a         | 93.90ab   |  |  |  |
| Total                      | 261.85            | 278.40  | 249.40         | 253.20    | 267.60         | 287.20    | 264.50         | 266.40    |  |  |  |
| Mean                       | 87.28             | 92.80   | 83.13          | 84.40     | 89.20          | 95.73     | 88.17          | 88.80     |  |  |  |

In the same row, means having the same letter are not significantly different at 5% level.

#### 5. Honey yield production:

Data in Table (7) revealed that F<sub>1</sub> Carniolan produced higher honey yield (6.55 & 6.95 kg/colony) than F<sub>2</sub> Carniolan (5.75 & 7.85), F<sub>1</sub> Italian (5.51

& 5.70) and  $F_2$  Italian (4..55 & 4.95 kg/colony) at the end of clover blooming season, respectively. Also, at the end of cotton blooming season, the honey yields of  $F_1$  Carniolan hybrid was more (8.50 & 8.53 kg/colony) than  $F_2$  Carniolan (7.52 & 7.85),  $F_1$  Italian (7.10 & 7.30) and  $F_2$  Italian hybrid (6.50 & 6.55 kg/colony) in 2005 and 2006 seasons, respectively. It was noted that  $F_1$  Carniolan hybrid tended to achieve more sealed honey combs than did  $F_2$  Carniolan,  $F_1$  Italian and  $F_2$  hybrids. On the other hand,  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  Italian hybrids tended to store more nectar in new combs than  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  Carniolan hybrids. In 2005 and 2006 years for clover and cotton blooming seasons, the analysis of variance recorded significant differences in amount of honey yield between  $F_1$  Carniolan hybrid and other honey bee hybrids. Serag El-Dien (2004) found that  $F_1$  Carniolan hybrid was higher in the honey yield (6.50 & 6.25 kg/colony) than Italian hybrid (4.75 & 5.25 kg/colony) at the end of clover blooming season.

Table (7):The amounts of honey yield (kg/colony) produced by four honey bee hybrids during 2005 and 2006 seasons.

|        |        | Honeybee hybrid |                |                |           |  |  |  |  |
|--------|--------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------|--|--|--|--|
| Season | Crop   |                 | F <sub>1</sub> | F <sub>2</sub> |           |  |  |  |  |
|        | -      | Italian         | Carniolan      | ltalian        | Carniolan |  |  |  |  |
| 2005   | Clover | 5.51b           | 6.55c          | 4.55a          | 5.75b     |  |  |  |  |
|        | Cotton | 73.10b          | 8.50d          | 6.50a          | 7.52c     |  |  |  |  |
| 2006   | Clover | 5.70b           | 6.95c          | 4.95a          | 6.00b     |  |  |  |  |
| 2000   | Cotton | 7.30b           | 8.53d          | 6.55a          | 7.85c     |  |  |  |  |
| Total  |        | 25.61           | 30.53          | 22.55          | 27.12     |  |  |  |  |
| Me     | an     | 6.40            | 7.63           | 5.64           | 6.78      |  |  |  |  |

In the same row, means having the same letter are not significantly different at 5% level.

From the current results, it could be concluded that the  $F_1$  Italian honeybee performed larger areas of worker brood and greater amounts of propolis and pollen than  $F_1$  Carniolan,  $F_2$  Italian and  $F_2$  Carniolan honeybee. By Contrast  $F_1$  Carniolan produced greater amounts of royal jelly and clover honey yield than  $F_1$  Italian,  $F_2$  Carniolan and  $F_2$  Italian honey bees.

#### REFERENCES

- Al-Shaher, A.; J. Wallace; S. Agarwal; W. Bretz and D. Daugh (2004). Effect of propolis on human fibroblasts from the pulmp and periodontal ligament. J. Endod, 30(5): 359-361.
- Atallah, M.A.; F.K. Aly and H.M. Eshbah (1989). Pollen gathering activity of worker honeybee, on field crops and medical plants in Minia region, middle Egypt. Proc. 4<sup>th</sup> Int. Conf. Apic. Trop. Climates, Cairo: 109-115.
- Bankova, V.S.; S.S. Popov, and N.L. Marekov, (2007). Comparative study between honeybees on propolis. J. Natural Products, 46: 471-474.
- Baum, K.H.; W.L. Rubink; R.N. Coulson and V.M. Bryant (2004). Pollen selection by feral honeybee (Hymenoptera: Apidae) colonies in a coastal prairie landscape. Environ. Entomol. 33(3): 727-739.
- Bonvehi, J.S. (2000). Study on propolis quality from China and Uruguay, Z. Naturforsch, 55: 778-784.
- Dimou, M. and A. Thrasyvoulou (2007). A comparison of three methods for assessing the relative abundance of pollen resources collected by honeybee colonies. J. of Apic. Res., 46(3): 144-148.
- Doolittle, G.M. (1909). Scientific queen rearing. 5th Edition, Standpoint, Idaho.

- El-Sarrag, M.S.A. (1977). Morphometrial and biological studies on Sudanese honeybees (*Apis mellifera* L.) (Hymenoptera: Apidae). Ph.D. Thesis, Cairo Univ.
- El-Shaarawy, M.O.A. (1989). Studies on some secondary products of honeybees (*Apis mellifera* L.). Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Moshtohor, Zagazig Univ. 171 pp.
- Frasnay, J. (1962). A new instrument for brood measurement in a honeybee colony. Cited from American Bee J., 111(1): 20-21.
- Haydak, M.H. (1970). Honeybee nutrition. Annual Review of Entomology, 15: 143-156.
- Huang, Z.Y. and G.W. Otis' (1991). Nonrandom visitation of brood cells by worker honeybees (Hymenoptera: Apidae). J. of Insect Behavior, Vol. No. 2.
- Javorek, S.K.; K.E. Mackenzie, and S.P. Vander Kloet, (2002). Comparative pollination effectiveness among bees (Hymenoptera: Apoidae) on low bush blueberry (Ericaceae: Vaccinium anngustifolium). Annals of the Entomological Society of America, 95: 345-351.
- Kassem, S.I. (2000). Ecological and physiological studies on queen rearing of some honeybee races (*Apis mellifera* L.). Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. Agric. Moshtohor, Zagazig Univ., 139.
- Khattab, M.M. (1976). Effect of ecological factors on honeybees activities. M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Cairo Univ.
- Krol, A. (1985). Provision of royal jelly during the development of queen larvae on the results of queen rearing. Pszeselnicze Zesygte Neukowe, 18: 135-143.
- Mansour, A.M.S. (2002). Effect of artificial feeding on some activities of honebee. M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Mansoura Univ., pp. 104.
- Marcucci, M.C.; F.F. Erreres; A.R. Custodio; M. Ferreira; V.S. Bankova; C. Garcia-Viguera, and W.A. Bretz, (2000). Evaluation of phenolic compounds in Brazilian propolis from honeybee hybrids at different geographic regions. Z. NNaturforesch, 55: 76-81.
- Marietto, F. and G. Olivero (1981). Collection and uses of propolis by honeybees in Italian Apicoltore Moderno. 72(4): 131-140.
- Matilla, R.M. and G.W. Otis (2006). The effects of pollen availability during larval development on the behaviour and physiology of spring-reared honeybee workers. Apidologie, 37: 533-546.
- Mizis, A.P. (1978). Production of propolis. A remarkable hive products "Propolis". Apimondia, Bucharest.
- Pearson, W.D. and V. Braiden (1990). Seasonal pollen collection by honeybees from grass/shrub highlands in Canterbury, New Zealand. J. Apic. Res., 29(4): 206-213.
- Root, A.I. (1975). ABC and ZYZ of bee culture. Medline: Root Co.
- Serag El-Dien, F.Sh. (2004). Comparative study on some products of Italian and Carniolan honeybee hybrids at Kafr El-Sheikh Governorate. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 29(1): 409-416.
- Shawer, M.B. (1987). Major pollen sources in Kafr El-Sheikh, Egypt and the effect of the pollen supply on broad area and honey yield. J. of Apic. Res., 26(1): 43-46.

Soszka, M. (1996). Brood survival in non-fed bee colonies of three races during beekeeping season. Pszczelnicze Zeszyte Nnaukowe, 40(2): 261-266.

Sung, A.D. (1974). Pollen collection by honeybees. J. Anim. Ecol., 16.

Taber, S. (1984). Pollen and pollen trapping. Amer. Bee J. 124(7): 512-513.

Taber, S. and R.J. Barker (1974). Honeybee collect chalking material as propolis. Amer. Bee J. 114(3): 90.

Villa, J.D.; N. Kieniger and T.E. Rinderer (1991). Overwintering of Africanizal, European and hybrid honeybee in Germany. Environ. Entomol., 20(1): 39-43.

دراسة مقارنة على بعض انشطة ومنتجات هجن نحل العسل الكرينولى والايطالى حمدى أحمد متولى منصور ، فريد شوقى سراج الدين و على محمد خاطر معهد بحوث وقايسة النباتسات -محطسة البحوث الزراعيسة بسسخا -كفرالشسيخ -مركز البحوث الزراعية

أجريت هذه الدراسة بقسم بحوث النحل بمحطة البحوث الزراعية بسخا ـ كفر الشيخ خلال موسمين متتاليين ٢٠٠٥، ٢٠٠٦. تم استخدام ٢٠ خلية قسمت إلى ثلاث مجموعات كل مجموعة ٢٠٠٦ خلية لدراسة المقارنة بين هجن النحل (الهجين الأول والثاني الإيطالي مع الهجين الأول والثاني الكرنيولي على بعض منتجات وانشطة نحل العسل مثل تربية الحصنة ـ جمع البروبوليس ـ جمع غذاء الملكات طبيعيا وصناعيا وإنتاج عسل النحل). وأوضحت الدراسة أن الهجين الأول الإيطالي أعطى أكبر مساحة من حصنة الشغالات عن الهجين الأول الإيطالي والهجين الثاني الكرنيولي بمتوسطات ١٠٧٩.٩٣ ما ١٠٧٩.٩٣ الموسم الأول على التوالي. بينما في الموسم الأول على التوالي. بينما في الموسم الأني كانت المتوسطات ٧٧٢,٥٠١، ٧٧٢,٩٠ على التوالي.

وفى حالمة حضنة المذكور تفوق الهجين الأول الكرنيولي بمتوسطات (١١،٠ ، ٩،٩ بوصة مربعة/خلية) عن الهجين الثاني الكرنيولي (٧,١٥ ، ٧،١٤) ، والهجين الثاني الإيطالي (٧,٠٥ ، ٧,٠٥) والهجين الأول الإيطالي (٢,٨٦ ، ٥،١١ ، وصة مربعة/خلية) في السنة الأولى والثانية على التوالى .

جمع الهجين الثاني الإيطالي كميات أكبر من البروبوليس (٨,٤٠) ، ٦,٩٥ جرام/خلية) مقارنة بالهجين الثاني الكرنيولي (٧,٧٧ ، ٥,٩٩ ) ، الهجين الأول الإيطالي (٦,٦٣ ، ٦,٦٣) والهجين الأول الكرنيولي (٦,٩٨ ، ٢٥٥٦ جرام/خلية) في السنة الأولى والثانية على التوالي. قام النحل بتجميع كميات كبيرة من حبوب اللقاح أثناء موسم تزهير البرسيم مقارنة بالذرة والفول البلدى خلال موسمى الدراسة وأظهرت نتائج التحليل الإحصائي أن هناك فروقاً معنوية كبيرة بين هجن النحل في تجميع حبوب لقاح الفول البلدي ، البرسيم ونباتـات الـذرة. أنـتج الهجـين الأول الكرنيـولـي كميـات أكبـر مـن غـذاء الملكـات طبيعيــا (١٧,٤٠ ، ٥٠. ١٨/ جرام/خلية) مقارنة بالهجين الأول الإيطالي (٥٠,٤٠ ، ١٥,٤٠ جرام/خلية) ، الهجين الثاني الكرنيولي (١٣,٠٠) ، ١٤,٢٠ جرام/خلية) ، والهجين الثاني الإيطالي (١٢,٦٠ ، ١٣,٦٠ جرام/خلية) على النوالي أما بالنسبه لغذاء الملكات المنتج صناعيا تفوق الهجين ألأول الكرنيولي في جمع غذاء الملكات بمتوسط (٢٠٦،٠٠ ، ١١٥,٦٠ جرام/خلية) خلال الفترة من ١٥ إبريل حتى ١٥ يونيه مقارنة بالهجن الأخرى ، الهجين الأول الإيطالي (٩٧,٢٥ ، ٢٠٠,٤٠ جرام/خلية) ، الهجين الثاني الكرنيولي (٩٣,٤٠ ، ١٠٠,٠٠ جرام/خلية) والهجين الثاني الإيطالي (٩٢,٤٠، ٩٢,٤٠ جرام/خلية) أثناء موسمي الاختبار على التوالي. أظهرت النتائج أن الهُجّين الأُولُ الكرنيولُي كـان الأكثر لمحصّولُ العسْل (٥٥، ٦ ، ٥٩، كجَمَ/خلية) مقارنـة بـالهجين الثـانتي الكرنيولي (٥,٧٥ ، ٧,٨٥ كجم/خلية) ، الهجين الأول الإيطالي (٥,٥١ ، ٧٠,٥ كجم/خلية) والهجين الثاني الإيطالى (٤,٥٥، ، ٤,٩٥ كجم/خلية) عند نهاية موسم تزهير البرسيم على التوالي. وأيضا في موسم تزهير القطن تفوق الهجين الأول الكرنيولي (٨,٥٠ ، ٨,٥٣ كجم/خلية) مقارنة بالهجن الأخرى خلال موسمي ٢٠٠٥ ، ٢٠٠٦م. يمكن أن نستنتج من الدراسة أن الهجين الأول الإيطالي جاء في المرتبة الاولى في إنتاج الحضنة والبربوليس وإنتاج حبوب اللقاح متفوقا على الهجين الأول الكرنيولي والثاني الإيطالي والثاني الكرنيولي لكن الهجين الاول الايطالي كان اقل من الهجين الثاني الايطالي في انتاج البروبوليس ، بينما جاء الهجينِ الأول الكرنيولي متفوقا في إنتاج حضنة الذكور وغذاء الملكات ومحصول عسل القطن والبرسيم عن الهجن الأخرى.