Journal of Plant Protection and Pathology

Journal homepage & Available online at: www.jppp.journals.ekb.eg

Morphometrical Studies on the Egyptian Honeybee *Apis mellifera lamarckii* Shaheen, A. A. M.^{1*}; Enas O. N. El-Din²; M. M. M. Bedewy¹ and M. M. M. Metwally¹

¹Department of plant protection –Faculty of Agriculture – Al-Azhar University – Nasr City – Cairo **Cross** ²Beekeeping Research Department, Plant Protection Research Institute, Agric. Res. Center, Ministry of Agriculture, Giza, Egypt **Mark**

ABSTRACT



Morphological characteristics of honey bee worker are important traits for breeding systems and useful for discriminating subspecies and ecotypes. During the season 2023, the present investigation took place at the Faculty of Agriculture at Al-Azhar University. Bees were collected from apiaries in the Assiut governorate region of Egypt to determine some Morphometrical characteristics proboscis length, Flagillum length, Total length of antenna, head width length, forewing length and width, hind wing length and width, numbers of hamuli,Cubital index, Femur length, Tibia length hand width, basitarsus length and width, hind leg length, first wax gland length and width, third sternum length and width. All bee samples were placed into a -20° C freezer at the laboratory until it was dissected for separation (Proboscis, forewing, hind wing, and hin dleg). The results showed that the mean values were: Proboscis length 5.69 ± 0.010 mm.; forewing length of 8.46 ± 0.019 mm. and width 2.93 ± 0.012 mm.; cubital index 2.29 ± 0.028 mm; numbers of hamuli on hind wing 22.33 ± 0.16 ; first wax-gland length 1.35 ± 0.006 mm. and width 2.12 ± 0.016 mm. Hybrid bees can be distinguished by this character if they have Egyptian ancestry. The Egyptian honeybee in Assiut can be considered slightly non pure race.

Keywords: Apis mellifera Lamarckii, Morphological characteristics, forewing length, proboscis length.

INTRODUCTION

The Western honey bee(*Apis melliferaL.*) is a profoundly variable species, with around 31 perceived races (**Chen etal., 2016**, Eimanifaretal., 2018, Engel, 1999, Hepburn and Radloff, 1998, Meixneretal., 2011, Ruttner, 1988, Sheppard and Meixner, 2003). Inside species, there are also ecotypes and reproducing lines, which are significant regarding the conservation planning and sustainable practice of original strains (Dukku and Danailu, 2020, Ilyasovetal., 2020).

Currently, Egypt has three varieties of honey bees: the indigenous honey bees (*Apis mellifera lamarckii*), the carniolan hybrid honey bees (*Apis mellifera carnica*) and the Italian hybrid honey bees (*Apis mellifera ligustica*).

According to Lamarck's bee, she is an excellent housekeeper, but produces a poor amount of honey .As a result, the Carniolan honeybee gained popularity on account of its peaceable nature as well as ease of management in ultramodern Langstroth hives, replacing the Ammophilus lamarckii in marketable beekeeping in Egypt.

In response, there have been populations of native honeybees suppressed in Egypt, which are mostly found near Assiut, specifically in the Manfalut area bordered by European hybrids.In comparison to *A.m. Lamarckii*, its legs are shorter and its wings are longer. A colony of its species has fewer bees than a colony of the European species. A nocturnal species never forms winter clusters or stores food, and it is constantly reproducing throughout the year. This species is considered to be of tropical African bees in general (Schmolz et al., 2001). There are two main differences between Egyptian and European honeybees: Egyptians exhibit a much stronger protective response and A.M. larvae develop more rapidly; this means they tolerate the serious and dangerous pests invading *Apis mellifera* species, the Varroa destructor mites. (Simonthomas and Simonthomas, 1980).

Honey bees must maintain a high level of inheritable diversity among their worker class if they are to survive. During the inbreeding process, inheritable diversity is lost, which leads to colonies with reduced heritable variety being less proficient at controlling hive terrain (Joneset al., 2004, Joneset al., 2005, Taha and AL-Kahtani, 2019). They are also more likely to contract diseases (Bienefeldet al., 1989, Desai et al., 2015). Similarly, its decline in heritable variety could contribute to the inability of honey freak populations to adapt to new diseases, such as varroa. In order to maintain original strains in detached conservation apiaries, there is a dire need celebrate and maintain original to strains. Apis mellifera's morphology is essential for following colony changes over time (Abou-Shaara et al., 2012b), investigating hybridization with other species (Radloffetal., 2003), characterizing honey bee subspecies (Mixneretal., 2007), studying harmony in body corridors and identifying species (Abou-Shaara& Al-Ghamdi, 2012). A honey product can be identified by the morphological characteristics of honey bees (e.g., wings and legs; Milne & Pries, 1984; Waddington, 1989; Kolmes& Sam, 1991; Edriss etal., 2002; Mostajeran etal., 2006). Generally, bees with larger bodies produce more honey, but those with lower bones are better at forbearing thermal stress (Abou-Shaara etal., 2012a). In order to assess changes in chastity, productivity, and environmental tolerance in honey bee colonies, it is vital to measure morphological characteristics over time.

latterly, the point of this work is to assess the morphometric traits of *A.m. lamarckii* bees in the Assiut governorate region of Egypt

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Morphological characters.

A- Samples preparing

At the Faculty of Agriculture, Al-Azhar University, an investigation was conducted during the summer of 2023. Among the last remaining hives, worker honeybee samples were collected from hives reared in pipe hives fig (1). A total of ten bees were collected from ten randomly chosen unmarked hives and marked hives. Each bee sample was placed into a -20° C freezer until it was dissected for separation (Proboscis, forewing, hindwing, and hindleg).



Figure 1. The Egyptian mud traditional hives in the only remaining apiary in Assiut governorate

B-Morphological characters.

The tested morphological characters were proboscis length,Flagillum length,Total length of antenna,head width length, forewing length and width, hind wing length and width, numbers of hamuli,Cubital index, Femur length, Tibia length and width, basitarsus length and width, hind leg length, first wax gland length and width, third sternum length and width, Measurements of the abovementioned characters were taken according to Ruttner (1988).

C-Using A Simple Semi-Automatic Technique.

As part of the Scan Photo method, every morphological character studied was measured using a scanner (Zoom 100%, Red, Green, Blue, with a resolution of 2400 points per inch (ppi) and units of measurement (mm), connected to a laptop with a screen resolution of 1024 x 768 pixels and Photoshop software. A glass slide was used to hold the separated body parts of worker bees, and another glass slide was used to cover them. The slides were scanned and moved into the computer as images. Using Photoshop, the images were opened and characters were measured according to AboShaara's (2009) method

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. The Proboscis Character Measurement:

The capability of nectar collection by honeybee worker is substantially dependent upon the length of proboscis, (tongue), which consisted of sub mentum, (lorum), mentum, prementum and alaglossa.

The length of proboscis was ranged from 5.4 to 5.9 mm., with a mean value of 5.69 ± 0.011 mm. The present proboscis values of the Egyptian honeybee worker do not differ from values that in by numerous authors. El- Banby, (1954) recorded 5.57 ± 0.02 mm. and 5.76 ± 0.01 mm. for proboscis length of Egyptian workers which reared in a natural combs and in Langstroth hive at Shebin El-Kom, (north Egypt) respectively. Wafa, et al., (1965) recorded 5.65mm ,Aly et. al., (1989) at El-Minia governorate, (Middle Egypt) recorded 5.41mm.inaddation Abdel-Rahman, (2004) at Assiut, (south Egypt), recorded 5.701 \pm 0.024 mm. and 5.741 \pm 0.021 mm. during seasons of 2001-2002 and 2002-2003, respectively.

B. Length of Flagellum and Total length of antenna :

Flagellum is the longest part of antenna which contains all the sense organs in honeybee. The flagellum length ranged from (2.28 - 2.72) mm. with a mean value of 2.53 ± 0.012 mm. while the Total length of antenna ranged from (3.40 - 3.93) mm. with a mean value of 3.69 ± 0.004 mm.

These findings were farily lower than those registered Zeid and chricker, (2001) who set up that the length of flagellum of the Egyptian honeybee was between 2.60–2.80 mm.

C- Head width

The head width ranged from 3.34 to 3.90 mm. with a mean value of 3.56 ± 0.0303 mm.

These findings were fairly lower than those registered Rukhosh, (2021) who ste up that the head width of the Egyptian honeybee was mean 4.550 mm.

D. The hind leg:

Data recorded in Table (1) show the length of femur, tibia and width of tibia , metatarsus and the width of metatarsus and length of hind leg of the Egyptian worker .

The length of femur ranged from 2.24 to 2.87 mm. with average value of 2.42 ± 0.056 mm.

Tibia length that measured ranged from 2.7 to 3.00 mm. with a mean value of 2.89 ± 0.009 mm. while The width of the Tibia it ranged from 0.775 - 1.1 mm. with average value of 0.91 \pm 0.029mm.

J. of Plant Protection and Pathology, Mansoura Univ., Vol. 15 (8), August, 2024

The length of hind basitarsus for the examined workers was ranged from (1.7 - 2.1) mm. while the mean values were 1.95 ± 0.01 mm. Table (1). The width of the hind basitarsus ranged from 0.9 - 1.1 mm. The average values of this point were 1.06 ± 0.0094 mm.

The Length of hind leg ranged from 6.62 to 7.77 mm. with average value of $7.26\pm$ mm.

These returns of hind leg parameters were in acordance with those attained by by Nafea et al , (2023) at Assuit governorate. he set up that the hind leg femur length was mean 2.43mm. The length of tibia was mean from 2.95 mm. the length of basitarus mean from 1.94 mm. whiel the width were in acordance with those attained by El-Banby, (1954) recorded1.06 mm

E. The forewing:

The length of the right forewing was measured as the sum of proximal and distal lengths of the wing. It is appeared from the data in tables (1) that the forewing length of the Egyptian worker is ranged from 8.2 to 8.8 mm. with an mean of 8.46 ± 0.019 mm. The width of the right forewing was ranged from 2.7 to 3.05 mm.

with an mean 2.93 ± 0.012 mm.

The length of the right hindwing was measured as the sum of proximal and distal lengths of the wing. It is appeared from the data in Tables (1) that the forewing length of the Egyptian worker is ranged from 5.8 to 6.4 mm. with an average of 6.12 ± 0.026 mm. The width of the right hind wing was ranged from 1.7 to 1.9 mm. with an mean of 1.83 ± 0.005 mm.

The right hind wing was attached to the hind wing by a numbers of hamuli ranged from 19 to 25 with an average of 22.33 ± 0.161 .

The cubital index of the Egyptian worker surfaced in was ranged from 1.7 to 2.97 with a mean value of 2.29 ± 0.028 mm.

These results are inagreement with those preliminarily recorded by many investigators in Egypt. El- Banby, (1954) at El- Monofya recorded 8.140 ± 0.0145 mm. and 2.76 ± 0.006 mm. for both length and width of the Egyptian worker forewing. He also set up 20.48 ± 0.0303 for the numbers of hamuli of the hind wing and the cubital index was 2.61 ± 0.0470 . Mazeed, (1964) reported the mean values of 8.36 ± 0.1918 mm., 2.84 ± 0.0933 mm., 2.46 ± 0.4331 and 21.10 ± 0.0141 for length, width, cubital index and numbers of hamuli for the forwing of the Egyptian honeybee worker. Similar results were observed by Abou- Zeid, (1990), and Abdel – Rahman, (2004).

| Table | 1. Mor | phometrical | characters | of the | Egyptian | honeybee | workers real | red in pipe hives | |
|-------|--------|-------------|------------|--------|----------|----------|--------------|-------------------|--|
| | | | | | | • | | | |

| | Range / | Mean / | R | eview*20 23 | Egyptian** Hassanein | Egyptian*** |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|---------------|----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| characters | | | value | Reference | and el Banby(1956) | Wafae t al , (1962) |
| L. of proboscis (mm.) | (5.4 - 5.9) | 5.69 ± 0.0108 | 5.75 | Abo Shaara,(2009) | 5.57 | 5.65 |
| L. of Flagillum (mm.) | (2.28 - 2.72) | 2.53±0.0122 | 2.60-2.80 | Zeid and Schricker, (2001) | - | - |
| L. of Total length of antenna mm. | (3.40-3.93) | 3.69±0.0037 | 3.92 | Elfeel, (2008) | - | - |
| L. of head width | (3.34 - 3.90) | 3.56±0.0303 | 4.550 | Rukhosh, (2021) | - | - |
| L. of Femur (mm.) | (2.24 - 2.87) | 2.42 ± 0.0558 | 2.24 | Abo Shaara,(2009) | - | - |
| L. of Tibia (mm.) | (2.7 - 3) | 2.89 ± 0.0094 | 2.82 | Abo Shaara,(2009) | - | - |
| W. of Tibia (mm.) | 0.775 - 1.1) | 0.91 ± 0.0285 | - | | - | - |
| L. of basitarsus (mm.) | (1.7 -2.1) | 1.95±0.0165 | 2.13 | Abo Shaara,(2009) | 1.92 | 2.21 |
| W. of basitarsus mm. | 0.9 - 1.1 | 1.06±0.0094 | 1.10 | Abo Shaara,(2009) | 1.06 | 1.09 |
| L. of hind leg mm. | (6.62 - 7.77 | 7.26±0.0345 | 7.39 | Shaibi et al.,(2009) | - | - |
| L. of forewing (mm) | (8.2 - 8.8) | 8.46±0.0195 | 8.23 and 8.74 | Abo Shaara,(2009) | 8.14 | 8.36 |
| W. of forewing (mm | (2.7 - 3.05) | 2.93±0.0123 | 2.78-2.96 | Abo Shaara,(2009) | 2.76 | 2.84 |
| L. of hindwing (mm.) | (5.8 - 6.4) | 6.12 ± 0.0262 | 6.11 | Abo Shaara,(2009) | - | - |
| W. of hindwing (mm.) | (1.7-1.9) | 1.83 ± 0.0057 | 1.76 | Abo Shaara,(2009) | - | - |
| numbers of hamuli | (19-25) | 22.33±0.1614 | 20.34 | Abo Shaara,(2009) | 20.48 | 21.1 |
| cubtal index | (1.7 - 2.97) | 2.29±0.0281 | 2.33 and 2.94 | Abo Shaara,(2009) | 2.61 | 2.46 |
| L. of first wax mirror (mm.) | (1.20-1.40) | 1.35±0.0063 | 1.11 | Shaibi et al.,(2009) | 1.35 | 1.3 |
| W. of first wax mirror (mm.) | $(\overline{1.9 - 2.4})$ | 2.12 ± 0.0162 | - | | 2.1 | 1.96 |
| L. of third sternum (mm.) | (2.4 - 2.8) | 2.60±0.0201 | - | | - | - |
| W. of third sternum (mm.) | (5.30 - 5.90) | 5.67±0.0079 | - | | - | - |

Llength W width/ not detected* compiled from data of El-Seedi*et al*(2023) (Review)** compiled from data of Hassanein and el Banby,(1956)(Egyptian bees reared in mud tube hives)*** compiled from data of Wafa*etal*,(1962)(Egyptian bees reared in mud tube hives)

F. Wax mirror

The length and width of the first wax mirror were ranged from 1.20 to 1.40 mm. with average value of $1.35\pm$ 0.006 mm. and from 1.9 to 2.4 mm. with average value of 2.12 ± 0.0162 mm. respectively.

The length and width of the third sternum were ranged from 2.4 to 2.8 mm. with average value of 2.60 ± 0.020 mm. and from 5.30 to 5.90 mm. with average value of 5.67 ± 0.00793 mm. respectively.

comparable results were registered at Shebin El-Kom, (north Egypt) by El- Banby, (1954) Egyptian worker wax mirror length that registered by them was 1.35 ± 0.028 mm as average values. While the width of wax mirror was ranged from 2.10 ± 0.007 mm. as average values.

CONCLUSION

In 2023, this realization was undertaken at Al-Azhar University Faculty of Agricultural Science. The primary purpose of this study is to assess morphometric traits of A.m. lamarckii bees in the Assiut governorate region of Egypt.Based on the results of this research, it is concluded that contact with European races has not resulted in any significant changes in the morphological characteristics studied among Egyptian honeybees in the Assiut governorate. It is possible to consider the Egyptian honeybees in Assiut a race, but not a pure one. Wafaet al, (1962) speculate that Egyptian drones may be more likely to mate with virgin queens because they have a lighter weight, are able to fly faster, and reach a higher altitude than European drones. As an example, queens Shaheen, A. A. M. et al.

produced locally from European races have progeny in which Egyptian characteristics are easily seen, and which become dominant in the second generation. Therefore the Apiculture scientist must work immediately and orientation their researches to preserve and purebred the Egyptian bee strain

REFERENCES

- Abdel-Rahman, M. F.(2004).Comparative studies between the characters of some races and hybrids of honeybee in Assiut region, Upper Egypt, Ph. D. Thesis, Fac. Agric. Assiut Univ., 373 pp.
- Abo Shaara, H. F. I. (2009): Morphological, biological and behavioral studies on some honey bee races at El-Beheira Governorate. M. Sc. Thesis, Fac. of Agric. Damanhour, Alex. Univ.
- Abo Shaara, H.F., AL-Ghamdi, A.A. (2012). Studies on wings symmetry and honey bee races discrimination by using standard and geometric morphometrics. -Biotechnol. Animal Husb. 28: 575-584.
- Abou-shaara H.F., AL-ghamdi A.A., Mohamed A.A. (2012a).Tolerance of two honey bee races to various temperature and relative humidity gradients. - Env. Exp. Biol. 10: 133-138.
- Abou-shaara H.F., Draz K.A., AL-aw, m., Eid, K. (2012b). Stability of honey bee morphological characteristics within open populations.- U. Bee J. 12: 31-37.
- Abou-Zeid, A.S. (1990). Studies on the biology of the Egyptian honeybee (*Apis mellifera lamarckii* Cook.). Ph.D. Thesis,
- Aly, F.K., H.M. Eshbah, M.A. Makadey (1989).Studies on the proboscis and corbiculae measurements of three races of honeybee in relation to honey and pollen production in middle Egypt. Proc., 4th Int. Conf. Apic. In Tropical Climate, Cairo, Egypt 6-10 Ndv, London, UK; I.B.R.A, 392-396.
- BienefeldK., ReinhardtF., PirchnerF. (1989)Inbreeding effects of queen and workers on colony traits in the honey bee Apidologie, 20, pp. 439-450.
- Chen C., Liu Z., Pan Q., Chen X., Wang H., Guo H., Liu S., Lu H., Tian S., Li R., Shi W. Genomic Analyses Reveal Demographic History and Temperate Adaptation of the Newly Discovered Honey Bee Subspecies *Apis mellifera sinisxinyuan* n. ssp. Mol. Biol. Evol. 2016;33:1337–1348. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msw017
- DesaiS.D., KumarS., CurrieR.W. (2015)Occurrence, detection, and quantification of economically important viruses in healthy and unhealthy honey bee (Hymenoptera: Apidae) colonies in CanadaCan. Entomol., 148, pp. 22-35.
- Dukku, U.H. and Danailu, G. (2020)An appraisal of sub specific classification of *Apis mellifera* L. in parts of West and Central Africa hrough landmark-based geometric morphometric analysis of forewingsJ. Apic. Res., 59 (4), pp. 722-729
- Edriss M.A., Mostajeran M., Ebadi R. (2002).Correlation between honey yield and morphological traits of honey bee in Isfahan. - J. Sci. Tech. Agri. Nat. Res. 6: 91-103.

- Eimanifar A., Brooks S.A., Bustamante T., Ellis J.D. Population genomics and morphometric assignment of western honey bees (*Apis mellifera* L.) in the Republic of South Africa. *BMC Genomics.* 2018;19:615–641. doi: 10.1186/s12864-018-4998-x.
- El-Banby, M. A., (1954). The Biology and Behavior of the Egyptian Honeybee (*Apis mellifera lamackii L*).M.Sc. thesis, Fac. Agric. Ain Shams, Univ. pp. 114.
- El-feel, M.A.M. (2008) Studies on the Egyptian Honeybee Race *Apis mellifera lamarckii* Cockerel in Siwa Oasis as a New Isolated Region; Cairo University: Cairo, Egypt.
- El-Seedi, H. R., El-Wahed, A. A. A., Zhao, C., Saeed, A., Zou, X., Guo, Z., ... & Wang, K. (2022). A Spotlight on the Egyptian Honeybee (*Api smellifera lamarckii*). *Animals*, 12(20), 2749.
- Engel M.M.S.(1999)The taxonomy of recent and fossil honey bees (Hymenoptera Apidae Apis) J. Hymenopt.Res. ;8:165–196.
- Hassanein M.H. and M.A.ElBanby (1956)."Studies on the biometrics of the Egyptian honeybee. *Apis mellifera* var. fasciata .Latr. Bull. Soc. Entom. Egypte 1957.
- Hepburn H.R., Radloff S.E.(1998) Honeybees of Africa. *Honeybees of Africa*. doi: 10.1007/978-3-662-03604-4.
- Ilyasov R.A., Lee M.L., Takahashi J.I., Kwon H.W., Nikolenko A.G. A(2020) revision of subspecies structure of western honey bee *Apis mellifera*. Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences. ;27(12):3615
- Jones J.C., Helliwell P., Beekman M., Maleszka R., Oldroyd B.P.(2005)The effects of rearing temperature on developmental stability and learning and memory in the honey bee, *Apis mellifera. J. Comp. Physiol. A. Neuroethol. Sens. Neural.Behav. Physiol*;191:1121– 1129. doi: 10.1007/s00359-005-0035-z.
- Jones J.C., Myerscough M.R., Graham S., Oldroyd B.P. (2004) Honey bee nest thermoregulation: diversity promotes stability. *Science* (80-.);305:402–404. doi: 10.1126/science.1096340.
- Kolmes, S.A., Sam Y., (1991).Relationships between sizes of morphological features in worker honeybees (*Api smellifera*). - J. New York Entomol. Soc. 99:684-690.
- Mazeed, M. M. (1964). Biometrical studies on the Egyptian honeybee. M. Sc. Thesis Fac. of Agric. Cairo University.(Cited of Kamel 1991).
- Meixner D.M., Miroslaw W., Jerzy W., Fuchs S., Nikolaus K.(2011) *Apis mellifera mellifera* range in eastern Europe-morphometric variation and determination of its limits. Apidologie 38:1-7.
- Milne C.P., Pries K.J.(1984).Honeybee corbicular size and honey production. - J. Apic. Res. 23: 11-14.
- Mostajeran M.A., Edriss M.A., Basiri M.R. (2006) Analysis of colony and morphological characteristicistics in honey bees (*Apis mellifer ameda*) Pak. J. Biol. Sci., 9: 2685-2688.
- Nafea, E. A., Yousef, A. D. M., El-Dereny, S. H., Abdel-Hameed, M. A., Mahfouuz, H. M., &Farghaly, D. S. (2022).Improving Egyptian Honeybee Characteristics by Crossing with Carniolan Drones using Instrumental Insemination (I) Improve Honeybee Queen Characters. *Indian Journal of Ecology*, 49(1), 240-245.

- Radloff S.E., Hepburn R., Bagay L.J.(2003).Quantitative analysis of intracolonial and intercolonial morphometric variance in honeybees, Apis mellifera and Apiscerana. - Apidologie 34:339-351
- Rukhosh, J.R.(2021)The morphometrical study of some honeybee (*Apis mellifera L.*)(Hymenoptera: Apidae) races in Sulaimani-Iraqi Kurdistan Region. Euphrates J. Agric. Sci. 13, 23–41.
- Ruttner, F. (1988). Evolution, in: Biogeography and Taxonomy of Honeybees. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, pp. 20–36.
- Schmolz, E.,Dewitz, R.,Schricker, B.,Lamprecht, I. (2001) Energy Metabolism of European (*Apis mellifera Carnica*) and Egyptian (A. m. lamarckii) honeybees. J. Therm. Anal. Calorim., 65, 131–140.
- Shaibi, T.; Fuchs, S.; Moritz, R.F.A. (2009) Morphological study of honeybees (*Apis mellifera*) from Libya. Apidologie, 40, 97–105.
- Sheppard W.S., Meixner M.D.(2003)*Apis mellifera* pomonella, a new honey bee subspecies from Central Asia. Apidologie.;34:367–375. doi: 10.1051/ apido:2003037.

- Simonthomas, R.T., Simonthomas, A.M.J. (1980). Philanthustriangulum and its recent eruption as a predator of honeybees in an Egyptian oasis. Bee World, 61, 97–107.
- Taha E.K.A., AL-Kahtani S.N. (2017)Comparison of the activity and productivity of Carniolan (*Apis mellifera carnica* Pollmann) and Yemeni (*Apis mellifera jemenitica* Ruttner) subspecies under environmental conditions of the Al-Ahsa oasis of eastern Saudi Arabia. Saudi J. Biol. Sci. 2019;26:681–687. doi: 10.1016/j.sjbs.10.009.
- Waddington K.D. (1989)mplications of variation in worker body size for the honeybee recruitment system. - J. Behav. 2:91-103.
- Wafa, A.K., Rashad, S.E. and Mazeed, M.M. (1965).Biometrical studies on the Egyptian honeybee J. of Apic. Res., 4(3): 161-166.
- Zeid, A.S.A.; Schricker, B.(2001) Antennal sensilla of the queen, half-queen and worker of the Egyptian honey bee, *Apis smellifera lamarckii*. J. Apic. Res., 40, 53–58.

دراسات مورفومترية على نحل العسل المصري Apis mellifera lamarckii

احمد على محمد شاهين1 ، ايناس عثمان نور الدين 2، محمد محمد مصطفى بديوى1 و محمود مختار محمد متولى1

لقسم وقاية النبات ــ كلية الزر اعة جامعة الاز هر ــ مدينة نصر ــ القاهرة ²قسم بحوث النحل ــ معهد بحوث وقاية النباتات ــ مركز البحوث الزر اعية ــ الجيزة ــ مصر

الملخص

الصفات المور فولوجية لشغالات نحل العسل هي من الصفات المهمة لبر نامج التربية والتحسين ومفيدة للتمبيز بين السلالات والأنملط البيئية. تم إجراء هذه الدر اسة في كلية الزراعة بجامعة الأز هر خلال موسم 2023. تم جمع شغالات نحل العسل من منحل يتم استخدام التربية والتحسين ومفيدة للتمبيز بين السلالات والأنملط البيئية. تم إجراء هذه الدر اسة في كلية الزراعة كطول الخرطوم ، طول السوط ، الطول الكلي لقرن الاستشعار ، طول عرض الرأس ، طول الجناح الأمامي وعرضه ، طول الجناح الخلفي وعرضه ، عد الخطافات ، طول عظم الفخذ ، عرض و طول السوط ، الطول الكلي لقرن الاستشعار ، طول عرض الرأس ، طول الجناح الأمامي وعرضه ، طول الجناح الخلفي و من عنه و طول الساق ، طول وعرض اول عقلة من الرسغ ، طول وعرض الساق الخلفية ، طول وعرض خذة الشمع الأولى. تم وضع جميع عينات الشغالات في الثلاجة -20 درجة مئوية في المختبر حتى تحليلها. أظهرت النتائج أن القيم المتوسطة هي: طول اللسان 5.60 ملم. طول الجناح الخلفي وعرضه ، مئوية في المختبر حتى تحليلها. أظهرت النتائج أن القيم المتوسطة هي: طول وعرض المالي وعرض خذة الشمع الأولى. تم وضع جميع عينات الشغالات في الثلاجة -20 درجة منوية في المختبر حتى تحليلها. أظهرت النتائج أن القيم المتوسطة هي: طول اللسان 5.60 ملم. طول الجناح الأمامي وعرض فذة الشمع الأولى. تم وضع جميع عينات الشغالات في الثلاجة -20 درجة 20.33 عن المحابر حال ول علم المتوسطة هي: طول اللسان 5.69 ملم. طول الجناح الأمامي 6.46 ملم. والعرض 2.93 ملم عد الخطافات على الجناح الخلفي ولي يقرب حلوم الحل المع المتوسطة هي: طول اللسان 5.69 ملم. طول الجناح الأمامي 6.46 ملم. ولي طول الهجبن ويمكن اعتبار نحل العلى 20.33 عنه عليل التم الذي الترابع العراف 20.10 ملم. هذه الصفات يمكن استخدامها للإشارة إلى الأصل المصري في النحل الهجبن ويمكن اعتبار نحل العسل مو ألى المعرار على العسل من المالي المن المعن الم المعربي في الأمل المصري في المر المع ملاما عربي

الكلمات الدالة : سلالة النحل المصرى - الصفات المور فولوجية - طول الجناح الامامى - طول اللسان