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#### Abstract

The seasonal population dynamics and within- plant distribution of the seychellarum mealybug, Icerya seychellarum on Hedera helix plants was carried out at the Farm of Faculty of Agriculture, Assiut University during two successive seasons, 2012/2013 and 2013/2014. Results of both seasons revealed that the highest numbers of nymphs and the total number of the pest were recorded during the last week of August. The highest percentages of the total monthly mean count (out of the total year count) were found to be 30.57 and $33.24 \%$, in August during the $1^{\text {st }}$ and $2^{\text {nd }}$ years, respectively. In addition, mealybug has four generations per year under the field conditions. The effect of weather elements on the pest population was also studied. Concerning the plant heights leaves and branches, the results indicated that the bottom portion had more insects than those of the middle or the top portions. As for the leaf surface, the lower surface sheltered more individuals than those of the upper surface. Leaves harbored a large number of insects than those of branches. Differences in seasonal patterns and within plant distribution should be considered in developing sampling protocols and managing plans for seychellarum mealybug control. Keywords: Mealybug, Ivy, Seasonal abundance, Assiut.


## INTRODUCTION

Hedra helix L. is an ornamental plant within its native range, the species is greatly valued for sustaining wildlife which its flowers have been visited by over 70 species of nectar- feeding insects, and its berries can be eaten by at least 16 species of birds. The foliage provides a dense ever green shelter, and is also browsed by deer. (Mitchell, 1975 and Metcalfe, 2005). Generally, it used for decoration, as groundcover, as a wall covering, climbing up a large tree trunk and for shady locations, especially the darker sides or building or under trees.

The common seychellarum mealybug, Icerya seychellarum (West.) is highly polyphagous insect, distributed in Australasian, Afrotropical, Oriental, Palaearctic and Neotropical regions (Kuwana, 1907; CABI, 1955; Kawai, 1980 and Ben-Dov, 2005) and mentioned in the coccid list of Ezzat and Nada (1986) as being in Egypt.

Seychellarum mealybug has piercing-sucking mouthparts. They feed by inserting slender mouthparts into plant tissues and sucking the sap. Plant parts may be spotted, curled, or wilted. Infestations reduce the vigor and growth of foliage plants, which reduce the beauty of the plant and affect its marketability (Newbery, 1981) or can even kill the plant in case of high densities or annually repeated infestation (Williams and Watson, 1990 and Ben-Dov, 2005). In addition, the pest can cause an indirect damage due to
the interaction with other insect pests or fungal diseases that are attracted by honeydew (Panis, 1980; Dreistadt et al., 1994; Mittler and Douglas, 2003).

Several mealybug species are vectors of viral diseases for versatile crops e.g. pseudococcus ficus (Signoret) (Tanne et al., 1989; Tsai et al., 2010) and $P$. virburni (Offinis) both are vectors of GLRa v-3 virus on grapevine (Golino et al., 1995). In such cases, tobacoo mosaic virus and papaya rings pot virus have been transmitted by seychellarum mealybugs ( Radles and Francki,1965: Prasad1986 and Follett,2009) . Therefore, the present work aims to study the population dynamics and the seasonal distribution of $I$. seychellarum. as well as constructing a key to the economic mealybug insect species infesting ornamental plant in Egypt.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was carried out in the Farm of the Faculty of Agriculture, Assiut University during two successive seasons of 2012/2013 and $2013 / 2014$. The normal agricultural practices were performed and no insecticides were used during the period from October, 2012 to September, 2014. Ten plants were chosen randomly for weekly inspection. Each plant was divided into three levels (bottom, middle and top level). From each plant level five leaves were picked up randomly and kept in polyethylene bag, then transferred to the laboratory for examination. The both sides of plant leaves (upper and lower surface) were examined under a stereomicroscope to count the number of the live nymphs and adults of the pest.. The numbers of nymphs and adults of each inspected leave were recorded at the front of each date. Concerning the plant branches, five branches were chosen form each plant level ( 15 cm . long). The same steps were followed as in plant leaves except for the examination was carried out in the field (in situ).

To calculate the age structure per sample, the mean number of each stage (Adult) was divided by the total and multiplied by 100. This way gave each stage (Adult) a percent proportion of the total per sample regardless the total number of the present insects (i.e. population density). Generation is defined, as the time required for an insect to complete its life cycle. The number and duration of the annual generations of Coccoidea pests, which were estimated depending on the weekly numbers, were worked out according to Audemard and Milaire (1975) formula. To facilitate the comparisons within each studied season and among the two seasons, the weekly counts were monthly accumulated. These monthly counts were estimated in percentages out of the annual total.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

## Seasonal density monitoring:-

Data in tables $1-2$ show the weekly population counts and the monthly incidence of seychellarum mealybug (nymphs and adults) on H. helix leaves during both seasons of 2012/2013 and 2013/2014.
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## Season 2012/2013:

Data in Table 1 show that the pest population counts (nymphs and adults) started with 3059 nymphs and 850 adults $/ 150$ leaves, on the $2^{\text {nd }}$ week of October increased slightly till the end of October. After that the Seychellarum mealybug population slightly decreased to reach its lowest numbers during the $1^{\text {st }}$ week of March, since 24 and 58 individuals/ 150 leaves for nymphs and adults were recorded. Then, the pest population increased gradually to reach its highest level during the last week of August for nymphs and adults (18782 and 4691 individuals/150 leaves).
The population decreased slightly again till the end of the September.
Data in Table 2 show the total monthly mean counts of the pest on $H$. helix leaves and their percentages. The highest percentage of the total monthly mean count was recorded in August (30.57\%) followed by July ( $21.67 \%$ ). The lowest percentages were recorded during winter months.
Table (2). The monthly mean count of I. seychellarum and percentages out of the total year count during 2012/2013 and 2013/2014 seasons at Assiut Governorat.

| Month | 2012/2013 season |  | 2013/2014 season |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean monthly <br> count/150 <br> leavas | \% out of the <br> total year <br> count | Mean monthly <br> count /150 <br> leavas | \% out of the <br> total year <br> count |
|  | 4197 | 6.04 | 3571 | 5.07 |
| November | 3659 | 5.26 | 2189 | 3.11 |
| December | 1308 | 1.88 | 799 | 1.14 |
| January | 493.3 | 0.71 | 126.3 | 0.18 |
| February | 174.3 | 0.25 | 79.5 | 0.11 |
| March | 147.5 | 0.21 | 173.3 | 0.25 |
| April | 733 | 1.05 | 551.6 | 0.78 |
| May | 3192 | 4.59 | 2045 | 2.91 |
| June | 8161 | 11.74 | 5629 | 8.00 |
| July | 15061 | 21.67 | 15191 | 21.58 |
| August | 21251 | 30.57 | 23397 | 33.24 |
| September | 11128 | 16.01 | 16642 | 23.64 |
| Total | 69505.1 | 100.00 | 70393.7 | 100.00 |

## Season 2013/2014:

Data in Table 1 show the population fluctuations of the pest on $H$. helix leaves during the $2^{\text {nd }}$ season. The population of the insect started with 2835 and 919 individuals/150 leaves (nymphs and adults), during the $1^{\text {st }}$ week of October and decreased gradually to reach its lowest level during the last week of January and the $1^{\text {st }}$ week of February (11 and 19 individuals/150 leaves for nymphs and adults. The mealybug population attained its highest level during the $3^{\text {rd }}$ and the last week of August ( 6311 and 19662 individuals/150 leaves for adults and nymphs), then, decreased gradually till the end of the season.

Data in Table 2 show that the highest monthly percentages were recorded during August (33.24\% of the total season count) followed by July
and September, (21.58 and $23.64 \%$ ), while during the months from December to April, these percentage ranged from 1.14 to $2.91 \%$ of the total year count.

Data of both seasons reveal that the highest weekly population and monthly percentages was recorded during the last week of August. The population of $l$. seychellarum increased and reached its highest level of abundance during the $1^{\text {st }}$ week May on Dodonia viscose ornamental plant, after which the population declined gradually (Mesbah et al., 2012). Although, these results are in full agreement with our results concerning the declined of the pest population after May but differed concerning the period of the highest population. The differentiation between our results and their results may be due to the differentiation in the kind of host plants (e.g. Hill, 1980) and in the geographical and consequently the climatic nature of the location of study. . Esfandiari et al. (2007) found that the abundance of the cottony cushion, I. purchasi (Maskell) in Khuzestan was differed according to the studied districts and the citrus hosts.

The numbers of Seychellarum mealybug on $H$. helix leaves during 2012/2013 and 2013/2014 seasons were used to determine the number of their generations according to Audemard and Milaire (1975) this method was used to determine the number of field generations either for coccoidea pests or others by many investigators for example Abd-Rabou and Moustafa (2010) used this method to determine the number of field generations of the oyster shell olive scale, Leucaspis riccae.

The number of generations is shown in Figure (1). Illustration in Figure (1, A) revealed the occurrence of four generations of the pest during 2012-2013 season. Adults of the 1st generation were appeared in the field during the period from October, 29 to December, 3. This generation lasted 43 days. The 2nd generation started from December, 10 to May, 13 and lasted 158 days. The third generation was observed from May, 20 to July, 8 and lasted 50 days. The last generation lasted 93 days and appeared from July, 15 to September, 30; whereas illustration in Figure (1, B) indicated also four generations for $I$. seychellarum during 2013-2014 season. The 1st generation was observed from October, 22 to December, 10 and lasted 50 days. The 2nd generation began from December, 17 to April, 29 and lasted 134 days. The 3rd generation was observed in the field from May, 6 to July, 15 and lasted 72 days. The fourth generation occupied the period from July, 22 to September, 30 and lasted 71 days.

Results of both seasons revealed that there is four generations for $I$. seychellarum on H. helix leaves in Assiut region. The shortest generation was the 1st one where the temperature was in its highest levels during the period of this generation. Many authors (e.g. Moutia and Mamet, 1946 and Blackmore, 1981) obtained the same result in which the brown scale insect has four yearly generations. In additions, some other authors (e. g. Hill, 1980; Godse and Bhole, 2003 and Mona, 2012) revealed that the pest has 2-5 generations a year. The conflict in the results may be due to the differences in the regions, climatic elements, the differences in host plants and cultural practices.


Fig. (1): Number of $I$. seychellarum field generations, arranged according to Audemard and Milaire (1975) method during 2012/2013 and 2013/2014 seasons in Assiut Governorate.

## Weather elements:

## 2012/2013 season:

Data presented in Table 3 show that the simple correlation coefficient among temperature [Max. (x1) and Min.(x2)] and the pest population was positive highly significant, while the effect of relative humidity (x3) on the insect population was negative highly significant . Multiple-regression analysis showed that the three studied weather elements could be responsible for $70.67 \%$ of the changes in Icerya seychellarum population. The efficiency of each element on the pest population change was 55.16, 15.38 and 0.12 for minimum temperature, relative humidity and maximum temperature, respectively.

## 2013/2014 season:

Results in Table 3 indicate that the simple correlation between temperature [Max. and Min.] and relative humidity, and the pest population in the second season was similar to that of the first season. Also, Multipleregression analysis revealed that the efficiency of the studied weather elements occupied the same arrangement of the first season.

It is seemed that the variation in the action of mortality factors such as weather elements cause a considerable population fluctuations. Mesbah et al. (2012) found that the pest population fluctuated with temperatures. Geiger et al. (2001) found that the survival of the I. seychellarum immature stages was minimal at $30 \%$ R.H.
Table (3): Multiple regression analysis between the total number of the seychellarum mealybug, I. seychellarum and some weather factors prevailing at Assiut during 2012/2013 and 2013/2014 seasons.

| Growing <br> season | Weather factors | $\mathbf{r}$ | $\mathbf{R}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{2} \mathbf{x} 100$ | Decrease <br> in $\mathbf{R}^{2} \mathbf{x 1 0 0}$ | Efficiency |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2012 / 2013$ | Non | - | 0.8406 | 70.67 | - | - |
|  | Max. temp. <br> $\left(\mathrm{X}_{1}\right)$ | $+0.4910^{* *}$ | 0.8402 | 70.6 | 0.07 | 0.1253 |
|  | Min. temp. <br> $\left(\mathrm{X}_{2}\right)$ | $+0.7351^{* *}$ | 0.6178 | 38.17 | 32.5 | 55.1659 |
|  | Avg. R.H. \% <br> $\left(\mathrm{X}_{3}\right)$ | $-0.1696^{* *}$ | 0.7849 | 61.61 | 9.06 | 15.3829 |
|  | Non | - | 0.8978 | 80.61 | - | - |
|  | Max. temp. <br> $\left(\mathrm{X}_{1}\right)$ | $+0.5882^{* *}$ | 0.8932 | 79.78 | 0.83 | 1.9236 |
|  | Min. temp. <br> $\left(\mathrm{X}_{2}\right)$ | $+0.8281^{* *}$ | 0.7402 | 54.79 | 25.82 | 59.5946 |
|  | Avg. R.H. \% <br> $\left(\mathrm{X}_{3}\right)$ | $-0.2532^{* *}$ | 0.8505 | 72.34 | 8.27 | 19.1 |

$r=$ Simple correlation.
$R=$ Multiple regression.
$\mathrm{R}^{2}=$ Coefficient of determination.
** $=$ Highly significant at 0.01 level of probability.

## Within-plant distribution:

The distribution of the seychellarum mealybug on plants was studied from several faces as follow:

## On plant leaves:

## On leaves level:

## Season of 2012/2013:

Data of Table 4 show the mean monthly numbers of the insect pest and their percentages (out of the year count). The total mean monthly counts of the insect were $28794.78,23104.47$ and 17471.12 insect/150 leaves comprised 41.51, 33.31 and $25.19 \%$ of the total mean count of the pest during the whole season on bottom, middle and top level of $H$. helix plants, respectively.

Table (4): Monthly incidence and percentages (out of the total year count) of the I. seychellarum on H. helix leaves regardless of leaf surface during 2012/2013 season, at Assiut.

| Mean monthly counts and percentages of insects on H. helix leaves |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Month and year | Plant level regardless of leaf surface |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Bottom level |  | Middle level |  | Top level |  | Total |  |
|  | Mean No. | \% | Mean No. | \% | Mean No. | \% | Mean No. | \% |
| October,2012 | 2054.33 | 2.96 | 1376.67 | 1.98 | 765.67 | 1.10 | 4196.67 | 6.05 |
| November | 1815.75 | 2.62 | 1245.25 | 1.80 | 622.5 | 0.90 | 3683.5 | 5.31 |
| December | 728.4 | 1.05 | 398.8 | 0.57 | 180.4 | 0.26 | 1307.6 | 1.88 |
| January,2013 | 288 | 0.42 | 140.75 | 0.20 | 64.5 | 0.09 | 493.25 | 0.71 |
| February | 99 | 0.14 | 51 | 0.07 | 24.25 | 0.03 | 174.25 | 0.25 |
| March | 83 | 0.12 | 44 | 0.06 | 20.5 | 0.03 | 147.5 | 0.21 |
| April | 385.8 | 0.56 | 237.4 | 0.34 | 109.8 | 0.16 | 733 | 1.06 |
| May | 1454.75 | 2.10 | 1043.5 | 1.50 | 693.5 | 1.00 | 3191.75 | 4.60 |
| June | 3662.25 | 5.28 | 2820.5 | 4.07 | 1999.25 | 2.88 | 8482 | 12.23 |
| July | 5916.4 | 8.53 | 5043.6 | 7.27 | 4101 | 5.91 | 15061 | 21.71 |
| August | 8109.5 | 11.69 | 6996 | 10.08 | 6145.75 | 8.86 | 21251.25 | 30.63 |
| September | 4197.6 | 6.05 | 3707 | 5.34 | 2744 | 3.96 | 10648.6 | 15.35 |
| Total | 28794.78 | 41.51 | 23104.47 | 33.31 | 17471.12 | 25.19 | 69370.37 | 100.00 |

Season of 2013/2014:
The mean monthly counts of the insect and their percentages (out of the whole year count) presented in Table 5. The total mean monthly counts, during the whole season, were 29807.60, 24053 and 19175.15 insects/150 leaves on the bottom, middle and top levels of $H$. helix plants, respectively. When these counts transferred to percentages, they resembled as 40.81, 32.94 and $26.25 \%$, respectively.

Table (5): Monthly incidence and percentages (out of the total year count) of the I. seychellarum on $H$. helix leaves regardless of leaf surface during 2013/2014 season, at Assiut.

| Mean monthly counts and percentages of insects on H. helix leaves |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Month and year | Plant level regardless of leaf surface |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
|  | Bottom level |  | Middle level |  | Top level |  |  |  |
|  | Mean No. | \% | Mean No. | \% | Mean No. | \% | Mean No. | \% |
| October, 2013 | 1938.75 | 2.65 | 1065.25 | 1.46 | 566.75 | 0.78 | 3570.75 | 4.89 |
| November | 1204.25 | 1.65 | 640.75 | 0.88 | 343.5 | 0.47 | 2188.5 | 3.00 |
| December | 400.6 | 0.55 | 252.8 | 0.35 | 146.2 | 0.20 | 799.6 | 1.09 |
| January,2014 | 74.75 | 0.10 | 35.75 | 0.05 | 18.25 | 0.02 | 128.75 | 0.18 |
| February | 48.5 | 0.07 | 22.75 | 0.03 | 8.25 | 0.01 | 79.5 | 0.11 |
| March | 101.5 | 0.14 | 48.5 | 0.07 | 23.25 | 0.03 | 173.25 | 0.24 |
| April | 1548 | 2.12 | 914 | 1.25 | 441 | 0.60 | 2903 | 3.97 |
| May | 942 | 1.29 | 687.5 | 0.94 | 454 | 0.62 | 2083.5 | 2.85 |
| June | 2606.5 | 3.57 | 1936.5 | 2.65 | 1335.5 | 1.83 | 5878.5 | 8.05 |
| July | 5899.8 | 8.08 | 5087.2 | 6.97 | 4203.6 | 5.76 | 15190.6 | 20.80 |
| August | 8601.75 | 11.78 | 7823 | 10.71 | 6972.25 | 9.55 | 23397 | 32.03 |
| September | 6441.2 | 8.82 | 5539 | 7.58 | 4662.6 | 6.38 | 16642.8 | 22.79 |
| Total | 29807.6 | 40.81 | 24053 | 32.94 | 19175.15 | 26.25 | 73035.75 | 100 |

## On leaves surfaces:

Season of 2012/2013:
The mean monthly numbers of the Icerya seychellarum and their percentages as well as the total monthly count and its percentage on leaves surfaces of $H$. helix plants during the whole season were presented in Table 6. The total mean monthly counts of the pest were 30054.9 and 33276.87 insects/ 150 leaves during the whole season on upper and lower surface of $H$. helix leaves. These numbers comprised 43.33 and $56.67 \%$ out of the whole year count.
Table (6): Monthly incidence and percentages (out of the total year count) of the I. seychellarum on H. helix leaves regardless of plant level during 2012/2013 season, at Assiut.

| Mean monthly counts and percentages of insects on H. helix leaves |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Month and year | Leaves surface regardless of plant level |  |  |  | Total |  |
|  | upper surface |  | lower surface |  |  |  |
|  | Mean No. | \% | Mean No. | \% | Mean No. | \% |
| October,2012 | 1075 | 1.55 | 3121.667 | 4.50 | 4196.667 | 6.05 |
| November | 968 | 1.40 | 2715.5 | 3.91 | 3683.5 | 5.31 |
| December | 412.2 | 0.59 | 895.4 | 1.29 | 1307.6 | 1.88 |
| January,2013 | 140.25 | 0.20 | 353 | 0.51 | 493.25 | 0.71 |
| February | 57.75 | 0.08 | 116.5 | 0.17 | 174.25 | 0.25 |
| March | 24.75 | 0.04 | 122.75 | 0.18 | 147.5 | 0.21 |
| April | 289 | 0.42 | 444 | 0.64 | 733 | 1.06 |
| May | 1329.25 | 1.92 | 1862.5 | 2.68 | 3191.75 | 4.60 |
| June | 3826 | 5.52 | 4656 | 6.71 | 8482 | 12.23 |
| July | 7105.2 | 10.24 | 7955.8 | 11.47 | 15061 | 21.71 |
| August | 10217.5 | 14.73 | 11033.75 | 15.91 | 21251.25 | 30.63 |
| September | 4610 | 6.65 | 6038.6 | 8.70 | 10648.6 | 15.35 |
| Total | 30054.9 | 43.33 | 33276.87 | 56.67 | 69370.37 | 100 |

## Season of 2013 /2014:

Table 7 shows the mean monthly counts of the pest and their percentages out of the total year count on both surfaces of $H$. helix leaves. The total mean monthly counts of the pest during the whole season were 31916.7 and 38796.65 individuals / 150 leaves on upper and lower surfaces of $H$. helix leaves. The percentages of the pest population out of the total season count were 45.14 and $54.86 \%$ on upper and lower surfaces of the leaves.

Table (7): Monthly incidence and percentages (out of the total year count) of the I. seychellarum on H. helix leaves regardless of plant level during 2013/2014 season, at Assiut.

| Mean monthly counts and percentages of insects on H. helix leaves |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Month and year | Leaves surface regardless of plant level |  | Total |  |  |  |
|  | upper surface |  | lower surface |  |  |  |
|  | Mean No. | \% | Mean No. | \% | Mean No. | \% |
| October,2013 | 1136 | 1.61 | 2434.75 | 3.44 | 3570.75 | 5.05 |
| November | 667 | 0.94 | 1521.5 | 2.15 | 2188.5 | 3.09 |
| December | 251.8 | 0.36 | 547.8 | 0.77 | 799.6 | 1.13 |
| January,2014 | 30.75 | 0.04 | 98 | 0.14 | 128.75 | 0.18 |
| February | 21.75 | 0.03 | 57.75 | 0.08 | 79.5 | 0.11 |
| March | 28.75 | 0.04 | 144.5 | 0.20 | 173.25 | 0.25 |
| April | 221.8 | 0.31 | 358.8 | 0.51 | 580.6 | 0.82 |
| May | 839.75 | 1.19 | 1243.75 | 1.76 | 2083.5 | 2.95 |
| June | 2488 | 3.52 | 3390.5 | 4.79 | 5878.5 | 8.31 |
| July | 7055.2 | 9.98 | 8135.4 | 11.50 | 15190.6 | 21.48 |
| August | 11279.5 | 15.95 | 12117.5 | 17.14 | 23397 | 33.09 |
| September | 7896.4 | 11.17 | 8746.4 | 12.37 | 16642.8 | 23.54 |
| Total | 31916.7 | 45.14 | 38796.65 | 54.86 | 70713.35 | 100 |

On plant branches:
On branches levels:

## Season of 2012/2013

Data in Table 8 display that the total means monthly counts during the whole season were 13761.25, 10906.05 and 8387.3 individuals/150 on the bottom, middle and top branches of H . helix plants, respectively. The percentages out of the whole year count on these branches levels were 41.63, 33 and $25.37 \%$, respectively.

Table (8): Monthly incidence and percentages (out of the total year count) of The I. seychellarum on H. helix branches during 2012/2013 season, at Assiut.

| Mean monthly counts and percentages of insects on H. helix branches |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Month and year | Bottom level |  | Middle level |  | Top level |  | Total |  |
|  | Mean No. | \% | Mean No. | \% | Mean No. | \% | Mean | \% |
| October,2012 | 1169 | 3.54 | 779.7 | 2.36 | 388 | 1.17 | 2336.7 | 7.07 |
| November | 1122 | 3.39 | 716.8 | 2.17 | 309 | 0.93 | 2147.8 | 6.50 |
| December | 299.8 | 0.91 | 161.2 | 0.49 | 61.8 | 0.19 | 522.8 | 1.58 |
| January,2013 | 125.3 | 0.38 | 48.75 | 0.15 | 19.25 | 0.06 | 193.3 | 0.58 |
| February | 36.5 | 0.11 | 16.5 | 0.05 | 6.25 | 0.02 | 59.25 | 0.18 |
| March | 38.75 | 0.12 | 16 | 0.05 | 6.5 | 0.02 | 61.25 | 0.19 |
| April | 151.4 | 0.46 | 82.8 | 0.25 | 33 | 0.10 | 267.2 | 0.81 |
| May | 524.5 | 1.59 | 348.3 | 1.05 | 552 | 1.67 | 1424.8 | 4.31 |
| June | 1632 | 4.94 | 1205 | 3.65 | 784.5 | 2.37 | 3621.5 | 10.96 |
| July | 2725 | 8.24 | 2344 | 7.09 | 1903 | 5.76 | 6972 | 21.09 |
| August | 3823 | 11.57 | 3482 | 10.53 | 3113 | 9.42 | 10418 | 31.52 |
| September | 2114 | 6.40 | 1705 | 5.16 | 1211 | 3.66 | 5030 | 15.22 |
| Total | 13761.25 | 41.63 | 10906.05 | 33.00 | 8387.3 | 25.37 | 33054.6 | 100 |

Season of 2013/2014:

The total mean monthly counts and their percentages out of the total year count of the pest were calculated in Table 9. The total mean monthly counts during the whole season were 10480.98, 10825.4 and 8538.2 individuals $/ 150$ branches which comprised as $35.12,36.27$ and $28.61 \%$ of the total year count on $H$. helix bottom, middle and top branches, respectively.

Table (9): Monthly incidence and percentages (out of the total year count) of the I. seychellarum on H. helix branches during 2013/2014 season, at Assiut.

| Mean monthly counts and percentages of insects on H. helix branches |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Month and year | Bottom level |  | Middle level |  | Top level |  | Total |  |  |
|  | Mean No. | \% | Mean No. | $\%$ | Mean No. | $\%$ | Mean No. | \% |  |
| October,2013 | 931.8 | 3.12 | 558.3 | 1.87 | 239 | 0.80 | 1729.1 | 5.79 |  |
| November | 544.5 | 1.82 | 302.3 | 1.01 | 130.8 | 0.44 | 977.6 | 3.28 |  |
| December | 184.4 | 0.62 | 106.6 | 0.36 | 54 | 0.18 | 345 | 1.16 |  |
| January,2014 | 28.5 | 0.10 | 13 | 0.04 | 5.5 | 0.02 | 47 | 0.16 |  |
| February | 18.25 | 0.06 | 5.25 | 0.02 | 2 | 0.01 | 25.5 | 0.09 |  |
| March | 42.75 | 0.14 | 21.25 | 0.07 | 8 | 0.03 | 72 | 0.24 |  |
| April | 122.6 | 0.41 | 64.4 | 0.22 | 22.6 | 0.08 | 209.6 | 0.70 |  |
| May | 452.3 | 1.52 | 353.8 | 1.19 | 239.3 | 0.80 | 1045.4 | 3.50 |  |
| June | 1177 | 3.94 | 915.5 | 3.07 | 634 | 2.12 | 2726.5 | 9.14 |  |
| July | 27.88 | 0.09 | 2386 | 7.99 | 1964 | 6.58 | 4377.88 | 14.67 |  |
| August | 4009 | 13.43 | 3597 | 12.05 | 3234 | 10.84 | 10840 | 36.32 |  |
| September | 2942 | 9.86 | 2502 | 8.38 | 2005 | 6.72 | 7449 | 24.96 |  |
| Total | 10480.98 | 35.12 | 10825.4 | 36.27 | 8538.2 | 28.61 | 29844.58 | 100 |  |

On plant parts:
Season of 2012 /2013:
Comparing the number of pest individuals inhabited the plant leaves with those on the branches; it was found that the general mean on the leaves was 69505.1 insects / 150 leaves, over 32499.5 insects / 150 branches (Table 10). These data constituted as $68.14 \%$ on leaves comparing with $31.86 \%$ on branches of the same host plant.

## Season of 2013 /2014:

The mean monthly counts of the seychellarum mealybug and their percentages out of the year count on H. helix plants were calculated in Table 11. The total mean monthly counts were 70393.7 and 32606.35 insects on plant leaves and branches. These numbers comprised to 68.34 and $31.66 \%$ of the total number (103000.05 insects/ 10 plants).

Table (10): Monthly incidence and percentages (out of the total year count) of the I. seychellarum on H. helix plants (leaves and branches )during 2012/2013 season, at Assiut.

| Mean monthly counts and percentages of insects on H. helix plant parts |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Month and year | Leaves |  | Branches | Total |  |  |
|  | Mean No. | $\%$ | Mean No. | $\%$ | Mean No. | \% |
| October,2012 | 4197 | 4.11 | 2363 | 2.32 | 6560 | 6.43 |
| November | 3659 | 3.59 | 2145 | 2.10 | 5804 | 5.69 |
| December | 1308 | 1.28 | 523 | 0.51 | 1831 | 1.80 |
| January,2013 | 493.3 | 0.48 | 193.3 | 0.19 | 686.6 | 0.67 |
| February | 174.3 | 0.17 | 59.25 | 0.06 | 233.55 | 0.23 |
| March | 147.5 | 0.14 | 63.75 | 0.06 | 211.25 | 0.21 |
| April | 733 | 0.72 | 267.2 | 0.26 | 1000.2 | 0.98 |
| May | 3192 | 3.13 | 920 | 0.90 | 4112 | 4.03 |
| June | 8161 | 8.00 | 3621 | 3.55 | 11782 | 11.55 |
| July | 15061 | 14.77 | 6972 | 6.83 | 22033 | 21.60 |
| August | 21251 | 20.83 | 10343 | 10.14 | 31594 | 30.97 |
| September | 11128 | 10.91 | 5029 | 4.93 | 16157 | 15.84 |
| Total | 69505.1 | 68.14 | 32499.5 | 31.86 | 102004.6 | 100 |

Table (11): Monthly incidence and percentages (out of the total year count) of the I. seychellarum on H. helix plants (leaves and branches )during 2013/2014 season, at Assiut.

| Mean monthly counts and percentages of insects on on H. helix plant parts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Month and year | Leaves |  | \% | Branches |  | Total |  |
|  | Mean No. | \% | Mean No. | $\%$ | Mean No. | \% |  |
| October,2013 | 3571 | 3.47 | 1729 | 1.68 | 5300 | 5.15 |  |
| November | 2189 | 2.13 | 977.5 | 0.95 | 3166.5 | 3.07 |  |
| December | 799 | 0.78 | 347 | 0.34 | 1146 | 1.11 |  |
| January,2014 | 126.3 | 0.12 | 47 | 0.05 | 173.3 | 0.17 |  |
| February | 79.5 | 0.08 | 25.5 | 0.02 | 105 | 0.10 |  |
| March | 173.3 | 0.17 | 72.75 | 0.07 | 246.05 | 0.24 |  |
| April | 551.6 | 0.54 | 209.6 | 0.20 | 761.2 | 0.74 |  |
| May | 2045 | 1.99 | 1045 | 1.01 | 3090 | 3.00 |  |
| June | 5629 | 5.47 | 2726 | 2.65 | 8355 | 8.11 |  |
| July | 15191 | 14.75 | 7138 | 6.93 | 22329 | 21.68 |  |
| August | 23397 | 22.72 | 10840 | 10.52 | 34237 | 33.24 |  |
| Sptember | 16642 | 16.16 | 7449 | 7.23 | 24091 | 23.39 |  |
| Total | 70393.7 | 68.34 | 32606.35 | 31.66 | 103000.05 | 100.00 |  |

The distribution of the $I$. seychellarum on the plants was studied from several aspects as follow:

Data in Table 12 summarize the distribution of I.seychellarum on $H$. helix plants either concerning plant levels, leaf surfaces or plant parts. Concerning the level of leaves on plant, the percentages of the insect population on bottom, middle and top levels were 41.51, 33.31 and 25.19 during 2012/2013 season and in the second season (2013/2014), these
percentages constituted $40.81,32.94$ and 26.25 on the respective levels. This finding indicated that the mealybug individuals harbor the bottom level more than the middle or the top level.

In regard to the level of branches, the insect population on the bottom, middle and top levels were 41.63, 33.00 and 25.37 during 2012/2013 season and $35.12,36.27$ and 28.61 in the second season. Again, the branches at the bottom level seem to be the superior over the middle and the top levels as a refuge for insect population.
Table (12): Summarized the population distribution of the $I$. seychellarum on H. helix plants during 2012/2013 and 2013/2014 seasons, at Assiut.

| Situation of plant parts |  |  | Seasons |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 2012/2013 season |  | 2013/2014 season |  |
|  |  |  | Total mean count | \% | Total mean count | \% |
| Plant level | leaves <br> (150 <br> leaves) | Bottom | 28794.78 | 41.51 | 29807.6 | 40.81 |
|  |  | Middle | 23104.47 | 33.31 | 24053 | 32.94 |
|  |  | Top | 17471.12 | 25.19 | 19175.15 | 26.25 |
|  |  | Total | 69370.37 | 100.00 | 73035.75 | 100 |
|  | Branches <br> (150 <br> branches) | Bottom | 13761.25 | 41.63 | 10480.98 | 35.12 |
|  |  | Middle | 10906.05 | 33.00 | 10825.4 | 36.27 |
|  |  | Top | 8387.3 | 25.37 | 8538.2 | 28.61 |
|  |  | Total | 33054.6 | 100 | 29844.58 | 100 |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Leaf } \\ \text { surface } \\ (150 \\ \text { leaves }) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Upper |  | 30054.9 | 43.33 | 31916.7 | 45.14 |
|  | Lower |  | 33276.87 | 56.67 | 38796.65 | 54.86 |
|  | Total |  | 69370.37 | 100 | 70713.35 | 100 |
| Whole plant | $\begin{gathered} \text { Leaves } \\ \text { (150 leaves) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | 69505.1 | 68.14 | 70393.7 | 68.34 |
|  | Branches(150 branches) |  | 32499.5 | 31.86 | 32606.35 | 31.66 |
|  | Total |  | 102004.6 | 100 | 103000.05 | 100.00 |

Concerning the leaf surface, the percentage of the pest population on the lower surface was higher than that on the upper surface . It was 56.67 and 43.33 \% during the first season and 54.86 and 45.14 \% during the second one. Data confirm that the I. seychellarum was negatively phototrophic. The plant parts in bottom levels either of leaves or branches were in shade and not exposed to the effect of direct sun and consequently were protected from a high temperature. Ben-Dov (2005) found that $I$. seychellarum females seek out a protected place to lay eggs.

Concerning the plant parts, the population of this Coccoid pest was more abundant on leaves than that on branches during both seasons. It was 68.14 and 31.86 \% during 2012/2013 season and 68.34 and $31.66 \%$ during $2013 / 2014$ season, respectively. The nature of leaf and branch phenology is different. The branch is cylindrical in shape and so, it has not upper or lower surface. But the leaf has upper and lower surface and if the insect wants to hide from sunlight or a high temperature, it can be harbored the lower surface and vice versa. Javadi and Mahdavian (2011) showed that in winter the population of $l$. purchasi was higher on exposed sunny trees than that on
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shady ones, while in spring and summer it was inversed. The nature of leaf and branch anatomy is also different, whereas, the branches are hard enough than that of leaves which makes branches too thick for insects to penetrate and feed on. Thus, the tissue of leaves is more suitable for insect mouth parts to suck easily plant juice. Moreover, the food elements are metabolite within the leaf tissues, this may be make the insect food is more available in leaves than branches. Newbery (1981) found that the population of seychellarum mealybug was much more abundant on leaves and grapes than the bark. The author attributed this to that the leaves and grapes provide a much superior habitat than the bark.

Determining the appearance, population density and distribution of seychellarum mealybug on $H$. helix plants maybe enable us to define a time and a proper controlling way of the pest before its population causing serious damages. In addition knowing the preference of seychellarum mealybug for certain plant organs (leaves and branches) and location (bottom, middle and top levels) gives good information for IPM programmer to select a resistant plant, proper insecticides and proper controlling techniques.
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> دراسة ديناميكية مجموع حشرة بق الليشيلارم الاقيقى علي نبات الهيدرا غادة صلاح محمد فرغلى قسم وقاية آلنبات - كلية الزراعة - جامعة جنوب الوادى

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { تم إجر اء هذه الدر اسـة فى المزر عـة البحثيـة النابعـة لكليـة الزر اعـة بجامعـة اسيوط خـلال موسمى } \\
& \text { r.1E/r.1rgr.1r/r.1r } \\
& \text { أوضحت النتائج خلال موسمى الاراسـة أن أكبر عدد من الحوريـات ومجموع الآفة يظهر خـلال } \\
& \text { الأسبوع الآخير من شهر أغسطس . كما تظهر أعلى نسبة مئوية من التُعداد الكلى السنوى في شـهر أعسطس } \\
& \text { ( } \\
& \text { ونهاية كل جيل وبالتالى فترة بقاء الحشرة . }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { هذه الحشرة كان مرتفعا على الأوراق و الأفر ع السفلية عن تلك الموجودة على الأوراق و الأفرع الوسطى أو } \\
& \text { العلوية. وبالنسبة للسطح الورقة ، فإن تعداد هذه الحشرة كان بصفة عامـة مر تفعـاً على السطح السفلى عن تلكـ } \\
& \text { الأعداد الموجودة على اللسطح العلوى للورقة } \\
& \text { أما بالنسبة للجزء النباتى ، فقد وجد أن تعداد الحشرات على الأوراق أعلى من التعداد الموجود } \\
& \text { على الأفرع. } \\
& \text { وتفيد هذه الاراسـة عند تصميم برنـامج مكافحـة متكاملـة لهذه الآفة من حيث إختيـار ميعـاد إجراء } \\
& \text { المكافحة أو إختيار المركبات المستخدمة وطريقة إستخدامها. }
\end{aligned}
$$
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Table (1): Population fluctuations of I. seychellarum on H. helix plants during 2012/2013 and 2013/2014 seasons, at

| Month and Year | Date | 2012/2013 season |  |  |  |  |  | 2013/2014 season |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | No. of insects /150 leaves |  |  | Meteorological records |  |  | No. of insects /150 leaves |  |  | Meteorological record |  |  |
|  |  | Nymphs | Adults | Total | Temperature ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) |  | R.H\% | Nymphs | Adults | Total | Temperature ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) |  | R. |
|  |  |  |  |  | Max. | Min. |  |  |  |  | Max. | Min. |  |
| October | 7 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2835 | 919 | 3754 | 44.2 | 18.6 | 45 |
|  | 15 | 3059 | 850 | 3909 | 37.4 | 15 | 52.07 | 2853 | 1056 | 3909 | 35.4 | 15.4 | 51 |
|  | 22 | 3257 | 935 | 4192 | 39.6 | 16 | 47.43 | 2384 | 980 | 3364 | 40.6 | 15.4 | 52 |
|  | 29 | 3326 | 1163 | 4489 | 42.2 | 17.8 | 39.43 | 2292 | 964 | 3256 | 37.8 | 16 | 47 |
| Total |  | 9642 | 2948 | 12590 |  |  |  | 10364 | 3919 | 14283 |  |  |  |
| November | 5 | 3226 | 1056 | 4282 | 38.6 | 14.2 | 50.43 | 1926 | 762 | 2688 | 35.4 | 15.8 | 52 |
|  | 12 | 2783 | 1010 | 3793 | 33.8 | 11.2 | 53 | 1722 | 774 | 2496 | 35.2 | 12.6 | 56 |
|  | 19 | 2701 | 984 | 3685 | 29.8 | 11.2 | 53.43 | 1270 | 582 | 1852 | 36.4 | 13 | 51 |
|  | 26 | 2143 | 732 | 2875 | 29.4 | 10.2 | 59.71 | 1186 | 534 | 1720 | 27.4 | 8.8 |  |
| Total |  | 10853 | 3782 | 14635 |  |  |  | 6104 | 2652 | 8756 |  |  |  |
| December | 3 | 1676 | 635 | 2311 | 26.2 | 8.8 | 57.36 | 1002 | 464 | 1466 | 23.8 | 5.4 | 62 |
|  | 10 | 836 | 328 | 1164 | 26.4 | 5.4 | 62.14 | 751 | 373 | 1124 | 26.4 | 6.4 | 59 |
|  | 17 | 798 | 331 | 1129 | 29 | 8 | 58.93 | 413 | 246 | 659 | 25.8 | 6.6 | 61 |
|  | 24 | 685 | 278 | 963 | 25 | 2.6 | 61.29 | 272 | 212 | 484 | 23.8 | 5.6 | 65 |
|  | 31 | 686 | 285 | 971 | 22 | 5 | 61.93 | 124 | 138 | 262 | 23.8 | 4.6 | 6 |
| Total |  | 4681 | 1857 | 6538 |  |  |  | 2562 | 1433 | 3995 |  |  |  |
| January | 7 | 423 | 184 | 607 | 24.4 | 3.4 | 57 | 121 | 128 | 249 | 29.2 | 5.2 | 63 |
|  | 14 | 438 | 166 | 604 | 21.6 | 3.6 | 58.07 | 58 | 86 | 144 | 20.8 | 4.8 | 68 |
|  | 21 | 288 | 135 | 423 | 24.6 | 5.4 | 61.14 | 35 | 39 | 74 | 22.8 | 4.2 | 6 |
|  | 28 | 218 | 121 | 339 | 21.2 | 4.2 | 55.14 | 16 | 22 | 38 | 23.6 | 4 | 66 |
| Total |  | 1367 | 606 | 1973 |  |  |  | 230 | 275 | 505 |  |  |  |

Table (1): Cont

| Month and Year | Date | 2012/2013 season |  |  |  |  |  | 2013/2014 season |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | No. of insects /150 leaves |  |  | Meteorological records |  |  | No. of insects /150 leaves |  |  | Meteorological records |  |  |
|  |  | Nymphs | Adults | Total | Temperature ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) |  | R.H\% | Nymphs | Adults | Total | Temperature ( ${ }^{\text {C }}$ ) |  | R.H\% |
|  |  |  |  |  | Max. | Min. |  |  |  |  | Max. | Min. |  |
| February | 4 | 151 | 142 | 293 | 25.8 | 4.6 | 56.21 | 11 | 19 | 30 | 27.4 | 5.4 | 59.93 |
|  | 11 | 54 | 70 | 124 | 27.8 | 5.2 | 63.93 | 29 | 67 | 96 | 23.8 | 4.2 | 59.57 |
|  | 18 | 56 | 85 | 141 | 24.4 | 2.2 | 64.79 | 38 | 85 | 123 | 22.2 | 3 | 63.64 |
|  | 25 | 55 | 84 | 139 | 29.4 | 4.4 | 57 | 58 | 147 | 205 | 32.4 | 8.6 | 61.5 |
| Total |  | 316 | 381 | 697 |  |  |  | 136 | 318 | 454 |  |  |  |
| March | 3 | 24 | 58 | 82 | 36.4 | 10 | 49.86 | 54 | 105 | 159 | 31 | 7.6 | 57.5 |
|  | 11 | 32 | 60 | 92 | 34.2 | 7.2 | 50.79 | 67 | 150 | 217 | 33.8 | 8.4 | 52.93 |
|  | 18 | 69 | 137 | 206 | 28.6 | 7.6 | 50.79 | 107 | 262 | 369 | 24.4 | 6 | 61.29 |
|  | 25 | 63 | 147 | 210 | 30.2 | 7 | 55.5 | 58 | 176 | 234 | 28.6 | 7.8 | 57.57 |
| Total |  | 188 | 402 | 590 |  |  |  | 286 | 693 | 979 |  |  |  |
| April | 1 | 182 | 85 | 267 | 34.4 | 11.2 | 54 | 98 | 295 | 393 | 31.4 | 9 | 57.93 |
|  | 8 | 337 | 138 | 475 | 35.4 | 10 | 49.71 | 136 | 410 | 546 | 28.43 | 11.97 | 52.5 |
|  | 15 | 455 | 208 | 663 | 34.4 | 7 | 47.21 | 177 | 556 | 733 | 31.8 | 13.74 | 53.57 |
|  | 22 | 612 | 270 | 882 | 38 | 12.6 | 41.93 | 229 | 721 | 950 | 33.66 | 15 | 46.43 |
|  | 29 | 965 | 413 | 1378 | 37.2 | 11.8 | 42.86 | 284 | 776 | 1060 | 35.71 | 19.26 | 45.14 |
| Total |  | 2551 | 1114 | 3665 |  |  |  | 924 | 2758 | 3682 |  |  |  |
| May | 6 | 1280 | 560 | 1840 | 37.4 | 15.6 | 43.71 | 365 | 1041 | 1406 | 36.6 | 14.8 | 42.67 |
|  | 13 | 2017 | 718 | 2735 | 46.6 | 15 | 31.64 | 431 | 1785 | 2216 | 37.4 | 12.8 | 44.36 |
|  | 20 | 2596 | 955 | 3551 | 46 | 16.8 | 37.14 | 538 | 2289 | 2827 | 38.4 | 15.4 | 42.29 |
|  | 27 | 3532 | 1009 | 4641 | 40 | 12.4 | 37.64 | 739 | 3065 | 3804 | 42.2 | 18.6 | 38.86 |
| Total |  | 9425 | 3242 | 12767 |  |  |  | 2073 | 8180 | 10253 |  |  |  |
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| Month and Year | Date | 2012/2013 season |  |  |  |  |  | 203/2014 season |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | No. of insects /150 leaves |  |  | Meteorological records |  |  | No. of insects /150 leaves |  |  | Meteorological records |  |  |
|  |  | Nymphs | Adults | Total | Temperature ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) |  | R.H\% | Nymphs | Adults | Total | Temperature ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) |  | R.H\% |
|  |  |  |  |  | Max. | Min. |  |  |  |  | Max. | Min. |  |
| June | 3 | 4937 | 1565 | 6502 | 43.6 | 18.6 | 35.71 | 2842 | 927 | 2769 | 39.4 | 16.8 | 42.43 |
|  | 10 | 5823 | 1655 | 7478 | 44.4 | 18.8 | 36.29 | 3688 | 1117 | 4805 | 40.6 | 20.4 | 42.93 |
|  | 17 | 7106 | 2064 | 7887 | 40.4 | 19 | 38.36 | 4962 | 1610 | 6572 | 43.8 | 20.8 | 36.64 |
|  | 24 | 8449 | 2329 | 10778 | 43.4 | 20 | 38.86 | 6599 | 1769 | 8368 | 43.8 | 19 | 41.14 |
| Total |  | 26315 | 7613 | 32645 |  |  |  | 18091 | 5423 | 22514 |  |  |  |
| July | 1 | 9436 | 2578 | 12014 | 45.8 | 22.4 | 33.36 | 8564 | 2405 | 10969 | 45.2 | 19 | 41.21 |
|  | 8 | 10596 | 2948 | 13544 | 39.8 | 21.2 | 43.21 | 10503 | 2870 | 13373 | 38.8 | 22.8 | 45.43 |
|  | 15 | 11538 | 3215 | 14753 | 38.6 | 21.4 | 46.29 | 11997 | 3350 | 15347 | 40.2 | 22.4 | 52.07 |
|  | 22 | 13443 | 3252 | 16695 | 38.8 | 21.2 | 48.71 | 13464 | 3906 | 17370 | 42 | 23 | 49 |
|  | 29 | 14571 | 3728 | 18299 | 38.2 | 20.4 | 47.86 | 14584 | 4310 | 18894 | 42.8 | 23.8 | 45.07 |
| Total |  | 59584 | 15721 | 75305 |  |  |  | 59112 | 16841 | 75953 |  |  |  |
| August | 5 | 15517 | 3761 | 19278 | 39.6 | 20.2 | 43.43 | 16020 | 4709 | 20729 | 44.4 | 22.6 | 49.35 |
|  | 12 | 16440 | 4102 | 20542 | 39.8 | 22.2 | 44.5 | 17437 | 5190 | 22627 | 45.2 | 21 | 47.29 |
|  | 19 | 17597 | 4115 | 21712 | 37.8 | 21.2 | 48.57 | 18577 | 5682 | 24259 | 41.4 | 22.6 | 51.71 |
|  | 26 | 18782 | 4691 | 23473 | 38.8 | 20.4 | 47.86 | 19662 | 6311 | 25973 | 40.6 | 24 | 53.56 |
| Total |  | 68336 | 16669 | 85005 |  |  |  | 71696 | 21892 | 93588 |  |  |  |
| September | 2 | 14132 | 4225 | 18357 | 37.6 | 20.2 | 48.14 | 16912 | 5228 | 22140 | 41.2 | 21.4 | 47 |
|  | 9 | 11165 | 3327 | 14492 | 39.2 | 18.6 | 50.07 | 14784 | 4387 | 19171 | 42.2 | 20 | 45.36 |
|  | 16 | 7984 | 2542 | 10526 | 41.4 | 21.2 | 42.21 | 12499 | 3762 | 16261 | 38.6 | 21 | 49 |
|  | 23 | 5370 | 1845 | 7215 | 40.4 | 19.4 | 48.36 | 10925 | 3300 | 14225 | 37.2 | 19.2 | 52.07 |
|  | 30 | 3811 | 1237 | 5048 | 38 | 19 | 45.79 | 8546 | 2868 | 11414 | 44.2 | 21 | 39.21 |
| Total |  | 42462 | 13176 | 55638 |  |  |  | 63666 | 19545 | 83211 |  |  |  |

