

## EFFECT OF SOME ANTAGONISTIC BACTERIA IN REDUCING OF PEANUT DAMPING - OFF, ROOT AND POD ROT INCIDENCE CAUSED BY *Rhizoctonia solani*

Hussien, Zeinab N.<sup>1</sup>; E. Y.Mahmoud<sup>1</sup>; A. H. Metwaly<sup>1</sup> and H. M.Sobhy<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Plant Pathology Res. Ins., Agric. Res. Center, Giza., Egypt

<sup>2</sup> Institute of African Research and Studies, Cairo Univ., Giza, Egypt

### ABSTRACT

Seventeen bacterial isolates from the soil, rhizosphere, geocarposphere, peanut roots and pegs beside three supplied bioagents (*Bacillus subtilis*, *Pseudomonas putida* and *Pseudomonas fluorescens*) used to study their effect on four isolates of *Rhizoctonia solani*. *In vitro* only nine isolates caused moderate to strong inhibition to the four tested isolates of *R. solani*. *P. fluorescens* (Pf.5) gave the highest significant antagonistic effect against the four tested isolates of *R. solani* on PDA medium followed by *B. subtilis* (Bs.1), *P. putida* (PP) and *Bacillus* sp (S.5). In greenhouse and field experiment, the most effective isolates in reducing peanut damping-off, root and pod rot diseases were *P. fluorescens* (Pf.5) followed by *B. subtilis* (Bs1) and *Bacillus* sp (S.5). Regarding to peanut pod yield, the highest total peanut pod yield in the two seasons (2009 and 2010) was obtained by *B. subtilis* (Bs1) followed by *P. fluorescens*. The obtained data clearly showed the ability of some tested bioagents to be near to the fungicides effect (Rezolex-T) in reducing damping-off and peanut root and pod rots diseases. In this respect, in greenhouse and field trials *P. fluorescens* (Pf 5.) was the nearest one to fungicides effect in reduction of peanut damping-off and peanut root and pod rots and exceeded the commercial biocide effect (Rhizo-N), followed by *Bacillus* sp (S.5) and *B. subtilis* (Bs1) compared to other tested bioagents.

**Keywords:** *Rhizoctonia solani*, *Bacillus subtilis*, *Pseudomonas putida*, *Pseudomonas fluorescens*, bioagent, Biological control, Peanut, Damping-off, Root rot, pod rot and fungicides efficiency

### INTRODUCTION

Peanut is one of the most important leguminous field crops in Egypt as well as in many parts of the world. It is used for human consumption, oil production, food industries and animal feeding. Peanut is a unique legume since it flowers above ground and the pods (fruit) formed below the soil surface that makes all peanut parts exposed to attack by many soilborne fungi especially *R. solani*, which cause root rot and pod rot diseases causing quantitative and qualitative losses in yield (Hilal *et al.*, 1990, Mahmoud, 2004 and Mahmoud *et al.*, 2006).

Due to the environment need to more regulations and the weaknesses of chemical control, the biological control has become more attractive (Cook. 1993). Cook and Baker (1983) defined biological control as the reduction of the amount of inocula or disease-producing activity of a pathogen accomplished by or through one or more organisms other than humans. Bacteria, especially plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR), which suppress a variety of root and vascular disease caused by soilborne pathogens (Jayashree *et al.*, 2000, Meena *et al.*, 2001, and Mahmoud 2004).

*Bacillus* and *Pseudomonas* considered as important genera of these bacteria (Sailaja and Podile, 1998, Meena et al., 2001 and Ibrahim, et al., 2008). *B. subtilis* has been used for many years in attempts to control plant pathogens and plant growth increase. Certain strains of *B. subtilis* appear to be very effective as a biological control agent. application of *B. subtilis* under greenhouse and field conditions, reduced damping-off and root rot diseases caused by *R. solani*, *Pythium* spp., *Phytophthora capsici*, *Macrophomina phaseolina* and *Fusarium oxysporum* (Nemec et al., 1996 and Gabr et al., 1998). *B. subtilis* was used to control *Fusarium* wilt or crown rot diseases (Nemec et al., 1996 and Mosa, et al., 1997). While in peanut application of *B. subtilis* has a reducing effect on crown rot caused by *Aspergillus niger*, foot rot caused by *Sclerotium rolfsii* and root cankers caused by *R. solani* (Turner and Bakman, 1991, and Podile and Prakash, 1996).

Recently, *Pseudomonas* spp. had attained much attention as biological control agents. *Pseudomonas fluorescens* is considered as an important group of the antagonistic bacteria where it was effective against several soilborne pathogens in field and greenhouse trials (Mosa et al., 1997, Karunanithi et al., 2000 and Jayashree et al., 2000). In peanut, under greenhouse tests, 99% of plants were protected from *S. rolfsii* infection when inoculated with *P. fluorescens*. However, in field trial, treatment increased total pod yield by 65% and resulted in 18% greater survival of plants up to harvest. *Pseudomonas* strains showed in vitro antibiosis against the collar rot pathogen caused by *A. niger* and gave protection to groundnut seedlings against the disease. Treated plants gave higher yields in terms of pod number and weight than control (Sheela et al., 1998 and Dileep et al., 1999). Seed treatment or soil application of powder formulation of *P. fluorescens* strain (Pf 1) effectively reduced peanut root rot compared to other strains and showed the maximum of antagonistic effect produced in vitro by HCN, salicylic acid siderophore and beta-1,3 gluconase (Meena et al., 2001, Shanmugam et al., 2002 & 2003). Mahmoud (2004), found that in greenhouse and field trials *P. fluorescens* (Pf 5) and *B. subtilis* significantly reduced incidence of all types of pod rots caused by *R. solani*, *S. rolfsii*, *M. phaseolina*, *Fusarium* spp. and *Aspergillus* spp. and added that *B. subtilis* induced the highest pod yield of peanut. Moreover, Ibrahim et al., (2008) stated that some tested bioagents to be near to the fungicide efficiency (Rizolex-T) in reducing damping-off and peanut root rot diseases. In this respect, in greenhouse and field trials *P. fluorescens* (Pf.) and *B. subtilis* (Bs1) were the nearest to fungicides efficiency in reducing damping-off and peanut root rot.

This work was carried out to study the effect of some bacterial isolates in reducing damping-off, peanut root and pod rots diseases which caused by *R. solani* diseases.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Isolation and identification of causal organisms:

The fungal isolates, which were used throughout this study were previously isolated by the authors from diseased peanut roots and the

identification was carried out based on their hyphal characteristics according to Sneh *et al.*, (1991) and confirmed with anastomosis test for AG<sub>4</sub> as described by Carling, 1996.

**Preparation of fungal inoculum:**

Inocula of isolates of *R. solani* was prepared using sorghum - coarse sand - water (2:1:2 v/v) medium. The ingredients were mixed, bottled and autoclaved for 2 hours at 1.5-air pressure (120°C). The sterilized medium was inoculated using agar discs, obtained from the periphery of 5-day-old colony of each of the tested isolates. The inoculated media were incubated at 28°C for 10 days and were then used for soil infestation.

**Soil Infestation:**

Inoculum of each isolate of *R. solani* mixed thoroughly with the soil surface of each pot, at the rate of 2% w/w, and covered with a thin layer of sterilized soil. The infested pots irrigated and kept for 7 days before sowing.

**Disease assessment**

Disease assessment was recorded as percentage of damping-off (pre- and post emergence) after 15 days and 45 from sowing using the following formula =

$$\% \text{ Pre-emergence} = \frac{\text{Number of non germinated seeds}}{\text{Number of sown seeds}} \times 100$$

$$\% \text{ Post-emergence} = \frac{\text{Number of dead seedlings}}{\text{Number of sown seeds}} \times 100$$

$$\% \text{ damping - off} = \text{pre- emergence} + \text{post emergence}$$

Percentages of infected plants by root-rot and survived healthy plants were estimated after uprooting (120 days from sowing) as follows:

$$\% \text{ Root rot} = \frac{\text{Number of plants with root-rot}}{\text{Number of sown seeds}} \times 100$$

$$\% \text{ Healthy plants} = \frac{\text{Number of survived healthy plants}}{\text{Number of sown seeds}} \times 100$$

Plants in individual pots/plots were dug and inverted based on an optimum maturity index. Pods were threshed, air-dried for three days, weighted and then examined for pod rot incidence.

$$\% \text{ loss of yield} = \frac{\text{No. of infection pods} \times 100}{\text{Total pods}}$$

**Source of known antagonistic bacteria:**

Two known isolates of *P. fluorescens* (Pf5) (Howell and Stipanovic, 1979) *P. putida* and *B. subtilis* (Bs1) (El-Hadidy, 2003) were obtained from Culture Collection of Department of plant Pathology, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University.

**Isolation of antagonistic bacteria from peanut:**

Bacterial isolates were isolated from soil and different samples of peanut plants, according to Mickler *et al.*, (1995). Samples of roots, pegs and

pods collected from different fields at Ismailia, Nobaria and Sharkia districts, peanut organs with adhering soil placed in plastic bags and transferred to the laboratory. Adhering soil carefully brushed off from each organ. Ten grams of soil or adhering soil suspended in 90 ml sterile water, shaken for 30 min., and serial dilutions to  $10^6$  were prepared. Dilutions from each sample transferred on nutrient agar media (NA) and King's B media (KB) (King et al., 1954). Peanut organ samples also cutting to small pieces (1 cm) thin sterilized and transferred on nutrient agar media (NA) and King's B media (KB). Plates incubated at 27°C for 2- 4 days then individual colonies picked up, purified and stored at 4°C on the appropriate medium.

**Evaluation of antagonists, *in vitro*:**

All bacterial isolates were tested by streaking the bacteria in the center of culture plate containing PDA medium, then incubated for 48 hours at 25°C. Plates were inoculated with the pathogen by placing two 5 mm disks, from five days old culture of the tested isolates, 3 cm. apart from both sides of bacterial growth. Plates were incubated at 25 °C, for 4 days and fungal colony diameter in the presence or absences of bacteria were measured. The inhibition zone between bacteria and the pathogen was measured as described by Maurchofer et al., (1995).

**Preparation of bacterial inoculum**

Bacterial suspensions ( $1 \times 10^8$  cfu / ml) were prepared by dilution plate assay as described by Callan et al., (1990). Bacterial cells from agar cultures of each isolate were inoculated into nutrient broth (NB) and centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 5 min., the supernatant was discarded, and the precipitate was re-suspended in 100 ml sterilized distilled water. The suspension was centrifuged again for 5 min. and the precipitate was finally suspended in sterilized distilled water. Bacterial concentrations were determined according to its turbidity using spectphotometer

**9. Methods of application:**

Bacterial isolates were applied as soil treatment, by adding 100 ml of bacterial suspensions ( $10^8$  cfu / ml) for each pot, which previously infested with the pathogenic isolates, 15 days before planting.

**10. Evaluation of antagonists under greenhouse conditions:**

Pots experiments carried out during season 2009 for studying the effect of selected nine antagonistic bacteria isolates, for controlling damping off, root, and pod rot incidence of peanut. The experiment carried out at Agricultural Research Center, Giza. Peanut seeds, Giza 6 cv., used for sowing in 50 cm-diameter pots containing soil previously infested with *R. solani* (2% w/w). Ten seeds sown per each pot, five replicate pots used for experiment. Disease assessment recorded as percentage of damping-off, root rot, pod rot and survival plants at 15, 45 days and during the harvesting time as previously mentioned.

Bacterial isolates applied as seed dressing at sowing and as soil treatment after 40 days from sowing. ( $10^8$  cfu / ml).

**Evaluation of antagonists in the field:**

A field experiment established at Ismailia Experimental Station, Agriculture Research Center (ARC), during seasons 2009 and 2010 to study the effect of effect of five antagonistic bacterial isolates, for controlling

damping-off, root and pod rot incidence of peanut. The selected fields known to have natural infestation with root and pod rot pathogens. The soil type was sandy loam (77% sand, 11% silt and 12% clay; pH 7.98). The antagonistic bacteria applied as seed dressing at sowing and the antagonistic bacteria applied as soil treatment after 40 days from sowing as previously mentioned. Fungicide Rhizolex-T 50% (Tolclofos-methyl 20% + thiram 30%) applied as seed treatment at the rate of 3g/kg seed and the commercial biocides Rhizo-N (*Bacillus subtilis* 3 x 106 c.f.u/ml) at the rate 5g/kg seed. Cultural practices and fertilization for the peanut crop applied as recommended. Seeds were sown on the first week of May with 10 cm spacing between plants. The experimental unit area was 10.5 m<sup>2</sup> (1/400 fed.). The experiment arranged in completely randomized block design with four replicates. Disease assessment recorded as previously mentioned.

**Statistical analysis:-**

The data were statistically analyzed by analysis of variance (ANOVA) using the statistical Analysis System (SAS Institute, Inc, 1996). Means were separated by Duncan's Multiple Range Test at P ≤ 0.05 levels.

## **RESULTS**

**Bacterial isolates:**

Seventeen bacterial isolates (Table 1) were isolated from the soil, rhizosphere, geocarposphere, peanut roots and pegs obtained from different fields in three locations in Egypt. All bacterial isolates are related to the genus *Bacillus* and refer to *Bacillus* sp

**Screening of bacterial antagonists, *in vitro*:**

Seventeen bacterial isolates, in addition to three supplied bioagents (*B. subtilis*, *P. putida* and *P. fluorescens*), were evaluated *in vitro* for their antagonistic effect against four isolates of *R. solani* which represent four locations of Egypt on PDA medium (Table 2). Only nine isolates caused moderate to strong inhibition to the four tested isolates.

*P. fluorescens* (Pf.5) gave the high significant antagonistic effect against the four tested isolates of *R. salani* on PDA medium followed by *B. subtilis* (Bs.1), *P. putida* (PP) and *Bacillus* sp (S.5) (Table 3). Meanwhile *Bacillus* sp (N.5), *Bacillus* sp (Sh.5) and *Bacillus* sp (S3) gave moderate effect in their inhibition of tested pathogens growth. While, both of *Bacillus* sp (N.3) and *Bacillus* sp (Sh.4) had little effect.

**Table (1): List of bacterial isolates (*Bacillus* sp) obtained from peanut samples and soil from different locations.**

Isolate code	Source	Location
N.1	Soil	Nobaria
N.2	Soil	Nobaria
N.3	Rhizosphere	Nobaria
N.4	Rhizosphere	Nobaria
N.5	Root	Nobaria
N.6	Geocarposphere	Nobaria
Sh.1	Soil	Sharkia
Sh.2	Rhizosphere	Sharkia
Sh.3	Rhizosphere	Sharkia
Sh.4	Geocarposphere	Sharkia
Sh.5	Root	Sharkia
S.1	Soil	Ismailia
S.2	Soil	Ismailia
S.3	Rhizosphere	Ismailia
S.4	Rhizosphere	Ismailia
S.5	Peg	Ismailia
S.6	Peg	Ismailia

**Table (2): Screening of various bacterial isolates to determine their antagonistic effect against different *R. solani* isolates.**

Bacterial isolates	Inhibition zone <sup>2)</sup>			
	<i>R. solani</i> (B1)	<i>R. solani</i> (Sh4)	<i>R. solani</i> (N6)	<i>R. solani</i> (Is3)
<i>B. subtilis</i> (Bs. 1)	++	++	++	++
<i>P. putida</i> (PP)	++	++	++	++
<i>P. fluorescens</i> (Pf. 5)	++	++	++	++
<i>Bacillus</i> sp N.1	-	-	-	-
<i>Bacillus</i> sp N.2	-	-	-	-
<i>Bacillus</i> sp N.3	+	++	++	+
<i>Bacillus</i> sp N.4	-	-	-	-
<i>Bacillus</i> sp N.5	+	++	++	++
<i>Bacillus</i> sp N.6	-	-	-	-
<i>Bacillus</i> sp Sh.1	-	-	-	-
<i>Bacillus</i> sp Sh.2	-	-	-	-
<i>Bacillus</i> sp Sh.3	-	-	-	-
<i>Bacillus</i> sp Sh.4	+	+	+	+
<i>Bacillus</i> sp Sh.5	+	++	++	++
<i>Bacillus</i> sp S.1	-	-	-	-
<i>Bacillus</i> sp S.2	-	-	-	-
<i>Bacillus</i> sp S.3	+	++	+	++
<i>Bacillus</i> sp S.4	-	-	-	-
<i>Bacillus</i> sp S.5	++	++	++	++
<i>Bacillus</i> sp S.6	-	-	-	-

<sup>2)</sup> Inhibition of pathogens is expressed as the distance (mm) between pathogen mycelium and bacteria on potato dextrose agar (PDA), inhibition zone < 20 mm (+), inhibition zone ≥ 20 (++) while (-) no inhibition zone.

**Table (3): Antagonistic effect of various bacterial isolates against different *R. solani* isolates.**

Bacterial isolates	Inhibition zone <sup>z)</sup>			
	<i>R. solani</i> (B1)	<i>R. solani</i> (Sh4)	<i>R. solani</i> (N6)	<i>R. solani</i> (ls3)
<i>P. fluorescens</i> (Pf. 5)	26	36	33	29
<i>P. Putida</i> (PP)	22	31	28	25
<i>B. subtilis</i> (Bs.1)	24	33	31	27
<i>Bacillus</i> sp N.3	11	16	15	12
<i>Bacillus</i> sp N.5	16	23	21	18
<i>Bacillus</i> sp Sh.4	10	14	13	11
<i>Bacillus</i> sp Sh.5	18	25	23	20
<i>Bacillus</i> sp S.3	14	20	18	16
<i>Bacillus</i> sp S.5	19	27	25	21

<sup>z)</sup> Inhibition of pathogens is expressed as the distance (mm) between pathogen mycelium and bacteria on potato dextrose agar (PDA).

**Evaluation of antagonistic bacteria under greenhouse conditions:  
On peanut damping-off and root rot incidence under artificial conditions:-**

Nine selected bacterial isolates beside standard consisting of Rhizo-N (biocides) and Rizolex-T (fungicide) were evaluated under greenhouse conditions.

Results in Table (4) show that, all tested bioagents have significant effect in reducing damping-off and peanut root rot compared to control. In this respect, the most effective isolates in reducing peanut pre-emergence damping-off was *Pseudomonas putida* (PP) while, *P. fluorescens* (Pf.5) was the most effective isolate in reducing peanut post-emergence. Moreover, both of *P. fluorescens* (Pf.5), *B. subtilis* (Bs.1), *Bacillus* sp. (N.3), (Sh.5) and (S.5) gave the highest effect in reducing peanut root rot compared to other tested bioagents On the other hand , S.3 isolate gave the lowest effect in reducing damping-off and peanut root rot diseases compared with the other tested bioagents.

Data also showed that, *P. fluorescens* (Pf 5.) was the nearest one to fungicides effect in reduction of peanut damping-off and peanut root rots and exceeded the commercial biocide (Rhizo-N) in their effect on root rot diseases, followed by *Bacillus* sp (S.5) and *B. subtilis* (Bs1) compared to other tested bioagents (Table 4).

3.2. On peanut damping-off and root rot incidence under field conditions:  
Six selected bacterial isolates beside standard consisting of Rhizo-N (biocides) and Rizolex-T (fungicide) were evaluated under field conditions during two successive seasons 2009 and 2010. Data in Table (5) indicate that, all tested bioagents have significant effect in reducing of damping-off and peanut root rot compared with the control during the two successive seasons,. *P. fluorescens* (Pf.5) followed by both of Bs.1, PP and S.5 were the most effective isolates in reducing peanut damping-off. While, *P. fluorescens* (Pf.5) and *B. subtilis* (BS1) gave the highest effect in reducing peanut root rot compared with other tested bioagents during two seasons 2009 and 2010. *Bacillus* sp.(Sh.5) isolate gave the lowest effect in reducing damping-off and peanut root rot diseases compared with other tested bioagents during two growing seasons.

**Table (4): Effect of antagonistic bacterial isolates on peanut damping-off and root rot incidence under artificially conditions**

Bacterial isolates	Damping-off		Total	Root rots	Survival
	Pre	Post			
<i>P. fluorescens</i> (Pf. 5)	8	6	14	10	76
<i>P.putida</i> (PP)	6	10	16	12	72
<i>B. subtilis</i> (Bs.1)	8	8	16	10	74
<i>Bacillus</i> sp N.3	10	10	20	10	70
<i>Bacillus</i> sp N.5	8	8	16	12	72
<i>Bacillus</i> sp Sh.4	12	10	22	12	66
<i>Bacillus</i> sp Sh.5	12	10	22	10	68
<i>Bacillus</i> sp S.3	14	10	24	14	62
<i>Bacillus</i> sp S.5	8	8	16	10	74
Rhizo-N	8	6	14	12	74
Rizolex-T	6	6	12	8	80
<b>Control</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>56</b>
<b>L.S.D. 5%:</b>	<b>2.14</b>	<b>1.88</b>	<b>2.52</b>	<b>1.92</b>	<b>3.51</b>

Moreover, *P. fluorescens* (Pf 5.) was the nearest one to fungicides effect in reduction of peanut damping-off and peanut root rots and exceed the commercial biocide (Rhizo-N) in their effect on damping off and root rots diseases, followed by *Bacillus* sp (S.5) and *B. subtilis* (Bs1) compared to other tested bioagents (**Table 5**)

**Table (5): Effect of antagonistic bacterial isolates on peanut damping-off and root rot incidence under field conditions during two seasons 2009 and 2010.**

Bacterial isolates	Season 2009			Season 2010		
	Damping-off	Root rots	Survival	Damping-off	Root rots	Survival
<i>P. fluorescens</i> (Pf. 5)	7.20	10.45	82.35	9.17	11.83	79.00
<i>P.putida</i> (PP)	9.77	13.90	76.32	10.98	15.80	73.21
<i>B. subtilis</i> (Bs.1)	9.71	11.65	78.64	10.92	13.34	75.74
<i>Bacillus</i> sp S.5	9.92	12.89	77.20	12.95	13.70	73.36
<i>Bacillus</i> sp N.5	14.48	15.92	69.60	15.94	18.41	65.65
<i>Bacillus</i> sp Sh.5	11.75	14.30	73.95	12.95	16.64	70.41
Rhizo-N	10.09	10.83	79.08	11.13	14.84	74.02
Rizolex-T	6.50	8.49	85.01	8.21	10.28	81.51
<b>Control</b>	<b>15.93</b>	<b>18.01</b>	<b>66.06</b>	<b>17.72</b>	<b>20.10</b>	<b>62.18</b>
<b>L.S.D. 5%:</b>	<b>1.963</b>	<b>1.895</b>	<b>3.643</b>	<b>1.711</b>	<b>1.509</b>	<b>3.221</b>

#### On peanut brown pod rot incidence under artificial conditions:

Nine selected bacterial isolates were evaluated as seed treatment at sowing time and later as foliar spray at pegging time of peanut. Results in Table (6) show that, all tested bacterial isolates significantly reduced incidence of brown pod rot incidence. The most effective isolates were Pf.5 followed by S.5. Bs.1 and PP. Meanwhile, isolates N.5 and Sh.5 caused moderate effect and isolates N.3, Sh.3 and S.3 caused slight effect compared to non-treated control.

On the other hand, data showed that *P. fluorescens* (Pf 5.) exceed the commercial biocide (Rhizo-N) in their effect on dry brown lesion followed

by *Bacillus* sp (S.5) and both of *B. subtilis* (Bs1) and *P. Putida* (PP) compared to other tested bioagents (Table 6).

**On peanut brown pod rot incidence under field conditions:**

Field experiments were carried out during growing seasons 2009 and 2010 to study the effect of six antagonistic bacterial isolates for controlling brown pod rot incidence of peanut.

Data in Table (7) show clearly that, all tested antagonistic bacteria significantly reduced incidence of all types of pod rot compared with the control. The most effective isolates were Pf.5 followed by S.5 in both growing seasons. Isolates Bs1, PP showed moderate effect, while N.5 and Sh.5 gave the lowest effect in reducing of pod rot incidence.

In the same time, data also showed that, *P. fluorescens* (Pf 5.) was the nearest one to fungicides effect in reduction of peanut pod rot (dry brown lesion) and exceed the commercial biocide (Rhizo-N) followed by *Bacillus* sp (S.5) compared to other tested bioagents (**Table, 7**).

**Table (6): Effect of antagonistic bacterial isolates on peanut brown dry lesion incidence under artificial conditions.**

Bacterial isolates	Dry Brown Lesion	Apparent healthy
<i>P. fluorescens</i> (Pf. 5)	12.85	87.15
<i>P. Putida</i> (PP)	14.28	85.72
<i>B. subtilis</i> (Bs.1)	14.08	85.92
<i>Bacillus</i> sp N.3	22.17	77.83
<i>Bacillus</i> sp N.5	16.29	83.71
<i>Bacillus</i> sp Sh.4	21.12	78.88
<i>Bacillus</i> sp Sh.5	15.49	84.51
<i>Bacillus</i> sp S.3	23.09	76.91
<i>Bacillus</i> sp S.5	13.28	86.72
Rhizo-N	14.48	85.52
Rizolex-T	12.00	88.00
Control	25.57	74.43
L.S.D. 5%:	2.027	2.078

**Table (7): Effect of antagonistic bacterial isolates on peanut pod rots incidence under field conditions during two seasons 2009 and 2010.**

Bacterial isolates	Season 2009			Season 2010		
	Dry brown lesion	Other Rots	Apparent healthy	Dry brown lesion	Other rots	Apparent healthy
<i>P. fluorescens</i> (Pf. 5)	6.72	10.69	82.59	8.69	9.85	81.46
<i>P. Putida</i> (PP)	8.15	13.81	78.04	9.19	13.00	77.81
<i>B. subtilis</i> (Bs.1)	8.95	12.40	78.65	9.33	12.22	78.45
<i>Bacillus</i> sp S.5	6.76	12.5	80.74	9.13	11.00	79.87
<i>Bacillus</i> sp N.5	11.22	14.32	74.46	13.77	15.17	71.06
<i>Bacillus</i> sp Sh.5	9.09	14.36	76.55	14.11	15.60	70.29
Rhizo-N	7.35	13.25	79.40	10.22	11.00	78.78
Rizolex-T	6.11	9.81	84.08	8.32	9.78	81.90
Control	14.01	16.39	69.60	15.18	16.21	68.61
L.S.D. 5%:	0.611	0.858	1.384	0.771	1.029	1.409

**On peanut yield and loss of yield under field conditions:**

Concerning the effect of tested bioagents on peanut yield and yield loss during the two seasons 2009 and 2010. The data presented in Table (8) demonstrate that, all tested bioagents caused significant increase in total pod yield and reduction of yield loss compared with the control during the two growing seasons. The highest peanut pod yield in the two seasons obtained with *B. subtilis* (Bs1) followed by *P. fluorescens* and *P. putida* (PP). While *Bacillus* (Sh.5) gave the lowest peanut pod yield and the lowest effect on reducing yield loss in the two successive seasons 2009 and 2010 compared with the other bioagents.

**Table (8): Effect of antagonistic bacterial isolates on peanut yield and loss of yield under field conditions during two seasons 2009 and 2010.**

Bacterial isolates	Season 2009		Season 2010	
	Yield (Ton)	Loss of yield (%)	Yield (Ton)	Loss of yield (%)
<i>P. fluorescens</i> (Pf. 5)	1.126	22.4	1.095	25.5
<i>P. putida</i> (PP)	1.115	23.5	1.083	26.7
<i>B. subtilis</i> (Bs.1)	1.135	21.5	1.101	24.9
<i>Bacillus</i> sp S.5	1.108	24.2	1.077	27.3
<i>Bacillus</i> sp N.5	1.098	25.2	1.072	27.8
<i>Bacillus</i> sp Sh.5	1.065	28.5	1.033	31.7
Rhizo-N	1.141	20.9	1.107	24.3
Rizolex-T	1.212	13.8	1.150	20.0
Control	0.977	30.3	0.930	39.0
L.S.D. 5%:	0.087		0.096	

## DISCUSSION

The results demonstrate the antagonistic effect of some bacterial isolates, which were obtained from soil, rhizosphere and peg of peanut and three stande isolates from *B. subtilis*, *P. putida* and *P. fluorescence*. All tested bioagents have significant effect in reducing damping-off root and peanut pod rot diseases compared to control. In this respect the most effective isolates were *P. fluorescens*, *B. subtilis* and *Bacillus* sp S.5. This is in agreement with Lazzaretti et al., (1994); Ashour and Afify, (1999), Mahmoud (2004), Mahmoud et al., (2006c) and Ibrahim et al., (2008) who stated that, certain strains of *Bacillus* appear to be most effective as a biological control agent, by inhibiting the mycelial growth of plant pathogenic fungi. While *P. fluorescens* was found to be the most effective bio-control agent against various soil-borne diseases caused by *F. oxysporum*, *R. solani*, *P. ultimum*, *M. phaseolina* and others (Jayashree et al., 2000, Meena et al., 2001, Mahmoud, 2004, Mahmoud et al., 2006 c and Ibrahim et al., 2008).

Further study in this respect showed that certain *P. fluorescens* and *B. subtilis* isolates were the most effective rhizobacteria for the suppression of damping – off, root and peanut pod rots, which showed great inhibition of hyphal growth *in vitro*. This suggested that, their biocontrol activity had been

associated with the production of certain such as enzymes, phenazines, pyrrole type antibiotics, pyo-compounds, indole derivatives peptide antibiotic, moenomycins, difficidins, bacillomycins and bacillaenes (Battu1 and Reddy2, 2009 and Awais *et al.*, 2010). The ability of antagonistic isolates to inhibit growth of the four pathogens, *in vitro* and to produce certain secondary metabolites has been claimed to be important for biological control (Defago and Hass 1990 and Maurhofer *et al.*, 1995). Antibiosis is well documented for *P. fluorescens* (Pf5) (Howell and Stipanovic 1979) against soil borne pathogens. Moreover, certain strains of *Pseudomonas* can produce several siderophores such as pyoverdine (pseudobactin), pyochelin, and salicylic acid (SA). The bacterium produced an antibiotic compounds called pyrollnitrin, HCN and lytic enzymes (Leeman *et al.*, 1996; De Meyer and Hofte, 1997; Karunanithi *et al.*, 2000, and Meena *et al.*, 2001). Meanwhile, several biocontrol agents such as *Pseudomonas* spp. showed induce resistance activity in several plants (Liu *et al.*, 1997). Vanwees *et al.* (1997) elucidate the molecular mechanisms responsible for this type of defense reaction. *B. subtilis* can induce resistance in peanut to rust disease by stimulation of phytoalexins production and increasing the activity of lytic enzymes (Sailaja and Podile, 1998 and Sailaja *et al.*, 1998). However, peanut plants, when seed treatment or soil application of powder formulation of *P. fluorescens* strain (Pf 1) effectively reduced peanut root rot compared to other strains and showed the maximum of antagonistic effect produced *in vitro* by HCN, salicylic acid siderophore and beta-1,3 gluconase (Meena *et al.*, 2001, Shanmugam *et al.*, 2002 & 2003). Mahmoud 2004 found that in greenhouse and field trials *P. fluorescens* (Pf 5) and *B. subtilis* significantly reduced incidence of all types of pod rots caused by *R. solani* S. rolfsii, *M. phaspolina*, *Fusarium* spp. and *Aspergillus* spp. Mahmoud *et al.*, (2006c) found that, *B. subtilis* (BS) *P. fluorescens* (Pf 5), (Sp1), (Sp2) and (Ss2) caused moderate to strong inhibition on the mycelium growth of the four tested pathogens (*R. solani*, *S. rolfsii*, *F. solani* and *M. phaseolina*). *P. fluoressens* (Pf 5) followed by *B. subtilis* (BS1) and *Bacillus* sp (Sp2) caused the best inhibition zone almost to tested pathogens. In greenhouse experiment, the most effective isolates in reducing peanut damping-off, wilt and peanut root rot were *P. fluorescens* (Pf 5) followed by *B. subtilis* (BS1) and *Bacillus* sp (Sp2).

Moreover Ibrahim *et al.*, (2008) stated that some tested bioagents just to be near to the fungicide efficiency (Rizolex-T) in reducing damping-off and peanut root rot diseases. In greenhouse trial *P. fluorescens* (Pf 5) was the nearest one to fungicide efficiency in reducing peanut pre-emergence damping-off while *B. subtilis* (Bs1) gave 100 % effect compared with fungicides efficiency in reducing peanut post-emergence damping-off. *P. fluorescens* (Pf.), *B. subtilis* (Bs1) and *Bacillus* sp (S.5) were the nearest to fungicide efficiency in reducing peanut root rot. While in field trials *P. fluorescens* (Pf 5.) was the nearest one to fungicide efficiency in reducing peanut pre- and post-emergence damping-off and peanut root rot followed by *Bacillus* sp (S.5) and *B. subtilis* (Bs1) compared to other tested bioagents during two seasons.

## REFERENCES

- Ashour, A.Z.A. and A. H. Afify, 1999: Use of *Bacillus* strains mixture to improve biological control of cotton seedling disease. Proceeding of the Second International Conference on Fungi: Hopes and Challenges, 29 September to 1 October, 1999, Cairo, Egypt. Africa. J. Mycol. and Biotechnol., 7: 109-120.
- Awais M., A. Pervez, Asim Yaqub and M.M. Shah, 2010 production of antimicrobial metabolites by *Bacillus subtilis* immobilized in polyacrylamide gel Pakistan J. Zool.. 42(3): 267-275
- Battu1 P.R. and M.S Reddy 2009, Isolation of secondary metabolites from *Pseudomonas fluorescens* and itsCharacterization Asian J. Research Chem. 2(1): 26-29.
- Callan, N. W.; D. E. Mather and J. B. Miller 1990. Biopriming seed treatment for biological control of *Pythium ultimum* pre-emergence damping off in sh 2 sweet corn. Plant Dis., 74: 368-372.
- Carling , D.E. 1996.Grouping in *R. solani* by hyphal anastomosis. Pages 37- 47in: *Rhizoctonia Species : Taxonomy . Molecular Biology ,Ecology, Pathology and Disease Control* , B.S.Jabahi-Hare , S.Neate and G. Dijst , eds, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands..
- Cook, R.J. 1993. Making greater use of introduced microorganisms for biological control of plant pathogens Annu. Rev. Phytopathol., 31: 53- 80.
- Cook, R.J. and K.F. Baker 1983. The nature and practice of biological control of plant pathogens. St. Paul MN. USA 539 pp.
- De Meyer, G. and M. Hofte, 1997. Salicylic acid produced by the rhizobacterium *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* 7NSK2 induces resistance to leaf infection by *Botrytis cinerea* on bean. Phytopathology, 87: 588-593.
- Defago, Gand D.Hass 1990. Pseudomonads as antagonists of soil borne plant pathogen: modes of action and genetic analysis.pp.249-291.In soil Biochemistry.Vol.6. (J.M. Bollag, and G. Stotzky, eds.) Marcel Dekker, New York, Basel.
- Dileep, C.; B.S.D. Kumar and H.C. Dube 1999. Disease suppression and plant growth promotion of peanut by fluorescent Pseudomonad strains, FPC 32 and FPO 4, in soil infested with collar-rot fungi, *A. niger*. Indian Phytopathol., 52: 415-416.
- EI-Hadidy, A.M. 2003. New approaches for controlling some soil borne fungal pathogens on pepper in reclaimed soil. Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. of Agric. Ain Shams Univ., 169 pp.
- Gabr, M. R.; N. A. Hussein; O. I. Saleh and M. A. Khalil 1998. Susceptibility of certain varieties and genotypes and control of wilt and root rot diseases of sesame attributed to *Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp. *sesami* and *Macrophomina phaseolina*. Egypt. J. Microbiol., 33: 403-428.
- Hilal, A.A.; M.I. Elian; A.H. Metwally and A.A. EI-Deeb 1990. Peanut diseases in Egypt. II- Factors affecting healthy survival plants, pod rots and yield. Annl. Agric. Sci., Moshtohor., 28: 1557-1567.

- Howell, C.R. and R.D. Stipanovic 1979. Control of *R. solani* on cotton seedlings with *Pseudomonas fluorescens* and with an antibiotic produced by bacterium. *Phytopathology*, 69: 482-484.
- Ibrahim M. M, E. Y. Mahmoud, and Wagida A .M. Saleh (2008). The ability of some antagonistic bacteria on control of peanut root rots diseases compared to fungicides efficiency. *Minufiya J. Agric. .Res.*, 33 (5): 1107-1125.
- Jayashree, K. V. Shanmugam; T. Raguchander; A. Ramanathan and R. Samiyappan 2000. Evaluation of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* (Pf-1) against blackgram and sesame root-rot disease. *J. Biol. Cont.*, 14: 55-61.
- Karunanithi, K.; M. Muthusamy, and K. Seetharaman, 2000. Pyrolnitrin production by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* effective against *Macrophomina phaseolina*. *Crop Res. (Hisar)*, 19: 368-370 (C.F. CAB Abstracts 2000).
- King, E.O.; M.K. Ward and D.E. Raney 1954. Tow sample media for the demonstration of pyocyanin and fluorescin. *J. Lab., Clin Med.*, 44: 301-307.
- Lazzaretti, E.; J. O. M. Menten, and W. Bettoli, 1994. *Bacillus subtilis* antagonistic to the principal pathogens associated with bean and wheat seeds. *Fitopatología Venezuelan*, 7: 42-46 (C.F. CAB Abstracts 2000).
- Leeman, M.; F.M. den Ouden; J.A. van Pelt; RP.M. Dirkx; H. Steil; P.A.H.M. Bakker, and B. Schippers 1996. Iron availability affects induction of systemic resistance to Fusarium wilt of radish by *Pseudomonas fluorescens*. *Phytopathology*, 86:149-155.
- Liu X.; P.E. Kolattukudy and M.X. Liu 1997. Expression of an anionic peroxidase and oxidative burst in tomato cells induced by the elicitor from *Verticillium albo-atrum*. *Acta Phytophysiologica Sinica*, 23: 220-226. (C.F. CAB Abstracts 2000)
- Mahmoud, E.Y. 2004. Integrated control of pod rot diseases of peanut. Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. Of Agric, Ain – Shams Univ.154 pp
- Mahmoud, E. Y.; Eetmad E. I. Draz and M. F. Abolela 2006 a : Evaluation of some peanut cultivars for the susceptibility of infection by damping-off, root and pod rot diseases and occurrence of aflatoxigenic. *J. Agric Sci. Mansoura Univ.*, 31(12):7589-7604
- Mahmoud, E. Y., Samia Y. M. Shokry and Zeinab N. Hussin. 2006 C : Efficiency of some antagonistic bacteria to reduce incidence of damping-off, wilt and peanut root rot. *J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ.*, 31(6):3525-3536.
- Ibrahim, M. M., E. Y. Mahmoud, and Wagida A .M. Saleh (2008). The ability of some antagonistic bacteria on control of peanut root rots diseases compared to fungicides efficiency. *Minufiya J. Agric. .Res.*, 33 (5): 1107-1125.
- Maurhofer, M.; C. Keel; D. Hass and G. Defago 1995. Influence of plant species on disease suppression by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* strain CHAO with enhanced antibiotic production. *Plant Pathol.*, 44: 40-50.

- Meena, B.; T. Marimuthu; P. Vidhyasekaran and R. Velazhahan 2001. Biological control of root rots of groundnut with antagonistic *Pseudomonas fluorescens* strains. Z. Pflanzenkr. Pflanzensch., 108: 369-381. (C.F. CAB Abstracts 2003).
- Mickler, C.J.; K.L. Bowen and J.K. Kloepper 1995. Evaluation of selected geocarposphere bacteria for biological control of *A. flavus* in peanut plant soil, 175: 291 – 299.
- Mosa, A.A.; S.T. Shehata and Soad M. Abd-Allah 1997. Biocontrol of cucumber damping-off by Fluorescent pseudomonads. Egypt. J. Appl. Sci., 12: 268-286.
- Nemec, S.; L.E. Datnoff and J. Strandberg 1996. Efficacy of biocontrol agents in planting mixes to colonize plant roots and control root diseases of vegetables and citrus. Crop Protection, 15: 735-742.
- Podile, A.R. and A.P. Prakash 1996. Lyasis and biological control of *Aspergillus niger* by *Bacillus subtilis* AF1. Can. J. Microbiol., 42: 533-538.
- Podile, A.R. and A.P. Prakash 1996. Lyasis and biological control of *Aspergillus niger* by *Bacillus subtilis* AF1. Can. J. Microbiol., 42: 533-538.
- Sailaja P.R. and A.R. Podile 1998. A phytoalexin is modified to less fungitoxic substances by crown rot pathogen in groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). Indian J. Exp. Biol., 36: 631-634.
- Sailaja P.R.; A.R. Podile and P. Reddauna 1998. Biocontrol strain of *Bacillus subtilis* AF1 rapidly induces lipoxygenase in groundnut *Arachis hypogaea* L. compared with crown rot pathogen *Aspergillus niger*. Eur. J. Plant Pathol., 104: 125-132.
- SAS Institute, Inc 1996. SAS/STAT Users Guide, Version 6, 12<sup>th</sup> Ed. Volume 2, 846 pp. SAS Institute, Inc. Cary, North Carolina
- Shanmugam. V.; N. Senthil, T. Raguchander, A. Ramanathan and R. Samiyappan 2002. Interaction of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* with Rhizobium for their effect on the management of peanut root rot. Phytoparasitica, 30: 169 – 179.
- Shanmugam. V; T. Raguchander, A. Ramanthan, and S. Samiyappan 2003. Management of groundnut root rot disease caused by *Macrophomina phaseolina* with *Pseudomonas fluorescens*. Annals of Plant Protection Sciences; 11: 304-308.
- Sheela, J.K.; S. Vaprakasam and K. Seetharaman 1998. Effect of soil application of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* using different carriers on groundnut collar rot disease incidence. Madras Agric. J., 85: 191-192 (C.F. CAB Abstracts 2000).
- Sneh, B., Burpee,L., and Ogoshi A. 1991 Identification of *Rhizoctonia* species. The American Phytopathological Society Press, St. Paul, MN.
- Turner, J.T. and P.A. Backman 1991. Factors relating to peanut yield increases after seed treatment with *Bacillus subtilis*. Plant Dis., 75: 347-353.

Vanwees, S.C.; C.M.J. Pieterse; A. Trijssenaar; T. Van; Y.A. Westende; F. Hartog and L. . Vanloon 1997. Differential induction of systemic resistance in *Arabidopsis* by biocontrol bacteria. Mol. Plant Microb. Interact., 10: 716-724.

تأثير بعض العزلات البكتيرية المضادة في خفض نسبة الإصابة بأعفان الجذور والثمار لفطر *Rhizoctonia solani* على نبات الفول السوداني  
زيتب نصر الدين حسين<sup>1</sup> ، عماد الدين يوسف محمود<sup>1</sup> ، أحمد حسن متولي<sup>1</sup> و حسن محمد صبحي<sup>2</sup>  
<sup>1</sup>معهد بحوث أمراض النبات- مركز البحوث الزراعية- الجيزة.  
<sup>2</sup> معهد البحوث والدراسات الأفريقية - جامعة القاهرة.

تم اختبار كفاءة التضاد لسبع عزلة بكتيرية معزولة من الفول السوداني ومن مصادر معروفة وهي *Pseudomonas putida*, *Bacillus subtilis*, *Pseudomonas fluorescens* وتحديد تأثيرها على عزلات الريزوكتونيا. أظهرت النتائج أن حوالي تسعة عزلات كان لها تأثير من قوي إلى متوسط على تثبيط عزلات الريزوكتونيا الأربع المختبرة. أظهرت عزلة *Pf5* (*Pseudomonas fluorescens*) أعلى قدرة تضادية على عزلات فطر *R. solani* المختبرة على بيئة PDA يليها *Bacillus subtilis* (*R. solani*) وبعدها *P. putida* (PP) وبعدها *Bacillus sp.* (S.5). في تجارب الصوبة والحقن كانت عزلة *P. fluorescens* (*Pf5*) أكثر العزلات تأثيراً على خفض نسبة الإصابة بموت البادرات وأعفان جذور وثمار الفول السوداني تلتها عزلة *Bacillus subtilis* (BS1) وبعدها *Bacillus sp.* (S.5). بالنسبة لإنتاجية المحصول من ثمار الفول السوداني سجلت المعاملة بعزلة *Bacillus subtilis* (BS1) أعلى إنتاجية تلتها عزلة *Pf5* (*P. fluorescens*) في كلاً الموسمين 2009 و 2010. أظهرت النتائج المتحصل عليها قدرة بعض العزلات المختبرة على الإقتراب من قردة المبيد (Rizolex-T) في خفض الإصابة بموت البادرات وأعفان جذور وثمار الفول السوداني في تجارب الصوبة والحقن وكانت العزلة 5 (*Pf 5*) هي الأقرب لقدرة المبيد في مقاومة موت البادرات، وأعفان جذور وثمار الفول السوداني بل وتفوقت على المبيد الحيوي (Rhizo-N)، وتلتها كلاً من *Bacillus sp.* (S5) و *B. subtilis* (Bs1) في كلاً الموسمين 2009 و 2010.

#### قام بتحكيم البحث

كلية الزراعة – جامعة المنصورة  
مركز البحوث الزراعية

أ.د / محمد الششتاوي عبد ربه  
أ.د / ابتسام محمد محمود الشريف